Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_84934**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Vaccine Hesitancy and Acceptability of COVID-19 Vaccines among Students in Medical Specialities. The University Aden, Yemen.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. The whole manuscript should be checked for typos and grammatical errors. There are various types of errors in the manuscript. An overall review is needed for fixing the grammatical and typos errors in the manuscript. 2. The Abstract should contain answers to the following questions: What problem was studied and why is it important? What methods were used? What are the important results? What conclusions can be drawn from the results? What is the novelty of the work and where does it go beyond previous e orts in the literature? 3. The Introduction should make a compelling case for why the study is useful along with a clear statement of its novelty or originality by providing relevant information and providing answers to basic questions such as: What is already known in the open literature? What is missing (i.e., research gaps)? What needs to be done, why and how? Clear statements of the novelty of the work should also appear briefly in the Abstract and Conclusions sections. 4. A professional proof-reading is required for the whole manuscript. 5. The authors should explain the limitations of this work in the introduction section. 6. The authors should explain why the study is useful with a clear statement of novelty or originality by providing relevant information in the introduction and conclusion sections. 7. The author should add some more discussions on figures and numerical simulation in the conclusion and introduction section. 8. What do you suggest to readers about this work? 9. The authors should provide the future scope of the work in the conclusion section.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**A. Omame**
Department, University & Country	**Federal University of Technology, Nigeria**