Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJRRGY_84545 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN, A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | The the deduction of |
| | The introduction part should be improved There is not a single overarching statement that captures the problem. Besides, the set objectives to address the statement of the problem are missing (Where is the research gap? How did you come to develop this study?) Why you have chosen this particular hospital (Ekiti State University Teaching Hospital) as the study area? Need to add the reason. You have to give the reason why have you used cross sectional descriptive study as your study design The sampling techniques and procedures should be improved (What was the sample size? What was the parameter of selected sample size? and which procedures have you used to select respondents) The manuscript has no section for literature review. What is WAST? How does it operate? It is better to highlight its operations prior to its operation It is not clear. How did you analyze qualitative and quantitative data? You need to be clear on this important research issue You need to be smart and systematic when pesenting substantial research issues in data analysis part. How many objectives did you have? Your findings have focused on sociodemographic variables, what about other findings? This issue should be clearly defined from the background information and well covered in the methodology. The findings should accommodate all specific objectives. Where is the discussion for Table 2 Your conclusion should manifest from the findings. Since the findings are unclear, even the conclusion is not clear. Try to systematize your work. | |
| Miner DEVISION comments | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | What is the validity and reliability of quantitative questionnaire? Recommendations should be clearer and it should be connected with each results It is suggested to review the list of references, as there are some errors in the format. Observe sentence case in table 2 | |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

| Optional/General comments | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| | It's important to go through your work several times to ensure all grammatical errors are | |
| | eliminated. | |
| | | |

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Amos Ansigary Msambila |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | University of Iringa, Tanzania |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)