

1 **EFFECT OF COW DUNG DOSE AND SOWING DENSITY ON THE**
2 **AGRONOMIC PERFORMANCE OF A COTTON VARIETY (*Gossypium***
3 ***hirsutum* L.) GROWN IN THE BERE REGION IN THE NORTH-WEST OF**
4 **CÔTE D'IVOIRE.**

6 **Abstract**

7 The present study aims to determine the optimal dose of ox dung and the sowing
8 density that optimize the yield of seed cotton in the region of BERE in the North-West of
9 Côte d'Ivoire. Six fertilizer doses (T0, T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5) were tested. Among these six
10 doses, T1, T2, T3 and T4 are composed of a mixture of ox dung and NPK mineral fertilizer,
11 T0 is the mineral NPK fertilizer and T5 is composed only of cattle dung. These doses were
12 tested on cotton plants through 4 seeding densities : low density (Lo D : 50cm x 80cm, either
13 50000 plants/ha), medium density 1 (Med D1 : 40cm x 80cm, either 62500 plants/ha),
14 medium density 2 (Med D : 20cm x 80cm, either 62500 plants/ha) and high density (Hi D :
15 30cm x 80cm, soit 83500 plants/ha). Observations focused on six agronomic parameters. All
16 the organo-mineral treatments were more productive than the simple NPK and beef dung
17 treatment. The T2 treatment (2000 kg/ha of cow dung + 100 kg/ha of NPK) made it possible
18 to observe the highest values of the number of capsules per plant (14.37 capsules), of the
19 cottonseed mass per plant (57.02 g) and seed cotton yield (3636.23 kg/ha). In terms of sowing
20 density, cotton plants sown under high density (Hi D : 30cm x 80cm, or 83,500 plants/ha)
21 gave a higher seed cotton yield (3950.68 kg/ha). The medium seed cotton yield of cotton
22 plants reached its optimum (4434.77 kg/ha) when they were sown at high density (83500
23 plants/ha) and treated with T2 (2000 kg/ha of cattle dung + 100 kg /ha of NPK).

24 **Keywords :** cotton plant, yield, density, dose, ox dung, fertilizer.

Comment [A1]: Plant.ha⁻¹

Comment [A2]: kg.ha⁻¹
international unit writing in english

26 Introduction

27 The decline in yields in cotton agrarian units is recurrent in Côte d'Ivoire despite the use
28 of mineral fertilizers. As a result, the use of organic manure and in particular ox dung in
29 the Ivorian cotton basin is no longer a matter of choice, but a necessity to increase yields
30 and maintain soil fertility. Cotton plants treated with ox dung have been shown to produce
31 more than those treated with NPK only (**Ganry and Thuries, 2010**). Other studies
32 maintain that dung alone does not allow the stability of yield and soil fertility. It must
33 therefore be used in combination with mineral fertilizers (**Traoré, 2012**). The
34 effectiveness of organo-mineral fertilizers has also been proven on soil fertility in Côte
35 d'Ivoire by **Akanza et al., 2003**), on cotton yield in Mali by **koulibaly (2009)** and on the
36 agronomic parameters of several other crops including cassava in Côte d'Ivoire (**Konan,**
37 **2021**) and pineapple in Benin (**Kpéra et al., 2017**). Despite its beneficial actions on the
38 physical and chemical properties of the soil (**Bacýé et al., 2019**) and on cotton yield
39 (**Dagbenonbakin et al., 2012**), the quantities of ox dung brought into cotton farming units
40 are still at the discretion of producers in Côte d'Ivoire. It has also been reported that in
41 Burkina Faso, the quantities of organic manure brought to the fields also vary from one
42 producer to another. (**Kaboré, 2014**). However, Beninese experiments have reported that
43 the optimization of seed cotton yield admits an optimal dose of ox dung. The optimal dose
44 of fertilizer is a very important factor to take into account in any production system.
45 Indeed, below the optimal dose, the plants are under-fed. As a result, they can show
46 deficiencies in certain nutritive elements and consequently express poor yields. below. In
47 addition, the determination of the optimal dose of each type of fertilizer requires
48 knowledge of the level of influence of the sowing density. Because it has been justified
49 that the yield of crops in the open field varies according to sowing densities (**Kouassi**
50 **2012 ; Kouassi et al., 2017**). Indeed, the efficient use of soil resources depends on the
51 number of plants present on a given surface unit. Indeed, it has been demonstrated in
52 China that cotton fiber yield increases linearly with density up to the color of the density
53 balance point beyond which yield decreases (**Khan et al., 2020**). Studies have also shown
54 that cotton yield varies with seeding rate when growing conditions change (**Sekloka et**
55 **al., 2016**). Also, cotton plants express their best yield when the sowing density reaches its
56 optimum (**Amonmidé et al., 2020**). In China, **Yang et al., (2014)** have shown that, when
57 the sowing density reaches its equilibrium, it generates an environment favorable to
58 cotton plants and which consequently optimizes their yield. Other studies have also shown

declines in cotton lint yields linked to the reduction in sowing density in China (**Khan et al., 2017**). This is why, in order to optimize seed cotton yield by ox dung in the Ivorian cotton basin, this study proposes to determine the optimal dose of ox dung and the optimal sowing density associated with this dose in the region of Côte d'Ivoire. BERE in the North-West of the Côte d'Ivoire.

1. Materials and Methods

1-1. Study Zone

The study took place from 2019 to 2021 in the Sub-Prefecture of Tiéningboué in the BERE region in the northwest of Côte d'Ivoire. The study plot has coordinates of 8.120° North and 5.760° West. The soil that housed the study is of the Ferrisol type. It is loose and has a sandy-clay texture with an estimated chemical composition of 0.18% nitrogen, 0.005% phosphorus, 0.036% potassium, 0.55% organic matter and 0.32% organic carbon. Its pH is equal to 5.84. The annual rainfall recorded over the study period (2019, 2020 and 2021) are respectively 822 mm, 942 mm and 1124 mm. The area is under the influence of two seasons. The dry one which extends from November to the end of February, is characterized by dry and hot winds which blow from North to South. The other called rainy season starts from March to October.

1-2. plant material

Cotton seeds of an improved 3rd generation variety were used as planting material. This is Gouassou fus/R3 with a cycle of between 130 and 150 days of the *Gossypium hirsutum* cultivar. He was selected by le Centre National de Recherches Agronomiques (CNRA) of Cote d'Ivoire and popularized by la compagnie Ivoirienne pour le développement des textiles (CIDT).

1-3. Fertilizers

The main fertilizers used in this study consist of a mineral fertilizer (NPK) according to the formulation (15.15.15) and an organic fertilizer (ox dung). The chemical composition of the cows dung used is (N = 1,56% ; P = 0,14% ; K = 0,54%) as well as (12,26% organic carbon, 21,24% organic matter, 0,99% magnesium and 1,59% Calcium). It has a basic pH equal to 8,65.

1-4. Methods

1-4.1. Experimental device and cultural practice

The experimental device used is a completely randomized block with 3 repetitions with 6 treatments. The plot has been demarcated over an area of 10,000 m² (1 ha). It was subdivided into 3 blocks each having an area of 3000 m² (30 m x 100 m). The distance between 2 consecutive blocks is 5 m. Within each block, 48 elementary plots

Comment [A3]: , or . ??

have been delimited with an area of 50 m² (10 m x 5 m). They are 2 m apart from each other. On each elementary plot, 6 ridges 10 m long and 0.3 m high were made. The crests of the ridges are 0.8 m apart. The different processing operations carried out within each block are :

T0 = 200 kg/ha of NPK ;

T1 = 1500 kg/ha cow dung + 150 kg/ha of NPK ;

T2 = 2000 kg/ha of cow dung + 100 kg/ha of NPK ;

T3 = 2500 kg/ha cow dung + 100 kg/ha of NPK ;

T4 = 2500 kg/ha of cow dung + 50 kg/ha of NPK ;

T5 = 3000 kg/ha cow dung.

In order to better assess the effect of each of these doses, 4 sowing densities have been defined, namely:

- low density (lo D) = 252 plants / elementary plot (50 cm x 80 cm with 2 plants/ pocket, either 50000 plants / ha)

- Medium density 1 (Me D1) = 306 plants / elementary plot (40 cm x 80 cm with 2 plants each pocket, either 62500 plants / ha)

- Medium density 2 (Me D2) = 306 plants / elementary plot (20 cm x 80 cm with 1 plant each pocket, either 62500 plants / ha)

- High density (Hi D) = 408 plants / elementary plot (30 cm x 80 cm with 2 plants each pocket, either 83500 plants / ha).

1-4.2. The treatment of the elementary plots with ox dung was carried out 1 month before sowing the seeds. While the spreading of NPK occurred on the 21st day after sowing. Sowing is carried out on the same day in June. Regular weeding was carried out. The first took place 60 days after sowing and the second, at the start of boll opening (i.e. 110 days after sowing). During the cycle, 6 insecticide treatments were carried out with a rate of 14 days to fight against parasites.

1-4.3. Collection of data

Data collection began at the beginning of the opening of the capsules, i.e. 110 days after sowing. Data are collected on 90 randomly selected plants in each elementary plot. Thus, the vegetative branches, the fruiting branches and the mature capsules were counted on each of the plants chosen. The length of the main stem of these plants was measured. After all the capsules were opened (140 days after sowing), the seed cotton from each plant was harvested and weighed using an electronic scale. The method of collecting data on the parameters studied is summarized in **the table 1.**

Comment [A4]: kg.ha⁻¹
international unit writing in english

Comment [A5]: Plants.ha⁻¹

127

128 **Table 1:** Measurement methods and parameters studied.

