Original Research Article

In- vitro evaluation of antagonistic activity of native Trichoderma spp. and Pseudomonas fluorescens isolates against Alternaria solani causing early blight of Tomato

ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out in 2018-2019 at Department of Plant pathology, SASRD, Nagaland University to evaluate the *in vitro* efficacy of 24 native isolates of *Trichoderma* and 18 isolates of *Pseudomonas* against *Alternaria solani* by dual culture technique method. The test pathogen was isolated from disease infected tomato plants collected from an experimental field of Department of Plant pathology, SASRD, Medziphema campus. It was observed from the results that all the isolates had shown significant inhibition of the mycelial growth of the pathogen. The highest inhibition of mycelial growth of *A. solani* was shown by T-5 (73.34 %) followed by T-11(70.23 %). The lowest inhibition was shown by T-24 (51.55 %). Among the *Pseudomonas* isolates, highest inhibition in mycelial growth of *A. solani* was shown by P-7 (77.73%) followed by P-12(76.00 %) respectively. The lowest inhibition was shown by P-17 (53.78 %). The results indicate that different local isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* were effective against the tested pathogenic fungi which provides their potential in biological management of early blight disease of tomato.

Keywords: Trichoderma, tomato, Alternaria solani, dual culture

1. INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.), native to the Andean region of South America is one of the most common horticultural crops cultivated throughout the world. They are important source of vitamins and important cash crop for both small holders and medium scale commercial farmers [1]. The fruit also contains plenty of antioxidant carotenoid lycopene that has recently attracted interest because of its role in preventing cancer heart disease and muscular degeneration [2], Tomato early blight disease caused by *Alternaria solani* become the most destructive in all over the world and yield losses up to 80% [3]. The disease in severe cases can lead to complete defoliation and is most damaging on tomato in regions with heavy dew, rainfall, high humidity, and fairly high temperatures. All above ground parts of the plant can have symptoms of this disease. *Alternaria* leaf blight of tomato caused by *Alternaria solani* (Ellis and Martin) Jones and Grout, is a soil inhabiting air-borne pathogen responsible for leaf blight, collar and fruit rot of tomato disseminated by fungal spores [4]. *A. solani* contains enzymes such as cellulases which degrade the host cell wall and also contain pectin methyl

galacturonase which facilitate host colonization [5]. Failure to control this disease can cause reduction in yield [6].

Use of chemical pesticides mostly concerned environmental damage since pesticides accumulate in soils as toxic residues, as well as the development of resistance resulting from pesticide overuse and single-site fungicide use, which enhances the development of specific resistance [7,8,9]. Biological control of plant pathogens by antagonistic micro organisms is a potential non-chemical means and is known to be a cheap and effective eco-friendly method for the management of crop diseases [9,10,11]. Environmentally, they are also more efficient because their use does not release toxic compounds, and it decreases the negative effects of plant pathogens and increases positive responses by the plants [12]. Additionally, they usually have several modes of action, thus reducing the development of resistance [13]. *Trichoderma* spp. is the most widely studied biocontrol agents (BCAs) against plant pathogens because of their ability to reduce the population of soil borne plant pathogens. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* is adapted to survival in soil and colonization of plant roots [14]. Therefore, the objective of the present investigation was to assess the efficacy of local isolates of *Trichoderma* and *Pseudomonas* under in vitro condition against *A. solani*.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The dual culture technique described by Dennis and Webster (1971)[15] was followed for the evaluation of antagonistic activities of native *Trichoderma* isolates against *A. solani*. Briefly 20 ml of sterilized PDA medium was poured into each of the sterilized Petri dishes under aseptic condition. After the media gets solidified in the plates, the test fungal pathogen was inoculated at one end of each Petri plate and the antagonists on the opposite end. A set of control plates inoculated with the test fungal pathogen was maintained. Each set of treatments were replicated three times and incubated at a temperature of 28±1°C for 10 days. The per cent inhibition of growth was calculated following the equation given by Vincent (1947). [16]

Per cent inhibition= $PI = \frac{C-T}{C} \times 100$

Where, C= Radial growth of pathogen in control, T= Radial growth in of pathogen in dual culture plates.

The dual culture technique described by Maurya et al. (2014)[17] was followed for the evaluation of antagonistic activities of native bacterial isolates against *A. solani. Pseudomonas* isolates were streaked at one side of Petri dish (one cm away from the edge) containing PDA. 10 mm mycelial disc from seven days old PDA culture of the pathogen was placed at the opposite side of Petri dishes perpendicular to the bacterial streak respectively and incubated at 28±1°C for 5-7 days. Petri dishes inoculated with fungal pathogen alone served as control. Three replications were maintained for each isolate. The per cent inhibition of growth will be calculated following the equation given by Vincent (1947)

The experiment was conducted in a Complete Randomised Design (CRD) and three replications were maintained for each treatment. Data were analysed statistically.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comment [MF1]: Add a section of the study area with a picture of the study area before the section of materials and methods

