

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_86152
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Properties Influenced by the Foliar Application of Nano Fertilizers in Maize (Zea mays L.) crop
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<div>1. The objectives of the study were not stated in the introductory portion of the manuscript. The objectives should be clear and specific.</div> <div>2. Include in the abstract the conclusion of the study.</div> <div>3. Kindly include the physical properties of the soil in Table 1.</div> <div>4. Kindly correct the spelling of “REFERENCES” to “REFERENCES”.</div> <div>5. I suggest that the authors do post hoc test or comparison of treatment means to significant parameters using Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) test at 5% level of significance.</div> <div>6. Illustration of results using graphs would be better for readers to see the difference between treatments.</div> <div>7. Mention in the introductory part about the microbiological aspect as well as those enzymes that were investigated in this study, this is to give readers prior idea and background.</div>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronley C. Canatoy
Department, University & Country	Central Mindanao University, Philippines