

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89743
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of maturity indices for harvesting of ber (Ziziphus mauritiana Lamk.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Should follow journal guideline strictly.</p> <p>ABSTRACT: Should show in short:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Title, the fruit history in short, problem statement, objective, methodology, major findings and recommendation. <p>Introduction: clear and self-explanatory problem statement and objectives are required</p> <p>Materials & methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Experimental materials used, should be showedTreatments and design: should be clear; such as #trees, #fruits from each tree and replication clear design.Quality types should be mentioned and be explanatory ((nutritional, market quality etc.)Time of data collection as harvesting time very critical issues for fruits, harvesting techniques as postharvest management is highly related with itStorage type/sData collection: data collected and the methods of collection should require clearly. <p>Results and discussion: It is good but to some extent it should be rechecked on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Interval days for what and from whenMajor finding on bases of your objective <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Not only conclusion: Should be conclusion and recommendation instead conclusion and put your finding recommendationAt which interval would you recommend, on bases of Specific gravity, fruit shape, colour, total soluble solids (%), T.S.S.: Acid ratio and organoleptic score? <p>References: used very old references, even your study title require very recent once and postharvest technology is very dynamic. So check it again critical and update to current work</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Editorial issues will be checked again through out Check your manuscript according to guideline.	
Optional/General comments	In general manuscript is good and should be accepted with the commented modification.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taye Buke Ashango
Department, University & Country	Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia