

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89589
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of plant growth regulators on Growth, Fruit setting and Quality of Guava (Psidium guajava L.) in meadow orchard
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors have studied the “<b>Effect of plant growth regulators on Growth, Fruit setting and Quality of Guava (<i>Psidium guajava</i> L.) in meadow orchard.</b> The research have been conducted by exploiting the various growth regulators application the growth as well as yield parameters of guava. There are many discrepancies in the MS. Authors can see the comment in MS. <b>The major are:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The manuscript is disorganized and lacks important information in regards to execution and applicability various inputs as well as treatments. English editing is needed in the MS.</li><li>2. Abstract needs to be rewritten where authors should clearly write the objectives and results obtained in a specific treatment. There is a missing link in the sentences.</li><li>3. Keywords are not in alphabetical order.</li><li>4. In introduction part, Authors need to elaborate the importance of plant growth regulators and their impact on yield of quality and fruit crops. Also include suitable reference.</li><li>5. In material and methods authors need to give more information about the soil status, fertilizer application and age of the trees from which the fruits were picked. Time at which the fruits were picked may also be given.</li><li>6. Results and discussion is written very poorly. Authors should write clearly in which treatment maximum growth parameters and spread was there. 2<sup>nd</sup> best treatment should also be explained or those which are statistically at par. No linkage in sentences. Authors are describing the one parameters and in next line jump to another parameters, making no sense. Please explain the all the parameters individually with the change of language and editing.</li><li>7. Conclusion is missing.</li><li>8. References are poorly written and are not in accordance with journal format. Add new references where ever are mentioned.</li><li>9. Improve the language of the MS and resubmit the paper after doing all the corrections in the paper.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	There are many grammatical and syntax errors in the MS. Remove all those.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	English language editing of MS is needed.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Balwinder Kumar
Department, University & Country	GADVASU, India