Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
ManuscriptNumber:	**Ms_IJPSS_88520**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Hydroponics studies to screen the root characters of rice landraces (Oryza sativa L.) under drought stress**
Type of the Item	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy)

PART 1:Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
CompulsoryREVIEW comments	**Theme:** Original cut theme, apparently this study does not exist in the work area. **Summary:** It is necessary to integrate the objective of the research. evaluate rice genotypes under hydroponics to identify root-based traits for drought tolerance. **Keywords:** The UNESCO Thesaurus allows the location of the article within a universal academic language: Agriculture - Agricultural development - agrarian economy .... **Introduction:** The study contextualizes the identified problem that has not yet been resolved. It is correctly justified as is the objective; It is necessary to state the hypothesis of this study. **Materials and methods:** It is described in a good way, the controlled experimental design, the study of the sample is favorable in relation to the investigation, the place of the study is shown as well as the interventions carried out and the statistical analysis of this process. **Results and Discussion:** It would be important to indicate that there are no studies on this subject to indicate its originality, therefore, comparisons of results cannot be made; Similarly, it is important to indicate the lines of research that this study offers and if there have been difficulties in the study process, it is good to mention them. Otherwise everything is correct. **Conclusions:** The conclusion fits the objective of the investigation. **Bibliographic references.** The citations provide important academic support to the proposed study.	
MinorREVIEW comments	THIS ARTICLE SHOWS A SERIOUS RESEARCH WITH IMPORTANT SOCIAL AND ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTION, THEREFORE, I SUGGEST IT BE PUBLISHED, WITH THE MINIMUM RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feed-back here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Jverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor**
Department, University & Country	**Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador**