

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_83331
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of junior doctors and nurses towards the covid-19 disease in a tertiary care centre in North India- a Questionnaire based study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <b>Abstract miss the following items:</b> Sample, setting, design</li><li>- The Design of the study not clear</li><li>- The significance of the study not clear</li><li>- It is important that Validity and reliability be measured</li><li>- Statistical analysis not reported</li><li>- Practice not evaluated by questionnaire or through internet; it is observed using observational checklist so the researcher must mention that this practice reported by participants.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Missed items in the study must be added:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Pilot Study: how many subjects included in the pilot and the modifications made.</li><li>- Procedure for data collection: how the researcher get the contact information of participants and the process of data collection</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Inclusion and exclusion criteria not determined	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noha Ahmed Mohamed Hassan
Department, University & Country	Beni-Suef University, Egypt