Agro-morphological parameters	Measurement methods and sample size per elementary Plot (carried out on 90 plants)
Length of main stem: Lg MSt (cm)	Measurement of the distance between the soil surface of the terminal bud,
Number of vegetative branches : Nbr V Br	Number of all vegetative branches of each plant,
Number of fruiting branches : Nbr F Br	Number of all the fruiting branches of each plant,
Number of capsules : Nbr Ca	Number of all the mature capsules of each plant,
Mass of seed cotton : Ma S Cton(g)	Medium mass of seed cotton per plant,
Seed cotton Yield : Yield (kg/ha)	Mass of seed cotton per plant evaluated per hectare

Comment [A6]: Preferably not in a table, but in the form of a sentence

129

130 **1-4.4. Statistical Analysis of Data**

131 The two-factor variance analysis (FVAN 2) was used to evaluate the effects of
 132 fertilizer doses and different sowing densities on cotton agro-morphological parameters. The
 133 significance of the test was determined by comparing the probability (P) associated with the
 134 statistic to the threshold $P = 0.05$. When a significant difference was observed ($P < 0.05$)
 135 between the factors studied for a given parameter, multiple comparisons were carried out
 136 using the Least Significant Difference test (PPDS). This test made it possible to identify the
 137 factors that significantly induce this difference. All statistical tests were performed using R
 138 software.

139 2. Results

140 2.1. Comparison of different doses of fertilizers according to the agronomic parameters 141 of the cotton plant

142 The analysis of the data reveals that there is a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between the
143 different doses applied to the parameters of the cotton plant (**Table 2**). The highest values of
144 all the parameters analyzed were obtained with the T2 treatment (2000 kg/ha of ox dung +
145 100 kg/ha of NPK). The lowest values were observed under the T0 control (200 kg/ha of
146 NPK). The T2 treatment increased the number of capsules by 1.21 times compared to the pure
147 NPK mineral fertilizer control T0 (200 kg/ha of NPK) and by 1.11 times compared to the
148 exclusive ox dung control T5 (3000 kg/ha of beef dung). The values of seed cotton mass
149 (57.02g) and seed cotton yield (3636.23 kg/ha) observed under T2 are 1.39 times those
150 observed under T0 and 1.12 times those under T5. All organo-mineral and even organic
151 formulations are more productive than the exclusive mineral fertilizer (T0). Treatments T1
152 (1500 kg/ha of ox dung + 150 kg/ha of NPK), T3 (2500 kg/ha of ox dung + 100 kg/ha of
153 NPK) and T4 (2500 kg/ha of cattle dung + 50 kg/ha of NPK) gave statistically the same
154 values of seed cotton yield per hectare.

155
156
157

Table 2: Results of the effect of fertilizer doses on the agro-morphological parameters of cotton plants

Variables	Averages(\pm standard deviation)						Statistics	
	T0	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	F	P
Lg MSt	116.53 \pm 22.10 ^b	119.18 \pm 21.01 ^a	118.24 \pm 19.18 ^a	116.88 \pm 21.35 ^b	119.65 \pm 23.89 ^a	117.13 \pm 24.42 ^b	7.4	<0.0001
NbrVBr	2.38 \pm 1.09 ^c	2.58 \pm 1.07 ^a	2.59 \pm 1.08 ^a	2.48 \pm 1.05 ^b	2.44 \pm 1.12 ^b	2.22 \pm 1.15 ^d	33.8	<0.0001
NbrFBr	17.02 \pm 7.81 ^b	18.10 \pm 7.66 ^a	18.75 \pm 7.95 ^a	17.96 \pm 7.71 ^b	18.09 \pm 8.23 ^a	17.33 \pm 7.41 ^b	13.45	<0.0001
Nbr Ca	11.86 \pm 6.41 ^d	13.68 \pm 7.18 ^b	14.37 \pm 7.42 ^a	13.72 \pm 7.27 ^b	13.82 \pm 8.56 ^b	12.99 \pm 6.96 ^c	31.03	<0.0001
Ma SCton	40.88 \pm 24.24 ^c	54.61 \pm 29.84 ^b	57.02 \pm 31.16 ^a	53.96 \pm 31.85 ^c	54.93 \pm 36.25 ^b	50.73 \pm 30.30 ^d	77.22	<0.0001
Yield	2615.77 \pm 1568.01 ^d	3473.05 \pm 1877.56 ^b	3636.23 \pm 2002.77 ^a	3426.16 \pm 1976.70 ^b	3498.12 \pm 2354.75 ^b	3226.26 \pm 1937.69 ^c	74.94	<0.0001

158 For each variable, values with the same letters on the line are statistically equal. Lg MSt: length of the main stem (cm), Number V Br: number of
159 vegetative branches per plant, Number F Br: number of fruiting branches per plant, Number ca: number of capsules per plant, Ma SCton: mass of
160 seed cotton per plant plant (g), Yield: medium seed cotton yield per hectare (kg/ha).

2.2. Comparison of the four sowing densities according to the agronomic parameters of the cotton plant

The averages of the agro-morphological variables were analyzed on cotton plants from 4 sowing densities (Table 3). The results showed that except for the number of vegetative branches, all the other variables were influenced by the variation in seeding density. The P values of the influenced variables are all less than 0.05 ($P < 0.05$). The number of fruiting branches, the number of bolls and the seed cotton mass per plant increased when the seeding rate decreased. The highest values of these 3 variables were obtained at low density (LwD). On the other hand, the lowest values of these parameters were observed at the medium density 2 (MedD2) and at the high density (HiD). The highest seed cotton yield (3950.68 kg/ha) was produced at high density (HiD). The medium density 1 (MedD1) made it possible to observe the maximum growth of the cotton plants (120.03 cm). The development of the vegetative branches was not influenced by the variation of the density of sowing giving all identical values ($P > 0.05$).

