# Response of NPK, Zinc and Boron fertilization on physico-chemical properties of soil under *summer* Green gram (*Vigna radiate* L.) cultivation in an Inceptisol of Prayagraj, (Uttar Pradesh)


## Abstract

The study pertaining to the present topic under field investigation is entitled "Response of N, P, K, Zinc and Boron fertilization on Soil Health, Growth and Yield Attributes of *Summer* Green gram (*Vigna radiata L.*) in an Inceptisol of Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh" for two consecutive years, beginning from the *summer* seasons of the years 2021 and 2022 at Research Farm, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry. Before conducting research operations, an excavated soil sample from the experimental site revealed that the land topography ranged from nearly level to 1-3% slope, the soil is of sandy loam texture with neutral to alkaline in reaction (7.62), the electrical conductivity was non-saline (0.23 dSm-1) in nature, the low organic carbon content (0.29%), the low to medium available N (146.62 kg $ha^{-1}$), available P (13.78 kg $ha^{-1}$) and available K (207.15kg $ha^{-1}$). The best results were seen with treatment ($T_{11}$), which was made up of RDF (20:40:20 NPK kg $ha^{-1}$) + Zinc@6 kg $ha^{-1}$+ Boron@3 kg $ha^{-1}$. This treatment used NPK and different micronutrient (Zinc and Boron) levels at the same time. regard to physical soil parameters, the cumulative mean value for bulk density (1.27 Mg $m^{-3}$), percent pore space (47.74%), particle density (2.67 Mg $m^{-3}$) and percent maximum water holding capacity (43.68%) were increased and chemical soil parameters with a cumulative mean of slightly saline soil pH (7.81), average electrical conductivity (0.37 dS $m^{-1}$), high available N (275.93 kg $ha^{-1}$), high available P in $T_2$ (21.07 kg $ha^{-1}$) due to the antagonistic effect of zinc on Phosphorous, high available K (230.38 kg $ha^{-1}$), high available Zn (0.623 mg kg-1), and high available B (0.616 mg $kg^{-1}$) were labeled in comparison to other NPK and micronutrients levels treatments.



**Key words:** Soil health, Green gram, Zinc, Boron and soil properties.


## Introduction

Next to cereals, Pulses play a vital role in agriculture as these provide proteins, minerals, vitamins, rich vegetables and fodder. As the legume crops have self-nitrogen fixing capacity,

**Comment [A1]:** Near neutral

**Comment [A2]:** Superscript

**Comment [A3]:** 240 – 480 Kg per ha is medium range (Subbiah & Aseja method)

**Comment [A4]:** 11-22 kg per ha is medium range (Olsen method)

**Comment [A5]:** 118-280 kg per ha is medium range their contribution has an added advantage in the present day of fertilizer crisis in the country. Pulses form the second largest source of dietary protein. Pulses are annual leguminous crops yielding between one and 12 grains or seeds of variable size, shape and colour within a pod, used for both food and feed. The term “pulses” is limited to crops harvested solely for dry grain, thereby excluding crops harvested green for food, which are classified as vegetable crops, as well as those crops used mainly for oil extraction and leguminous crops that are used exclusively for sowing purposes.

The most limiting factor that has affected the production of crops and productivity of Indo Gangetic plain is fertilizer through imbalanced and indiscriminate use on one hand and withdrawal of organic matter from the schedule of inputs on the other (Kumar *et al.*, 2008). Therefore integrated nutrient management (INM) has been an increasing necessity especially for the sub-tropical Indian soils. Using mixture of organic manure with that of the fertilizers is believed to increase productivity of the crop plants. Thus, to achieve higher efficiency, the awareness needs to be spread on use of organic manure in the farms. Even though there has been a marked increase in the production due to use of NPK fertilizers; however, this has led to a number of issues, such as causing micronutrient deficiency in plants, like that of the Zn. Micronutrient deficiency in Indian soils has emerged as one of the major constraints to crop productivity. While zinc, iron, boron and manganese deficient areas are vast, copper and molybdenum deficiency has also been observed in many districts of the country. Zinc is involved in auxin formation, activation of dehydragenase enzymes and stabilization of ribosomal fractions. Iron (Fe) is an essential nutrient for plant growth and development and it is involved in chlorophyll and thylakoid synthesis and chloroplast development (Gidaganti *et. al.* 2019).

