Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_88618
Title of the Manuscript:	Biology and population dynamics of two spotted spider mite (Tetranychus urticae Koch) in Cucumber (Cucumis sativus Linnaeus) under protected cultivation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the
		manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract	mandatory that dathers should write morner recubación here;
Compulsory REVISION Comments	(1) Split sentence 4 into two starting from observations revealed that mite population was significantly increased under	
	closed condition	
	(2) The influences of weather parameters on population dynamics of <i>T. urticae</i> were studied under protected	
	condition(complete this sentence by including the appropriate dates - period/year of experiments).	
	(3) "a peak population of mites were observed during the second fortnight of march (16 th standard meteorological week) and	
	the lowest population was observed during month of November and December". Does the above statement apply to	
	both protected condition as well as open field condition? Please, specify.	
	KEYWORDS: Consider also, words like; agronomic practices, yield reduction.	
	1. INTRODUCTION	
	(1) Statement in sentence 2: Authors should provide reference if statement was not theirs.	
	(2) Last sentence of PARAGRAPGH 3: Authors should split sentence into two starting	
	from "and their population was also persisting under" and recast this last part of the sentence to make for better	
	understanding.	
	(3) Sentence 1 of PARAGRAPH 4:This part serves better as SENTENCE 2 of PRAGRAPH 2.	
	(4) Sentence 2 of PARAGRAPH 4: Join to paragarph 3.	
	(5) Last sentence of INRODUCTION: These statement were made in the past tense and depicts report of work already	
	done. Recast sentence. Also the last sentence of INTRODUCTION should introduce the aim of present research.	
	2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	
	(1) 2.1 Culturing of mites in the Laboratory: This tittle needs to be improved on.	
	Consider "Laboratory propagation of mites".	
	(2) Last sentence: Authors should provide information regarding equipment and	
	temperature at which transfected leaf discs were mainted for growth, making reference to other authors whose method	
	were adopted. Were the infected discs placed in between wet cotton and left in open or petri dishes with preparations	
	were incubated at 30°C? This is important to safely agree to the adult longevity period reported by author.	
	(1) 2.2. Studies on the biology of <i>T. urticae</i> : Sentence 1: If the lab grown mites/mites recovered from previous were	
	confirmed as females before second transfection, authors should state the method. Reference needs to be provided for	
	each protocol. (1) 2.4. Perpulation dynamics of T systical under protocted systical flags perpulation of a shape in population of	
	(1) 2.4. Population dynamics of <i>T. urticae</i> under protected cultivation: If any parameter (e.g., change in population of mites) was determined using mathematical relation, the formulae should be stated with reference.	
	3. RESULTS	
	(1) 3.1. Biology of <i>T. urticae:</i> In last sentences of the following subsections; egg, larva, protonymph deutonymph,total	
	development period, adult longevity, authors should cite (Table 1). In the subsection 'Adult', if still available,, a figure with	
	4-5 plates showing each stage of growth observed would be a good idea.	
	(1) 3.2. Population dynamics of <i>T. urticae</i> under protected condition: Sentence 2; The expression "Their occurrence	
	was recorde weekly intervals" should be corrected to "recorded on weekly intervals"	
	(2) SENTENCE 2: In the expression "and the lowest incidence was recorded during the entire month of December", authors	
	should sepicy the exact year (e.g., December 2021 or 2022).	
	(3) SENTENCE 2: Authors should put the citation (Table 2) to close the sentence 2.	
	(4) SENTENCE 3: Authors should cite (Table 4) (Figure 1) to close sentence 3	
	(5) SENTENCE 4: Same. (6) SENTENCE 5: Authors should cite (Tables 3 and 4) to close sentence 5.	
	(7) SENTENCE 5. Authors are to cite (Tables 5 and 4) to close sentence 5.	
	(8) TABLE 3: Label for the last column of Table 3 is needed.	
	4. DISCUSSION	
	(1) Authors should complete the last sentence of PARAGRAPH 1.	
	(2) In various sections of this writing, authors made several references/comparisons to population dynamics of mites in open	
	field conditions. Mention is therefore necessary, with references, of researches/results of other authors who had	
	previously worked and produced result with the open field experiments.	
	5. CONCLUSION: -	
	6. REFERENCES	
	(1) Reference entries 4 and 7, are incomplete as their sources were not provided.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	(1) Authors should be prudent in numbering the sections. In the section for 'Materials and methods', the numbering 2.2. was
	followed by 2.4.
	It is suggested that authors correct numbering in that section.
	(2) In the returning manuscript, texts highlighted in yellow need to be recasted for clarity.
	Texts in blue need to be moved to recommended section. Texts/words in red can be removed.
Optional/General comments	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Chikezie Ayogu
Department, University & Country	University of Minho, Portugal

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)