Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Plant & Soil Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJPSS_86057**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EFFECT OF FERTILIZER LEVELS AND FOLIAR NUTRITION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SOYBEAN (Glycine max (L.) Merrill)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	My first remarks are found within the manuscript. Be careful with the structuring of sentences. In your introduction, clearly highlight the problem you noticed on the field, and, at its end, clearly announce what you aim to do in order to solve the concerned problem. When you use abbreviations, make sure to define them. I don't think that the name of the authors cited must appear in the text; the use of numbers can be done for that purpose. Then in the references, those numbers can be respectively associated with the author indexed. This will have the advantage to lighten the manuscript. **From my personal experience, when you have a result, you first of all present it with reference to figures or/and tables if possible. After this, you analyze the results in relation to themselves first, then in relation to the other results obtained in the same field by other researchers that you will cite. At the end, you try an interpretation to justify the result obtained. While doing so, you can create links when possible between your personal results.**	
Minor REVISION comments	The topic is relevant. But, the manuscript needs to be rephrased. In fact the structuring of sentences and locally the use of punctuations need to be improved.	
Optional/General comments	The idea developed here is consistent with the concept of sustainable development..	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** ***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)***
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)***	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Fopoussi Tuebue Jean Christophe**
Department, University & Country	**Jesus and Mary Secondary High School, Cameroon**