

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_88287
Title of the Manuscript:	Prospective Comparison of Rectal Misoprostol versus Oxytocin Infusion for Prevention of Post-Caesarean Section Primary Postpartum Hemorrhage.
Type of the Article	Clinical Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are some writing problems in the text. (one example of Abstract. (106.8 ± 48.6 ml vs 131.7 ± 161.4 ml, p= 0.839). 38.6 % vs 10.0 % respectively).	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There are have been published some studies with similar designs and number of cases. If the authors conduct the same study with the more cases, then it would be a meritorious study for the literature.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasan Yüksel
Department, University & Country	Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Türkiye