Table 3: Results of the effect of seeding density on agronomic parameters of cotton plant

Variables	Aérages (\pm standard deviation)				Statistics	
	LwD	MedD1	MedD2	HiD	F	P
Lg MSt	117.17 \pm 24.09 ^c	120.03 \pm 23.34 ^a	116.27 \pm 20.28 ^c	118.27 \pm 20.19 ^b	17.5	<0.0001
Nbr V Br	2.45 \pm 1.13 ^b	2.43 \pm 1.04 ^b	2.44 \pm 1.19 ^b	2.49 \pm 1.03 ^b	1.87	0.1426
Nbr F Br	19.73 \pm 8.40 ^a	18.39 \pm 7.63 ^b	16.77 \pm 7.49 ^c	16.62 \pm 7.29 ^c	117.7	<0.0001
Nbr Ca	15.52 \pm 8.32 ^a	14.01 \pm 7.60 ^b	11.96 \pm 6.59 ^c	12.14 \pm 6.19 ^c	175.5	<0.0001
Ma SCton	60.04 \pm 35.82 ^a	54.48 \pm 31.26 ^b	46.26 \pm 28.12 ^c	47.31 \pm 27.05 ^c	143.5	<0.0001
Yield	3002.14 \pm 1791.46 ^c	3405.57 \pm 1954.34 ^b	2892.01 \pm 1757.84 ^d	3950.68 \pm 2259.38 ^a	195.5	<0.0001

For each variable, values with the same letters on the line are statistically equal. Lg MSt: length of the main stem (cm); Number Br V: number of vegetative branches per plant; Number Br F: number of fruiting branches per plant; Number ca: number of capsules per plant; Macton: seed cotton mass per plant (g); Yield: medium seed cotton yield per hectare (kg/ha). FaiD: low density (50 cm x 80 cm); MedD1: medium density 1 (40 cm x 80 cm); MoyD2: medium density 2 (20 cm x 80 cm) ForD: high density (30 cm x 80 cm).

2.2. Effect of the sowing density – fertilizer dose interaction on the agronomic parameters of cotton

The joint effect of sowing density and ox dung dose was analyzed on 6 variables (Table 4). All these analyzed variables show significant differences between all the sowing density-fertilizer dose interactions ($P < 0.05$). The highest yield (4434.77 kg/ha) was observed at high sowing density (ForD: 30cm x 80cm, i.e. 83500 plants/ha) with the T2 dose (2000 kg/ha of ox dung + 100 kg/ha of NPK). The lowest yield (2182.24 kg/ha) was obtained at medium density (AvgD1: 40cm x 80cm, i.e. 62500 plants/ha) with 100% NPK mineral fertilizer (T0: 100kg/ha of NPK). The highest values for the number of fruiting branches, the number of bolls and the seed cotton mass per plant were obtained at low density (lwD: 50cm x 80 cm, i.e. 62,500 plants/ha) with the T2 dose (2,000 kg/ha of ox dung + 100 kg/ha of NPK). The lowest values of these three variables were observed at medium density 2 (medD2: 20cm x 80cm) with 100% NPK mineral fertilizer. The height growth of the cotton plants was greater at medium density 1 (Medium D1: 40cm x 80cm) with the T4 dose (2500 kg/ha of ox dung + 50 kg/ha of NPK).

217

218

219

220 **Table 4:** Results of the effect of the fertilizer dose-seeding density interaction on the
221 agronomic parameters of cotton