Lack of zinc causes deficiency in formation of RNA and protein. Therefore, the plant with lack of zinc is poor in amount of protein. Hence, the present study was undertaken to examine the integrated impact of spacing, sources of nutrient and method of zinc application on yield attributes, productivity and economics of green gram (Krishnaprabu, 2019).

**Comment [A6]:** Missing reference

Boron is important for sugar translocation, nitrogen utilization and protein synthesis. plays important role in synthesis of essential amino acids like cystine, methionine & certain vitamins like biotine, thymine, Vitamin B1 as well as the formation of ferodoxin & iron containing plants.

Hence, objectives of the study are simply justified. Keeping these considerations in view, an investigation was carried out during *summer* season of 2021 and 2022.

## Material and Methods

### 3.1 Experimental site and location

The experimental site of the research farm which falls under Geographical Co-ordinates of Prayagraj District which is located at $25^0$ 58’ N latitude and $81^0$ 52' E longitude with an altitude of 98 meter above mean sea level and is situated 5 km away on the right bank of Yamuna river. Representing the Agro-Ecological Sub Region [North Alluvial plain zone **(**0-1 % slope**)**] and Agro-Climatic Zone (Upper Gangetic Plain Region).

### 3.2 Climate condition

The area of the region which is characterized by sub-tropical and has a semi-arid type of climate, which experience extremely hot and dry summer spells from April to June where temperature reaches maximum up to $46^0$C and touches $48^0$C followed by relative humidity during July to September ranged from 20 - 90 percent, fairly seldom falls of cold with frosty spells as low as $4^0$C and dips up to $2^0$C is noticed. Here a few showers of cyclonic rains are received are called as winter monsoon (North-East monsoon), which is seen during November to January and mild climate from February to March. The rainfall in this particular region starts from middle of July to end of September and commonly known as summer monsoon (South-West monsoon). This South-West monsoon brings major portion of the rainfall (75 percent) with mean annually around 900 to 1100 mm.

### 3.6 Experimental details

The present research investigation was setup in a randomized block design (RBD) having eleven treatment combinations which is replicated thrice, randomly allocated in each replication, dividing the research site into thirty-three plots. The Green gram variety PDM-139 (Samrat) was grown during the two experimental years 2021 and 2022. In this study, inorganic fertilizers like Nitrogen, Phosphorous, Potassium, Zinc and Boron were applied.

**Table 1. Treatment details**

| Treatment | *Summer* variety- PDM-139 (Samrat) |
|---|---|
| $T_1$ | Absolute control |
| $T_2$ | Only RDF |
| $T_3$ | RDF + Zn@2 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ |
| $T_4$ | RDF + Zn@2 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ |
| $T_5$ | RDF + Zn@2 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ |
| $T_6$ | RDF + Zn@4 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ |
| $T_7$ | RDF + Zn@4 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ |
| $T_8$ | RDF + Zn@4 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ |
| $T_9$ | RDF + Zn@6 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ |
| $T_{10}$ | RDF + Zn@6 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ |
| $T_{11}$ | RDF + Zn@6 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ |

## Fertilizer application

Recommended dose of NPK (100%) was applied to the green gram crop were N (20 kg ha$^{-1}$), P2O5 (40 kg ha$^{-1}$) and K2O (20 kg ha$^{-1}$). The 100 percent application of N, P and K was applied as basal dose at the time of sowing. In addition to these applications, Zinc was applied as basal @ 2, 4 and 6 kg ha$^{-1}$ with Boron 1, 2 and 3 kg ha$^{-1}$ only to the treatment with Zn and B. The sources of NPK fertilizers was nitrogen through urea (46% N), phosphorus through single superphosphate (16% $P_2O_5$), potash through Muriate of potash (60% $K_2O$) and zinc through zinc sulphate (21% Zn) and Boron through borax (11.3% B) was applied prior to sowing in concerning treatments just before the seed sowing.

