

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_88732
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Quality Responses to Plant Spacing in potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) Varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract -highly recommended to revise this section/part of the manuscript. Introduction -rephrasing the sentence/s is needed (look at the comments in the manuscript. Add related studies about the effect of plant spacing to the growth and yield performance, and to the TSS, starch content, dry matter, and ascorbic acid. Materials and Methods -the information on the statistical analysis is needed. Source and preparation of the planting material must be included. The date of harvesting and preparation of it before the parameters are to analyze must be included. A brief detail on how they measure and analyze the parameters must be included. The reference of parameters i.e., ascorbic acid, starch content must be included. Conclusion -revision is needed. Tables -the letter intonation is needed to look at the difference among the varieties and plant spacing. A footnote for each table especially the meaning of "S1, S2, S3". References (in the text and list) -the authors should be cited properly and be listed in the reference list.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The "L." from the scientific name should not be italicized.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A consistent use of the same font style. Citing other related studies in the results and discussion is encouraged. Instead of using "V1" it would be better to use the names of the varieties to compare them. The same as well with the plant spacing in the results and discussion.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raymund Julius G. Rosales
Department, University & Country	Mariano Marcos State University, Philippines