		Variables					
		Lg mst (cm)	Nbr V Nbr	Nbr F Nbr	Nbr Ca	Ma SCton (g)	Yield (kg/ha)
LwD	T0	111.99±22.38 ^g	2.27±1.16 ^c	18.13±8.38 ^c	13.24±7.519 ^d	45.36±28.66 ^k	2268.33±1433.186 ^f
	T1	118.40±22.25 ^d	2.54±1.07± ^b	19.40±8.18 ^b	15.56±8.06 ^b	61.92±34.27 ^c	3096.20±1713.77 ^e
	T2	117.56±21.10 ^e	2.53±1.05 ^b	20.72±8.54 ^a	16.58±8.58 ^a	66.17±35.15 ^a	3308.88±1757.91 ^e
	T3	114.94±21.91 ^f	2.55±1.01 ^b	20.51±8.81 ^a	16.28±8.90 ^a	63.27±40.59 ^b	3163.88±2029.69 ^e
	T4	119.06±23.72 ^d	2.53±1.13 ^b	19.86±8.17 ^b	16.04±8.67 ^a	63.89±37.81 ^b	3194.90±1890.56 ^e
	T5	121.04±30.85 ^b	2.25±1.30 ^c	19.73±8.11 ^b	15.39±7.72 ^b	59.61±33.49 ^d	2980.64±1674.55 ^f
MedD1	T0	118.65±23.98 ^d	2.42±1.04 ^c	17.74±7.18 ^d	12.73±6.64 ^e	44.95±24.48 ^j	2809.60±1530.55 ^f
	T1	118.88±21.13 ^d	2.45±1.08 ^c	18.40±7.17 ^c	14.22±7.07 ^c	56.99±30.35 ^e	3562.38±1897.17 ^d
	T2	118.69±19.74 ^d	2.56±1.10 ^b	19.49±8.39 ^b	15.16±7.76 ^b	60.45±34.12 ^c	3778.12±2133.03 ^d
	T3	118.54±23.47 ^d	2.33±0.99 ^c	17.45±6.96 ^c	13.55±6.82 ^d	53.37±28.51 ^f	3335.64±1781.98 ^e
	T4	125.64±24.39 ^a	2.52±1.00 ^b	19.51±8.46 ^b	14.81±9.57 ^c	59.01±36.39 ^d	3688.54±2274.78 ^d
	T5	119.78±26.06 ^d	2.26±1.02 ^c	17.77±7.22 ^c	13.58±7.09 ^d	52.14±29.86 ^{gg}	3259.14±1866.65 ^e
MedD2	T0	114.48±19.74 ^f	2.42±1.09 ^c	15.43±7.09 ^e	10.07±4.99 ^g	34.84±19.71 ^k	2181.24±1231.70 ^g
	T1	120.57±19.27 ^c	2.75±1.10 ^a	17.84±8.236 ^c	12.96±7.40 ^e	51.40±28.09 ^g	3212.50±1755.80 ^e
	T2	116.08±17.52 ^e	2.57±1.18 ^b	17.30±7.28 ^c	12.56±5.95 ^e	48.37±24.28 ^h	3023.14±1517.58 ^h
	T3	117.91±18.93 ^c	2.51±1.11 ^b	17.75±7.35 ^c	13.15±6.57 ^d	51.38±27.73 ^g	3211.80±1733.25 ^e
	T4	115.83±24.91 ^e	2.30±1.27 ^c	16.07±7.64 ^d	11.76±7.62 ^f	46.41±34.75 ⁱ	2900.81±2172.16 ^f
	T5	112.75±19.69 ^g	2.11±1.27 ^d	16.25±6.99 ^d	11.27±6.13 ^f	45.16±28.66 ^j	2822.56±1791.54 ^f
HiD	T0	120.99±21.00 ^c	2.41±1.06 ^b	16.77±8.25 ^d	11.39±5.72 ^f	38.37±21.55 ^k	3203.92±1800.09 ^e
	T1	118.87±21.26 ^d	2.58±1.00 ^b	16.77±6.72 ^d	12.00±5.42 ^e	48.15±23.89 ⁱ	4021.14±1995.55 ^c
	T2	120.63±17.91 ^c	2.72±0.96 ^a	17.48±6.96 ^c	13.18±6.42 ^d	53.11±26.72 ^f	4434.77±2231.74 ^a
	T3	116.13±20.69 ^e	2.52±1.08 ^b	16.14±6.94 ^d	11.89±5.70 ^f	47.82±26.52 ⁱ	3993.31±2215.04 ^d
	T4	118.05±21.33 ^d	2.42±1.04 ^b	16.93±8.01 ^d	12.69±7.54 ^e	50.39±33.34 ^h	4208.24±2784.26 ^b
	T5	114.93±18.02 ^f	2.27±0.98 ^c	15.60±6.58 ^e	11.71±5.96 ^f	46.02±26.60 ⁱ	3842.70±2221.69 ^d
Statistics	F	9.3	4.51	4.83	3.44	3.05	2.50
	P	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0010

2. For each variable, values with the same letters on the line are statistically equal. **Lg MSt**: length of the main stem (cm), **Number V Br**: number of vegetative branches per plant, **Number F Br**: number of fruiting branches per plant, **Number ca**: number of capsules per plant, **Ma SCton**: mass of seed cotton per plant (g), Yield: average seed cotton yield per hectare (kg/ha). **FaiD**: low density (50 cm x 80 cm); **MedD1**: medium density 1 (40 cm x 80 cm); **MedD2**: medium density 2 (20 cm x 80 cm) **HiD**: high density (30 cm x 80 cm).

