

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_84686
Title of the Manuscript:	Chronic toxicological effect of 2, 2-dichlorovinyl dimethyl phosphate (Sniper) on some Reproductive and Thyroid Hormones of New Zealand white Rabbits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>I suggest that the authors in the introductory part clearly explain why it was necessary to conduct this experiment on rabbits, since the literature has already shown toxic effects on reproductive hormones of several laboratory animals.</p> <p>I see the problem in missing the citation of some papers that, according to the results of the plugscan program, were used extensively for writing the introduction.</p> <p>The cited works need to be cited. Ghafouri-Khosrowshahi A, Ranjbar A, Mousavi L, et al. Chronic exposure to organophosphate pesticides as an important challenge in promoting reproductive health: A comparative study. <i>J Educ Health Promot.</i> 2019;8:149. Published 2019 Aug 30. doi:10.4103/jehp.jehp_148_19</p> <p>Okoroiwu HU, Iwara IA. Dichlorvos toxicity: A public health perspective. <i>Interdiscip Toxicol.</i> 2018;11(2):129-137. doi:10.2478/intox-2018-0009</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aleksandar Racz
Department, University & Country	University of Applied Health Sciences, Croatia