

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_84497
Title of the Manuscript:	Haematological Parameters of Sickle Cell Disease Patients on Prophylactic Antimalarial Regimen within Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <p>-I think it is not necessary to describe what hemoglobin is and where they are derived from, etc. and focus attention on important haematological parameters for assessing antimalarial prophylaxis. That way the Introduction is reduced.</p> <p>-At the end, a paragraph with the objectives of the article should be reflected: This study evaluated the haematological parameters of sickle cell disease patients on prophylactic antimalarial regimen within Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>-In this section, it repeats data that were mentioned above and do not contribute to the discussion of the results. For example:</p> <p>“This study was carried out to investigate the haematological parameters of Sickle Cell Disease patients on antimalarial regimen within Rivers State, Nigeria. A total of 100 samples were collected for this study of which 50 (50%) were from SCD patients on prophylactic antimalarial regimen and were used as test samples while 50 (50%) samples were from apparently healthy...” and “This represents 14%, 10%, 8%, 10%, 4%, 16%, 20%, 10%, 4% and 4% respectively...”</p> <p>-All assumptions must be substantiated by bibliographic citations,for example. “The age range with the highest SCD rate in Rivers State is From 18-21 years (50%). This may be due to the fact that this genetic abnormality is mostly diagnosed within the above age range and the patients become more aware of their condition within that period” (Which author or authors refer to criteria that may suggest this approach?).</p> <p>-Statistical differences should be used in the Results part and in Discussion part explain the meaning they have for the current study, with the support of citations from other authors or previous works on the subject.</p> <p>References</p> <p>-You must respect the abbreviations of journals according to international standards. -Citations where there are more than six authors should be placed: "et al."</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesús G. Rodríguez Diego
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, (UAM)- Unidad Xochimilco, Autonomous Metropolitan University- Xochimilco Unit, México