3. Discussion

3.1. Effect of fertilizer doses on the agronomic parameters of cotton

The T2 treatment, which is the combination of 100 kg of NPK and 2000 kg of cow dung, made it possible to observe the highest values of cotton mass and seed cotton yield. The high values at the level of this treatment would be explained by the fact that the mineral elements contained in the mineral fertilizer NPK are intensely made available to the plants as soon as it is incorporated into the soil. Also, ox dung gradually provides plants with nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. The high values at the level of the combination (2000 kg ox dung and 100 kg/ha of NPK) are also explained by the influence of ox dung on the physical and biological properties of the soil. The permanent presence of ox dung in the soil promotes biological activity and improves the organic carbon of the soil, which leads to the regulation of soil PH. It participates in the establishment of the clay-humic complex allowing the fixation of minerals. It increases the aeration of the soil, the water retention capacity allowing better development of the root system of cotton plants. The improvement of the physical and chemical properties of the soil by organic matter has been demonstrated by *Nyembo et al. (2014)* during their work carried out in the DR Congo on the improvement of the physical and chemical properties of the soil under the combined contribution of bio-waste. They also concluded that the use of organic manures can amplify the effectiveness of mineral fertilizers on crop yield. Ox dung also provides plants with mineral elements such as calcium, magnesium, organic carbon and even organic matter. As a result, the combination of ox dung and NPK mineral fertilizer compensates for the loss of nutrients and therefore increases the yield of cotton plants. Our results are consistent with those obtained by *Pouya et al. (2013)* carried out in Burkina Faso. These authors observed increases in seed cotton yield under fertilization with a combination of organic and mineral fertilizers in a soil fertility management study and their effects on cotton production. It should be noted that plant production is closely linked to the availability and balance between nutrients

Comment [A7]: It is necessary to add the results of the new research as a comparison so that the discussion is more detailed

(N, P, K, Ca, Mg, etc.). A continuous and reasonable availability of these elements allows plants to express their productivity potential. But, an excess of these nutrients can lead to a drop in plant yield. This situation could explain the low seed cotton yields observed under treatments T3 and T4, the quantities of cow dung of which are greater than T2. Furthermore, the difference in seed cotton yield between treatments T1 and T2 is explained by the fact that the quantity of ox dung (1500 kg/ha) contained in T1 would be insufficient to compensate for all the losses or imbalances that nutrients from the NPK mineral fertilizer associated with it. It has also been proven in Benin by *Dagbenonbakin et al. (2012)* that the efficiency of organo-mineral manure on seed cotton yield, imposes an optimal dose to be respected. These authors tested several doses of organo-mineral manures on cotton yield in Benin. They concluded that the addition of 100 kg/ha of NPK to compost made from ox dung (2500 kg/ha) made it possible to optimize seed cotton yield. The low values of seed cotton mass and seed cotton yield were obtained with the T0 treatment (200 kg/ha of NPK). This difference in yield between T0 and the treatments (T1, T2, T3 and T4) is due to the absence of dung in the T0 treatment. In fact, according to *Akanza et al. (2003)*, organic manure appears as a regulator and stabilizer of soil fertility. Likewise, *Ouandaogo et al. (2016)* asserted that exclusive mineral fertilization leads to a drop in nitrogen and organic carbon levels in the soil. They also noticed low yields of sorghum and cowpea from mineral fertilization alone compared to organo-mineral fertilizers. Also, the mineral elements such as nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contained in the NPK mineral fertilizer are intensely made available to the plants as soon as it is incorporated into the soil. As a result, mineral nutrient losses through runoff can occur, depriving plants of minerals during periods of active need. Our results are similar to those of *Koulibaly et al. (2015)* who observed a low yield of seed cotton treated with the exclusive mineral manure. The Control T5 treatment consisting solely of ox dung induced low values of seed cotton yield compared to the other organo-mineral treatments. These differences could be explained by the absence of mineral fertilizer (NPK). Indeed, the cow dung contained in these treatments gradually makes the mineral elements available to the cotton plants during their cycle. Indeed, it has been demonstrated in Burkina Faso that there is a certain complementarity between organic manure and mineral manure for improving soil fertility. (*Paré, 2014*). This author carried out his investigations on the influence of mineral fertilizer and

mineral fertilizer combined with organic manure on the soil in Burkina Faso. Other authors like *Igue et al. (2016)* proved the effectiveness of a combination of ox dung and mineral fertilizer on maize yield in Benin by testing several doses of this combination on maize. During their work in Burkina Faso *Somda et al. (2017)* also reported the optimization of fonio and sorghum yields induced by organo-mineral manure and also concluded that it improves soil fertility.

Effect of sowing density on the agronomic parameters of cotton

Cotton plants sown at low density (LwD) expressed the highest values of the number of bolls and cotton mass per plant. These differences are due to the fact that, in low density, competitions for nutrients, water, light and space are reduced between cotton plants compared to high density. Cotton plants from low density therefore benefit from sufficient space to flourish and make efficient use of water, light and nutrients to truly express their production potential compared to those from high density. This situation explains the high production of bolls and seed cotton mass per plant under the low density compared to the high density. In high density, the optimal conditions for nutrition and development of cotton plants are reduced. The resulting consequence is the reduction in capsule production as reported by *Sekloka et al. (2016)* during their work on two cotton plant morphotypes. However, seed cotton yield is higher in high density than in low density. This result could be explained by the fact that the number of plants per hectare for low density (LwD) is much lower than the number of plants at high density (HiD). Similar studies carried out in Benin have reported that under densities varying from 41,667 plants/ha to 62,500 plants/ha, the best seed cotton yield is obtained at high density estimated at 62,500 plants/ha. (*Amonmidé et al. 2020*). The increase in the number of bolls and the seed cotton mass in low seeding density (LwD) is similar to the results obtained by *Kouassi et al. (2017)*. Indeed, these authors observed an increase in the mass and number of fruits per plant linked to the reduction in the density of okra sowing in Côte d'Ivoire. Our results corroborate with those observed in China by *Yang et al. (2014)*. They showed that, when the sowing density reaches its equilibrium, it generates an environment favorable to cotton plants and which consequently optimizes their yield. Studies carried out on densities ranging from 75,000 to 105,000 plants/ha have also highlighted reductions in cotton fiber yields linked to the reduction in sowing density in China (*Khan et al., 2017*). By studying the influence of variation in sowing density in China, authors have observed