Sowing of Green gram crop was carried out on 26$^{th}$ and 25$^{th}$ of March month during 2021 and 2022, respectively by manually. Seed variety PDM-139 (Samrat) was sown at the rate of 25 kg ha$^{-1}$ and 5 cm depth, at a row to row spacing of 30 cm and plant to pant spacing 10 cm.

## Soils analysis

The soils from each plot were separately collected, air-dried, ground and passed through 2-mm size sieve for laboratory analysis. Soil samples were analyzed for OC by Walkley and Black method (Walkley and Black 1934), water holding capacity (WHC) using Keen Raczkowski box (Piper 1966), pH, available K (Jackon 1973) and available P (Bray and Kurtz 1945) before sowing the experimental crop and after the harvest of crop. The soil samples were extracted for available B (Wear 1965); the extract was treated with activated charcoal and estimated calorimetrically using azomethine-H method (Wolf 1971). Available

Comment [A7]: Missing reference

Zn was extracted with DTPA-TEA (pH 7.3) (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978) and estimated with the help of atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS, Model: ELCO-SL194).

## Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis of the data was carried out using STATISTICA (7.0) software.

## Results and Discussion

### *Effect of nutrient management in physical properties of soil after harvest of Green gram*

The data showed that the bulk density of soil were 1.24 and 1.27 Mg $m^{-3}$ and 1.25 and 1.27 Mg $m^{-3}$, particle density 2.65 and 2.66 Mg $m^{-3}$ and 2.66 and 2.67 Mg $m^{-3}$, pore space 46.79 and 47.74 % and 46.99 and 47.56 %, water retention capacity 43.59 % and 43.37 % and 43.63 % and 43.68 % of soil were found optimum in treatment $T_{11}$ RDF (20:40:20 NPK kg $ha^{-1}$) + Zinc@6 kg $ha^{-1}$+ Boron @3 kg $ha^{-1}$) over absolute control treatment at 0-15 cm depth and at 15-30 cm depth during the years 2021 and 2022 (Table 2). This corroborates with the findings of Kumari *et al.*, 2017, Kudi *et al*., 2018 and Karthik *et al*., 2021.

### *Effect of nutrient management in chemical properties of soil after harvest of Green gram*

The data showed that the treatment $T_{11}$ with RDF (20:40:20 NPK kg $ha^{-1}$) + Zinc@6 kg $ha^{-1}$+ Boron @3 kg $ha^{-1}$) significantly influenced the soil pH 7..79 and 7.74 and 7.81 and 7.78, electrical conductivity 0.35 and 0.33 and 0.37 and 0.35, organic carbon 0.48 and 0.45 % and 0.49 and 0.44 % content in soil, however lowest values were observed in the treatments $T_1$ (absolute control) at 0-15 cm depth and at 15-30 cm depth during the years 2021 and 2022, accordingly (Table 3).

