

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_84836
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PRE-PROCEDURE ANXIETY LEVELS ON POST-PROCEDURE PAIN SCORES IN WOMEN UNDERGOING HYSTEROSALPINGOGRAPHY IN SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The present study establishes a relationship between anxiety levels before and pain perception after performing the hysterosalpingography exam. The study was well designed, the methodology was well planned and executed. The authors performed a good description of the occurrence of infertility, characteristics of the sample used, among other aspects.</p> <p>However, when the reader gets to the discussion topic, it is a little disappoint, since the authors were extremely concise. Therefore, I would suggest that the authors broaden the discussion, as there are several findings that could be addressed.</p> <p>In general, the study is of good quality and the text is well written, only the discussion was very summarized.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Quenia Gomes da Silva Allahdadi
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil