

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_86459
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of general and specific combining ability effects for quality protein maize inbred lines
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The present manuscript is interesting, scientifically robust and technically sound.</p> <p>Abstract is clear, informative, brief and representative of the work and underlines the significance of the subject.</p> <p>Introduction is understandable and comprehensive exposing the topic to the reader. Purpose and objectives are clearly formulated and scientifically appropriate.</p> <p>The "Material and methods" used are accurate and correctly described. So described they allow experiment to be reproduced. Appropriate statistics was used.</p> <p>The results are interpreted exhaustively and clearly. They are discussed and compared with previous researches on the same topic. The findings are particularly valuable having in a mind importance of the crop studied. <u>There are some inaccuracies and technical errors in "Results and discussion" section, which I have indicated in the applied file.</u></p> <p>Conclusions are based on the data, presented inside the manuscript.</p> <p>There are 57 references cited, which are relevant and adequat.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Natalia Georgieva
Department, University & Country	Institute of Forage Crops (Pleven), Bulgaria