**Comment [A8]:** 7.79

There was significant build-up of available N, available K, available Zn and available B with the applied treatments (Table 4). Maximum build-up of available N (272.07, 274.50 kg $ha^{-1}$ and 273.60, 275.93 kg $ha^{-1}$), available K (226.42, 225.81 kg $ha^{-1}$ and 230.38, 228.54 kg $ha^{-1}$), available Zn (0.570, 0.557 mg $kg^{-1}$ and 0.623 and 0.607 mg $kg^{-1}$) and available B (0.587, 0.573 mg $kg^{-1}$ and 0.616, 0.604 mg $kg^{-1}$) was recorded under the treatment $T_{11}$ RDF (20:40:20 NPK kg $ha^{-1}$) + Zinc@6 kg $ha^{-1}$+ Boron @3 kg $ha^{-1}$) which was at par with the treatments $T_9$ with (RDF 20:40:20 NPK kg $ha^{-1}$ + Zinc@6 kg $ha^{-1}$ and Boron @1 kg $ha^{-1}$) and $T_{10}$ with (RDF 20:40:20 NPK kg $ha^{-1}$ + Zinc@6 kg $ha^{-1}$ and Boron @2 kg $ha^{-1}$). Thus, the results indicate that both B and Zn significantly affected N, K, Zn and B availability in the soil. However, build-up of available P was drastically reduced with the application of Zn and B. optimum results were found in treatment $T_2$ with RDF *i.e.* NP and K only (20.70, 20.48 kg $ha^{-1}$ and 21.07, 20.86 kg $ha^{-1}$) over all other remaining treatment combinations at 0-15 cm and at 15-30 cm soil depth during the years 2021 and 2022, accordingly. This may be due to negative interaction of Zn and B on availability of soil. Kumari *et al.,* 2017, Kudi *et al*., 2018 and Karthik *et al*., 2021 also reported similar trends of results with green gram.

## Conclusion

Based on the results, it is concluded that the application of NPK with micronutrient levels (Zinc and Boron) in treatment ($T_{11}$) RDF (20:40:20 NPK kg $ha^{-1}$)+ Zinc@6 kg $ha^{-1}$+ Boron @3 kg $ha^{-1}$, was found foremost in improving physical and chemical properties of soil, namely bulk density, particle density, % pore space, water holding capacity, EC, pH, organic carbon, available NPK and micronutrients *(*Zinc and Boron) than other treatment, combined with NPK and different levels of Zinc and Boron. Thus, it can be concluded that NPK and different levels of micronutrients (Zinc and Boron) improved soil available nutrients *i.e.* soil available Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Zinc, Boron and electrical conductivity. However, pH of soil increased and also the treatments $T_{11}$ recorded the finest treatment which increased the accessibility of nutrients and altered physico-chemical properties of soil.

Zinc and Boron nutrition with NPK significantly improves the soil health in green gram crop. The soil method of application of Zinc and Boron with NPK show favourable results. It is preferable nutrient (NPK with micronutrient) management option for improving the fertility of the soil. Hence, it can be recommended that to ameliorate sustainability of soil fertility in the inceptisol, the combined application of NPK, Zinc and Boron is the best option.



***References***

Black, C.A. (1965) Methods of soil analysis vol.2, Am. Soc. Agraon., Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.

Bray, R.H. and Kurtz, L.T. (1945) Determination of Total Organic and Available Forms of Phosphorus in Soils. Soil Science, 59, 39-45.

Gidaganti A., Thomas T., Rao S. and David A.A. (2019). Effect of different levels of micronutrients on crop growth and yield parameters of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) Cv. IPM 02-03. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 7 (3), 866-869.

Jackson, M. L., (1973) Soil Chemical Analysis Prentice Hall of India Pvt Ltd., New Delhi. 219-221.

Karthik, B., Umesha, C., Meshram, M. R., Mahesh, K., and Priyadharshini, A. S. (2021). Effect of nitrogen levels and boron on growth and economics of greengram (Vigna radiata L.).

Krishnaprabu, S. (2019). Impact of spacing, sources of nutrient and methods of zinc application on yield attributes of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering*, 8 (82).

Kudi, S., Swaroop, N., David, A. A., Thomas, T., Hasan, A., and Rao, S. (2018). Effect of different levels of Sulphur and zinc on soil health and yield of Greengram (Vigna radiata L.) Var. Patidar-111. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7 (3), 2271-2274.

