Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_83275
Title of the Manuscript:	Determining the Risk Factors and Causes of Stillbirths at GPHC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 The title of the article is very attractive. It is very important to study the causes of stillbirth, but unfortunately the article is not written in a proper format. This manuscript is not written "according to the articles format". Therefore, it is not possible to review properly. 	
	 This draft seems to be the final report of a research project or dissertation. The title of the article is inconsistent with the findings, despite the fact that the title of the article is to investigate the causes of stillbirth and its risk factors, no statistical analysis was performed and only the frequency of possible risk factors was mentioned. 	
	 The research problem is not well defined". What is the reason for repeating this study in Guyana? What was the reason for choosing this hospital? 	
	 why statistical test is not used for analyze the data and mention it as a limitation? Type of study, data collection tool, data analysis method, ethical considerations, etc. have not been reported. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manije Sereshti
Department, University & Country	Shahrecord Medical Science University, Iran

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)