

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_87396
Title of the Manuscript:	NEUROPSYCHIATRIC SYNDROME IN CHOROIDEREMIA- A RARE CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Authors report a patient suffering from choroideremia diagnosed at his 30's and who at the age of 65 years develops an extrapyramidal syndrome with frequent falls, behavioral disorders and cognitive deficit.</p> <p>There are some critical issues:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) recent worsening may be due to brain trauma;2) the Mini Mental is a screening test: a more complete evaluation is needed. Equally, behavioral disorders must be quantified using appropriate scale;3) extrapyramidal symptoms should be quantified using the Hoehn-Yahr scale;4) random blood sugar levels are 142 mg/ml: what are the normal values of the laboratory?5) Add photos of the fundus and MRI;6) The data do not allow the diagnosis of probable Alzheimer's disease and the association can be fortuitous. This possibility must be taken into account in the discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francesco Raudino
Department, University & Country	Valduce Hospital, Italy