

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_84358
Title of the Manuscript:	The New Relationship between Kawasaki disease and MIS-C
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Basically, the article summarises the similarities and differences between MIS-C and KD. However, the title mentioned “ New relationship between KD and MIS-C”. There are no paragraphs/sentences to suggest this neither it is explored. So, suggest to change the title.</p> <p>Although both these entities are quite distinct, authors have mentioned epidemiological similarities in term of regional distribution and seasonal pattern. However as pandemic waves spread across the many countries all over the period years disturbing the regional and seasonal distribution. Suggest to refer the couple of systematic reviews of epidemiology of covid & KD. For example; systematic review by Zhang et al.(PMID: 34013488 ; Chen et al PMID: 33732254)</p> <p>There are many differences between KD and MIS-C, sufficient to diagnose except for couple of cases. So, it will be prudent to consider KD phenotype as one of the phenotype of MIS-C or COVID in children. Both the conditions could be consider as dysregulated/ exaggerated immune response to inflicting agent; infectious or non-infectious in genetically predisposed child.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Requires more literature review.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anand Kawade
Department, University & Country	King Edward Memorial Hospital Research Centre, India