## **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_88287
Title of the Manuscript:	Prospective Comparison of Rectal Misoprostol versus Oxytocin Infusion for Prevention of Post-Caesarean Section Primary Postpartum Hemorrhage.
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Where tools of data collection	
	Where procedure or field work explanation to implement the study	
	Where Ethical consideration while implementing the study	
	Aim not completely achieved	
Minor REVISION comments	Change some wards	
	Delete and add some wards	
Out in all Our and I was a set	Acceptance: The paper can be accepted after making .modification suggested by reviewer	
Optional/General comments	the manuscript clear, relevant for the field and presented in a well-structured manner.  the cited references mostly recent publications (within the last 5 years) and relevant	
	the manuscript's results reproducible based on the details given in the methods section	
	the figures/tables/images/schemes appropriate, they properly show the data, they easy to interpret and	
	understand, the data interpreted appropriately and consistently throughout the manuscript	
	the conclusions consistent with the evidence and arguments presented.	

# PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Eman Mohamed Abdelhakam Mohamed
Department, University & Country	Benha University, Egypt

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)