Kumar, S. S., Eswari, K. B., & Latha, V. S. (2018). Combining ability analysis for seed yield and its component characters in green gram (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, *6*(2), 237-242.

Kumari (2017). Effect of Foliar Nutrition on Productivity of Green gram (Vigna radiata L.) (Masters dissertation, Department of Agronomy, BAU, Jharkhand).

Lindsay, W.L. and Norvel, W.A. 1978, Development of DTPA soil test for Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu. Soil sci.soc. American. J, 42; 421-428.

Muthuvel, P.,Udayasoorian, C., Natesan, R. and Ramaswamy, P. P.(1992) Introduction to Soil Analysis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore-641002.

Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. V., Watanable, F. S. and Dean, L. A., (1954) Estimation of available Phosphorus in soils by extraction with Sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO3) U.S.D.A Circular. 939:1-19.

Piper, C.S. (1966) Soil and Plant Analysis. University of Adelaide, Australia.

Subbiah, B. V. and Asiija, E.C., (1956) A rapid procedure for Estimation of available Nitrogen in soil. Current Science 25(8): 259-260.

Toth, S. j. and Prince, A. L. (1949) Estimation of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable Ca, k, and Na content of soil by flame photometer technique. Soil sci., 67, 439-445.

Walkley, A. and Black, I. A., (1947) Estimation of soil organic carbon chromic acid titration method Soil Science. 47: 29-38.

Wear, J. I. (1965). Boron. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 2 Chemical and Microbiological Properties*, *9*, 1059-1063.

Wolf, B. (1971). The determination of boron in soil extracts, plant materials, composts, manures, water and nutrient solutions. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, *2*(5), 363-374.

**Table 2.** Soil physical properties after harvest of green gram as influence by different treatment combinations.

| Treatments | | Bulk density (Mg m$^{-3}$) | | | | Particle density (Mg m$^{-3}$) | | | | Pore space (%) | | | | Water retention capacity (%) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2021 | | 2022 | | 2021 | | 2022 | | 2021 | | 2022 | | 2021 | | 2022 | |
| | | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm |
| **$T_1$** | Absolute control | 1.19 | 1.20 | 1.19 | 1.21 | 2.61 | 2.63 | 2.62 | 2.63 | 44.44 | 45.62 | 45.41 | 46.00 | 34.91 | 35.92 | 35.04 | 36.12 |
| **$T_2$** | Only RDF | 1.20 | 1.22 | 1.20 | 1.23 | 2.62 | 2.64 | 2.64 | 2.64 | 45.80 | 46.21 | 45.45 | 46.59 | 36.26 | 36.53 | 36.48 | 36.91 |
| **$T_3$** | RDF + Zn@2 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 1.22 | 1.23 | 1.22 | 1.23 | 2.63 | 2.64 | 2.64 | 2.65 | 46.38 | 46.59 | 46.21 | 46.41 | 36.72 | 36.76 | 37.30 | 36.92 |
| **$T_4$** | RDF + Zn@2 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 1.19 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 2.64 | 2.64 | 2.66 | 2.64 | 45.07 | 46.59 | 46.24 | 46.96 | 37.94 | 38.29 | 38.15 | 38.70 |
| **$T_5$** | RDF + Zn@2 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 1.20 | 1.24 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 2.63 | 2.65 | 2.64 | 2.65 | 45.62 | 46.79 | 46.59 | 46.79 | 38.83 | 39.15 | 38.94 | 39.57 |
| **$T_6$** | RDF + Zn@4 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 2.65 | 2.64 | 46.76 | 46.76 | 46.41 | 46.96 | 38.95 | 39.50 | 39.15 | 39.84 |
| **$T_7$** | RDF + Zn@4 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 1.23 | 1.24 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 2.64 | 2.62 | 2.64 | 2.65 | 46.59 | 47.32 | 46.59 | 46.79 | 39.01 | 39.61 | 39.12 | 39.79 |
| **$T_8$** | RDF + Zn@4 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 1.23 | 1.24 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 2.62 | 2.64 | 2.65 | 2.65 | 46.94 | 46.96 | 46.41 | 46.79 | 40.54 | 40.66 | 40.63 | 40.73 |
| **$T_9$** | RDF + Zn@6 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 1.24 | 1.25 | 1.24 | 1.25 | 2.63 | 2.64 | 2.65 | 2.65 | 47.14 | 47.34 | 46.79 | 47.16 | 40.82 | 40.99 | 40.93 | 41.17 |
| **$T_{10}$** | RDF + Zn@6 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 1.23 | 1.25 | 1.24 | 1.26 | 2.64 | 2.65 | 2.65 | 2.66 | 46.59 | 47.16 | 46.79 | 47.36 | 41.48 | 41.82 | 41.57 | 41.87 |
| **$T_{11}$** | RDF + Zn@6 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 1.24 | 1.27 | 1.25 | 1.27 | 2.65 | 2.66 | 2.66 | 2.67 | 46.79 | 47.74 | 46.99 | 47.56 | 43.59 | 43.37 | 43.63 | 43.68 |
| SE m (±) | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *CD (P=0.05)* | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

