Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Plant & Soil Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJPSS_88207**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Efficacy of Selected insecticides, Beaveria bassina ,Neem oil against Diamond back moth (Plutella xylostella)(L.) in Cabbage(Brassica oleracea var capitata).**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	• The title is appropriate for the work carried out • The introduction was relevant to the topic M&M: • The dosage of insecticide used in the experiment need to be mentioned R&D: • The results and discussion need to elaborate and add more of literatures related to the work • There were volume of works carried out on the particular topic with the same insect pests and microbial control strategies. Hence, the discussion may be elaborated with the already published works and justify with the present works results. • The figure is of poor quality and need to be revised or deleted as already the table has the relevant data • In table 1, the cumulative mean should be worked out for first spray and second spray separately and then pooled • Per cent reduction over control treatment may be worked out for providing better understanding about the efficacy of different components • In Table 2, the term cost of yield is not relevant, change that into market price as Rs.750/q • In the Table 2, it was mentioned that neem oil treatment cost was Rs.4425 which is second highest among the components used. Justify	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	**General but important comments:** • The language is poor and need to revise completely • The zoological names should be noted with italic letters, the punctuation used in the text need to be checked, table format, texts has to be checked for better alignment • The manuscript is written casually and need for major revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**R. P. Soundararajan**
Department, University & Country	**Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India**