Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_82867
Title of the Manuscript:	Sclerosing Central Mucoepidermoid Carcinoma: Rare Case Series and Review
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijrrd.com/index.php/IJRRD/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write higher feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION Comments	Please present these parts clearly	
	□ Patient Information	
	 De-identified patient specific information. Primary concerns and symptoms of the patient. Medical, family, and psychosocial history including relevant genetic information. Relevant past interventions and their outcomes. 	
	 □ Clinical Findings – Describe significant physical examination (PE) and important clinical findings. □ Timeline – Historical and current information from this episode of care organized as a timeline (figure or table). □ Diagnostic Assessment 	
	 Diagnostic methods (more details). Diagnostic challenges. Diagnosis (including other diagnoses considered). Prognostic characteristics when applicable. 	
	□ Therapeutic Intervention	
	 Types of therapeutic intervention (more details). Administration of therapeutic intervention (dosage, strength, duration). Changes in therapeutic interventions with explanations. 	
	□ Follow-up and Outcomes	
	 Clinician- and patient-assessed outcomes if available. Important follow-up diagnostic and other test results. Intervention adherence and tolerability. (How was this assessed?) Adverse and unanticipated events. 	
	□ Discussion	
	 Strengths and limitations in your approach to this case. Discussion of the relevant medical literature. The rationale for your conclusions. The primary "take-away" lessons from this case report (without references) in a one paragraph conclusion. Competing interests: the authors should declare all competing interests Figures: Add arrows on picture to indicate (description on figure as described 	
	☐ Patient Perspective – The patient should share their perspective on the treatment(s) they received.	
	☐ Informed Consent – The patient should give informed consent.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	
Optional/General comments	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Embolo enyegue elisee libert
Department, University & Country	Institute of Medical Research and Medical Plant Studies, Cameroon

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)