

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_78196
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of 2, 2-dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate (Sniper) induced hepatotoxicity and oxidative stress in New Zealand white rabbits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalirjgh.com/index.php/IRJGH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1-In Abstract Section: Background part on Sniper and Oxidative stress should be written in the abstract.</p> <p>2-Study design and place of duration shouldn't be mentioned in the abstract section and should be placed in the Materials & Methods Section.</p> <p>3-In Materials & Methods Section: It is mentioned that 36 white rabbits were involved in the experiment. Then, they were divided into three (3) groups of four (4) rabbits each with four (4) matched control. That's mean that they were 8 in each group, and 8 multiply 3gps is 24 rabbits. So the real number of the involved rabbits were 24, Unless the authors should explain the role of the other 12 rabbits if they really involved</p> <p>4- In Results Section: The data and the Values of AST, ALT, LDH, TAC, MDA ,... etc should be shown in tables at the different stages 30, 60, 90 days of oral administration and Inhalation.</p> <p>5- The discussion was poor, they correlated their results with only very few studies that done before. The data needed to be discussed with more updated references (at least last 3 years).</p> <p>6- Abbreviations of some words in the manuscript as LD, DDVP ... etc should be written beside its abbreviation.</p> <p>7- Overall, there is no innovation in this study, as the net results were quite known.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moataza Hassan Omran
Department, University & Country	National Research Center, Egypt