**Table 3.** Soil chemical properties and available nutrients after harvest of green gram as influence by different treatment combinations.

| Treatments | | pH | | | | EC | | | | OC (%) | | | | Available Nitrogen (kg ha$^{-1}$) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2021 | | 2022 | | 2021 | | 2022 | | 2021 | | 2022 | | 2021 | | 2022 | |
| | | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm |
| $T_1$ | Absolute control | 7.14 | 7.08 | 7.45 | 7.38 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 261.80 | 265.13 | 263.27 | 266.13 |
| $T_2$ | Only RDF | 7.58 | 7.46 | 7.73 | 7.67 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 267.03 | 268.10 | 267.60 | 269.40 |
| $T_3$ | RDF + Zn@2 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 7.61 | 7.56 | 7.64 | 7.59 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 266.97 | 268.27 | 267.50 | 269.83 |
| $T_4$ | RDF + Zn@2 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 7.69 | 7.58 | 7.78 | 7.74 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 267.37 | 267.93 | 267.27 | 268.90 |
| $T_5$ | RDF + Zn@2 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 7.69 | 7.69 | 7.75 | 7.71 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.41 | 266.10 | 268.27 | 268.00 | 269.33 |
| $T_6$ | RDF + Zn@4 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 7.55 | 7.52 | 7.56 | 7.53 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 267.40 | 268.43 | 268.57 | 269.60 |
| $T_7$ | RDF + Zn@4 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 7.55 | 7.54 | 7.67 | 7.64 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 268.80 | 269.80 | 269.80 | 270.60 |
| $T_8$ | RDF + Zn@4 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 7.76 | 7.72 | 7.79 | 7.73 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 270.13 | 271.60 | 271.47 | 272.47 |
| $T_9$ | RDF + Zn@6 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 7.57 | 7.55 | 7.61 | 7.58 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 271.63 | 272.80 | 272.70 | 273.57 |
| $T_{10}$ | RDF + Zn@6 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 7.66 | 7.61 | 7.69 | 7.64 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 272.01 | 273.77 | 272.87 | 274.07 |
| $T_{11}$ | RDF + Zn@6 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 7.79 | 7.74 | 7.81 | 7.78 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.44 | 272.07 | 274.50 | 273.60 | 275.93 |
| SE m (±) | | - | - | - | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.76 | 1.88 | 0.27 | 1.67 |
| *CD (P=0.05)* | | - | - | - | - | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 2.24 | 5.53 | 0.80 | 4.90 |

