

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_87083
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF HB CLEANSER® BITTERS ON HAEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS IN WISTAR RATS.
Type of the Article	Experimental design in animals

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	It seems to me a well-designed and well-developed investigation. The study concludes that <i>"This study suggests that HB cleanser bitters produced a reduction in haematological indices and care should be exercised with its consumption."</i> therefore I agree that the affirmation, at the end of the abstract: <i>"it should be taken with caution"</i> , should be less forceful, for example, I recommend: <i>"It is suggested to take precautions when using it"</i> or something similar. An attempt should be made to search for more recent references, there are only two that are less than 5 years old, one from the year 207 and another from 2021.	
Optional/General comments	Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) A written approval was obtained from the Research ethics committee of the University of Port Harcourt according to international ethics.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Camilo Torres-Serna
Department, University & Country	Universidad Santiago de Cali, Colombia