that cotton fiber yield increases linearly with density up to the color of the density equilibrium point beyond which fiber yield decreases (*Khan et al., 2020*). The seed cotton yield per hectare allowed us to distinguish the interaction formed by the high seeding density (HiD) and the T2 treatment which gave the highest yield of seed cotton per hectare. This assumes that the optimization of the average yield must take into account the need of plants for nutrients and the number of plants likely to create a microclimate favorable to their development. The variation in cotton yield as a function of the microenvironment created by the sowing density has been reported by *Yang et al. (2014)* in China. Our observations are consistent with *Amonmidé et al. (2020)* who showed that the efficiency of fertilizers is linked to the density of plants on the given plot. Indeed, the latter studied the productivity of cotton plants treated with mineral manure under various sowing densities. Ivorian experiments carried out on voandzou have proven that there is a threshold from which the number of plants/ha of voandzou induces an optimal yield. (*Kouassi, 2012*).

Conclusion

The effects of ox dung dose and seeding density were evaluated on some cotton plant agro-morphological parameters in order to determine the optimal values that optimize seed cotton yield. The seed cotton yield, the seed cotton mass and the number of bolls of the cotton plants treated with T2 (2000 kg/ha of ox dung + 100 kg/ha of NPK) were greater than those resulting from the other treatments. The high density (HiD: 30 cm x 80 cm, i.e. 83,500 plants/ha) made it possible to obtain the highest seed cotton yield compared to other sowing densities. The low sowing density (FaiD: 50 cm x 80 cm, i.e. 50,000 plants/ha) induced the highest values for the number of bolls and the seed cotton mass per plant. All the organo-mineral treatments were more productive than the T0 treatment (200 kg/ha of NPK). However, the more effective use of ox dung imposes the dose T2 (2000 kg/ha of ox dung + 100 kg/ha of NPK) and the density HiD (30cm x 80cm, i.e. 83500 plants/ha) to hope optimize seed cotton yield up to 4434.77 kg/ha

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

Reference

Akanza P. and Yoro G., 2003: Synergistic effects of mineral fertilizers and poultry manure in improving the fertility of ferrallitic soil in western Côte d'Ivoire. *African Agronomy* 15 (3): 135-144 (2003).

Amonmidé I., Fayalo G. and Dagbenonbakin G., 2020: Effect of sowing period and density on cotton growth and yield in Benin. *J.Appl. Biosci.* 152: 15676 - 15697 [ISSN 1997-5902] (2020).

Bacyé B., Kambiré S. and Somé S., 2019: Effects of peasant fertilization practices on the chemical characteristics of a leached tropical ferruginous soil in the cotton-growing area of western Burkina Faso. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Science.* 13(6): 2930-2941, (2019)

Dagbenonbakin G., Chougourou C., Ahoyo A., Fayalo G., Djenontin A. and Igue A., 2012: Agronomic effects of compost and N14P23K14S5B1 on the production and yield characteristics of seed cotton in northern Benin. *Benin Agricultural Research Bulletin* (BRAB) ISSN on paper: 1025-2355 and ISSN online: 1840-7099.

Granry F., and Thuries L., 2010: Function of organic matter added to the soil. P10.

Igue M., Oga A., Balogoun I., Saidou A., Ezui G., Youl S., Kpagbin G., Mando A. and Sogbedji J., 2016: Determination of mineral and organic fertilizer formulas on two types of soils for a better productivity of maize (*Zea mays* l.) in the Commune of Banikoara (North-East of Benin). *European Scientific Journal* October 2016 edition vol.12, No.30 ISSN: 1857 – 7881 (Print) e - ISSN 1857- 7431.

Kaboré I., 2014: Recommended technical itineraries and common farming practices in the western cotton zone of Burkina Faso: the case of cotton and maize. POLYTECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF BOBO - DIOULASSO (UPB), 127p.

Comment [A8]: Acknowledgments

A brief acknowledgment section may be given after the conclusion section just before the references.

Comment [A9]: delete

Comment [A10]: consistency of reference writing

386 Khan A., Najeeb U., Wang L., Tan D., Yang G., Munsif F., Ali S. and Hafeez A., 2017:
 387 Planting density and sowing date strongly influence growth and lint yield of cotton crops,
 388 China . Field Crops Research 209 (2017) 129-135.