**Table 4.** Soil available nutrients after harvest of green gram as influence by different treatment combinations.

| Treatments | | Available Phosphorus (kg ha$^{-1}$) | | | | Available Potassium (kg ha$^{-1}$) | | | | Available Zinc (mg kg$^{-1}$) | | | | Available Boron (mg kg$^{-1}$) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2021 | | 2022 | | 2021 | | 2022 | | 2021 | | 2022 | | 2021 | | 2022 | |
| | | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm | 0-15 cm | 15-30 cm |
| $T_1$ | Absolute control | 16.87 | 16.24 | 17.40 | 16.76 | 150.05 | 149.84 | 166.59 | 165.86 | 0.158 | 0.146 | 0.189 | 0.176 | 0.213 | 0.207 | 0.230 | 0.223 |
| $T_2$ | Only RDF | 20.70 | 20.48 | 21.07 | 20.86 | 162.10 | 161.88 | 176.88 | 175.99 | 0.257 | 0.243 | 0.277 | 0.270 | 0.293 | 0.287 | 0.317 | 0.310 |
| $T_3$ | RDF + Zn@2 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 18.90 | 18.56 | 19.07 | 18.73 | 172.38 | 168.87 | 184.17 | 183.56 | 0.303 | 0.297 | 0.317 | 0.313 | 0.363 | 0.357 | 0.390 | 0.383 |
| $T_4$ | RDF + Zn@2 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 19.28 | 19.11 | 19.33 | 19.24 | 177.30 | 177.05 | 188.81 | 188.31 | 0.333 | 0.327 | 0.347 | 0.337 | 0.370 | 0.363 | 0.413 | 0.407 |
| $T_5$ | RDF + Zn@2 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 18.63 | 18.31 | 18.69 | 18.60 | 185.09 | 184.41 | 191.07 | 190.14 | 0.390 | 0.380 | 0.420 | 0.413 | 0.423 | 0.417 | 0.437 | 0.423 |
| $T_6$ | RDF + Zn@4 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 19.70 | 19.53 | 19.77 | 19.72 | 192.21 | 191.50 | 196.20 | 194.93 | 0.433 | 0.417 | 0.457 | 0.447 | 0.453 | 0.447 | 0.480 | 0.470 |
| $T_7$ | RDF + Zn@4 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 18.93 | 18.51 | 19.01 | 18.61 | 202.44 | 201.59 | 205.96 | 204.98 | 0.450 | 0.440 | 0.477 | 0.457 | 0.470 | 0.460 | 0.500 | 0.487 |
| $T_8$ | RDF + Zn@4 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 18.10 | 17.89 | 18.14 | 18.03 | 212.94 | 212.13 | 216.30 | 214.58 | 0.473 | 0.460 | 0.497 | 0.487 | 0.507 | 0.497 | 0.557 | 0.537 |
| $T_9$ | RDF + Zn@6 + B @1 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 19.37 | 18.95 | 19.45 | 19.29 | 216.61 | 216.04 | 219.76 | 218.03 | 0.487 | 0.473 | 0.517 | 0.507 | 0.500 | 0.490 | 0.570 | 0.560 |
| $T_{10}$ | RDF + Zn@6 + B @2 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 19.17 | 18.59 | 19.19 | 18.76 | 221.34 | 220.52 | 224.19 | 223.42 | 0.523 | 0.513 | 0.557 | 0.547 | 0.530 | 0.521 | 0.597 | 0.585 |
| $T_{11}$ | RDF + Zn@6 + B @3 kg ha$^{-1}$ | 18.90 | 18.44 | 19.05 | 18.55 | 226.42 | 225.81 | 230.38 | 228.54 | 0.570 | 0.557 | 0.623 | 0.607 | 0.587 | 0.573 | 0.616 | 0.604 |
| SE m (±) | | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.66 | 0.85 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| *CD (P=0.05)* | | 0.76 | 1.08 | 1.03 | 1.39 | 1.96 | 2.51 | 2.69 | 2.74 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 |