389 Khan N., Han Y., Xing F., Feng L., Wang Z., Wang G., Yang B., Fan Z., Lei Y., Xiong S., Li
 390 X. and Li Y., 2020: Plant Density Influences Reproductive Growth, Lint Yield and Boll
 391 Spatial Distribution of Cotton, China. [Agronomy 2020, 10, 14.](#)

392 Konan K., 2021: Optimization of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) production through
 393 sustainable soil management: case of organic amendment and cassava-voandzou (*Vigna*
 394 *subterranea* L. Verdc) crop association. NANGUI ABROGOUA University Ivory Coast.
 395 152p.

396 Kouassi N', 2012: Development of an optimized cropping system integrating ground pea
 397 (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc.) and maize (*Zea mays* L.) in the wooded savanna region of
 398 Côte d'Ivoire . 110p.

399 Kouassi N', Kouamé N', Koffi A., N'Guessan N' and Yatty K., 2017: Influence of activated
 400 charcoal and seeding density on the evolution of some growth and development parameters of
 401 a local variety of okra (*Abelmoschus caillei*). [Int. J. Biol. Chem. Science. 11\(4\): 1829-1839,](#)
 402 [2017. ISSN 1997-342X \(Online\), ISSN 1991-8631 \(Print\).](#)

403 Koulibaly B., Dakuo D., Ouattara A., Traoré O., Lompo F., Zombré P. and Yao K., 2015:
 404 Effects of the combination of compost and mineral manure on the productivity of a cultivation
 405 based on cotton and maize in Burkina Faso. [TROPICULTURA, 2015, 33, 2, 125-134.](#)

406 Koulibaly B., Traoré O., Dakuo D., Zombré N. and Bondé D., 2009: Effects of crop residue
 407 management on yields and crop balances of a cotton-maize-sorghum rotation in Burkina Faso.
 408 [TROPICULTURA, 2010, 28, 3, 184-189.](#)

409 Kpéra A., Gandonou C., Boya A., Gandaho S. and Gnancadja S., 2017: Effect of different
 410 doses of cow dung, human urine and their combination on vegetative growth and fruit weight
 411 of the pineapple (*Ananas comosus* (L.) Merr) in southern Benin. Journal of Applied
 412 Biosciences 110: 10761-10775. [ISSN 1997-5902.](#)

413 Nyembo K., Useni S., Chinawej M., Kyabuntu I., Kaboza Y., Mpundu M. and Baboy L.,
 414 2014: Improvement of the physical and chemical properties of the soil under the combined
 415 contribution of bio-waste and fertilizers minerals and influence on the behavior of maize (*Zea*
 416 *mays* L. variety Unilu). Journal of Applied Biosciences 74:6121–6130 [ISSN 1997-5902.](#)

Comment [A11]: consistency of reference writing

Comment [A12]: consistency of reference writing

Comment [A13]: consistency of reference writing

Comment [A14]: consistency of reference writing

Comment [A15]: delete

Comment [A16]: delete

417 Ouandaogo N., Ouattara B., Pouya B., Gnankambary Z., Nacro H. and Sédogo P., 2016:
418 Effects of organo-mineral fertilizers and crop rotations on soil quality. *Int. J. Biol. Chem.*
419 *Science*. 10(2): 904-918, April 2016. [ISSN 1997-342X \(Online\)](#), [ISSN 1991-8631 \(Print\)](#).

Comment [A17]: delete

420 Paré M., 2014: Effects of organic manure on the chemical characteristics of soils in the
421 western cotton-growing area of Burkina Faso: the case of the ferruginous soils of Boni and the
422 eutrophic brown soils of Dossi. 43p.

423 Pouya M., Bonzi M., Gnankambary Z., Traoré K., Ouédraogo J., Somé A. and Sédogo M.,
424 2013: Current soil fertility management practices and their effects on cotton production and
425 on the soil in cotton farms in central and western Burkina Faso. *Cah Agric* 22: 282-92. [doi:](#)
426 [10.1684/agr.2013.0643](#).

Comment [A18]: delete

427 Sekloka E., Lançon J., Zinsou V. and Thomas G., 2016: Influence of growing conditions on
428 boll production in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) under rainfed growing conditions in
429 Benin. F-35042 Rennes cedex (France). *Biotechnol. Agron. Soc.* Approximately. 2016, 20
430 (2), 161-170.

431 Somda B., Ouattara B., Serme I., Pouya M., Lompo F., Taonda J., and Sédogo M., 2017:
432 Determination of optimal doses of organo-mineral fertilizers in microdose in the Sudano-
433 Sahelian zone of Burkina Faso. *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Science*. 11(2): 670-683, 2017. [ISSN 1997-](#)
434 [342X \(Online\)](#), [ISSN 1991-8631 \(Print\)](#).

Comment [A19]: delete

435 Traoré H., 2012: Study of the agro-pedological impacts of continuous and rotating inputs of
436 organo-mineral fertilizers on cotton in research stations: case of Sana and Farako-Bâ. 66 p.

437 Yang G., Luo X., Nie Y. and Zhang X., 2014. Effects of Plant Density on Yield and Canopy
438 Micro Environment in Hybrid Cotton. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 13(10): 2154-2163.

439