Altogether 24 native isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. and 18 isolates of *Pseudomonas* spp. were screened for their inhibitory action on the radial growth of *P. infestans* by adopting dual culture technique [15] and the data obtained are presented in Table 1 and 2. All isolates screened against *A. solani* were significantly superior over control plate. It was found that the growth of the pathogen in dual culture plates progress until they came in contact with the leading edges of the antagonist. Among the different isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. least radial mycelial growth of the pathogen was recorded in T-5 (2.00 cm) followed by T-11 (2.10 cm), T-20 (2.23 cm) respectively. The per cent inhibition over control showed that T-5 was the most promising isolate against *A. solani* with 73.34 per cent inhibition followed by T-11 (70.23 %) and T-20 (69.34 %). The least antagonistic effect was observed in T-24 (51.55%). Among the different isolates of *Pseudomonas* spp. least radial mycelial growth of the pathogen was recorded in P-7 (1.67 cm) followed by P-12 (1.80 cm), P-14 (1.90 cm) respectively. The per cent inhibition over control showed that P-7 (77.73%) was the most promising isolate against *A. solani* followed by P-12 (76.00%) and P-14 (74.67 %). The least antagonistic effect was observed in P-17 (53.78%). Our findings are in agreement with the findings of earlier workers [18,19].

Trichoderma spp. are capable of producing extracellular lytic enzymes that are responsible for their antagonistic activity [20]. Mechanism used by *Trichoderma* spp. for control of plant pathogen includes competition, mycoparasitism, antibiosis and induced resistance of the plant host [21]. Fluorescent pseudomonas also produced anti fungal compounds such as pseudobactin, HCN, salicylic acid and 2- hydroxy phenazine to suppress plant pathogenic fungi [22,23]. The antifungal metabolites produced by *P. fluorescens* might be attributed as the reason for the reduction in the growth of the pathogen and *P. fluorescens* were known to produce an array of low-molecular weight metabolites some of which were potential antifungal agents [24]. Moreover, the difference in their potential may probably be correlated with the differences in levels of hydrolytic enzymes produced by each species or isolates when they attack the mycelium of the pathogens. [25,26]. They are soil borne fungi and show significant activity against a wide range of plant pathogenic fungi [21].

Table 1: Antagonistic activity of native Trichoderma isolates against A. solani

Treatment	Inhibition of Alternaria solani growth			
	Radial growth (cm)	Radial growth	Inhibition %	
		inhibited (cm)		
T ₀ (Control)	07.50	00.00	00.00 (4.05)	
T ₁ (A.solani + T-1)	02.86	04.63	61.78 (51.81)	
T ₂ (<i>A.solani</i> + T-2)	02.36	05.14	65.78 (54.21)	
T ₃ (A.solani + T-3)	03.10	04.40	60.89 (51.30)	
T ₄ (A.solani + T-4)	03.03	04.46	59.55 (50.50)	
T ₅ (A.solani + T-5)	02.00	05.50	73.34 (58.91)	
T ₆ (A.solani + T-6)	02.80	04.76	62.67 (52.34)	
$T_7(A.solani + T-7)$	02.83	04.67	62.23 (52.08)	

Comment [MF2]: Add a chart to the results

T ₈ (A.solani + T-8)	03.26	04.24	56.89 (48.95)
T _a (A.solani + T-9)	02.86	04.64	61.78 (51.82)
9			, ,
T ₁₀ (A.solani + T-10)	02.73	04.77	63.56 (52.86)
T ₁₁ (A.solani + T-11)	02.10	05.40	70.23(56.93)
T ₁₂ (A.solani + T-12)	02.36	05.14	65.78 (54.21)
T ₁₃ (A.solani + T-13)	03.23	04.27	56.89 (48.95)
T ₁₄ (A.solani + T-14)	03.40	04.10	54.67 (47.67)
T ₁₅ (A.solani + T-15)	02.30	05.20	68.00 (55.57)
T ₁₆ (A.solani + T-16)	03.56	03.94	52.45 (46.40)
T ₁₇ A.solani + T-17)	03.00	04.50	60.00 (50.76)
T ₁₈ (A.solani + T-18)	03.44	03.86	63.11 (52.60)
T ₁₉ (A.solani + T-19)	03.26	04.24	56.89 (48.95)
T ₂₀ (A.solani + T-20)	02.23	05.27	69.34(56.41)
T ₂₁ (A.solani + T-21)	02.50	05.00	65.34 (53.93)
T ₂₂ (A.solani + T-22)	03.40	04.10	54.66 (47.67)
T ₂₃ (A.solani + T-23)	03.03	04.47	59.55 (50.50)
T ₂₄ (A.solani + T-24)	03.63	03.87	51.55 (45.89)
Sem +-	0.01	0.02	0.39
C.V. (%)	2.53	1.72	3.27
CD (p=0.01)	0.16	0.17	4.23
CD (p=0.05)	0.12	0.13	3.17

^{*} Data in the parentheses are angular transformed values

Table 2: Antagonistic activity of native Pseudomonas isolates against A. solani

Treatment	Inhibition of Alternaria solani growth		
	Radial growth (cm)	Radial growth inhibited (cm)	Inhibition %
T ₀ (Control)	07.50	00.00	00.00 (4.05)
P ₁ (A. solani +P-1)	02.93	04.57	60.93 (51.29)
P ₂ (A.solani +P-2)	02.76	04.74	63.20 (52.62)
P ₃ (A.solani +P-3)	02.33	05.17	68.94 (56.10)
P ₄ (A.solani +P-4)	02.63	04.87	64.93 (53.67)
P_{5} (A.solani +P-5)	03.00	04.50	60.00 (50.78)

Comment [MF3]: Add a chart to the results

P ₆ (A.solani +P-6)	03.40	04.10	54.67 (47.68)
P ₇ (A.solani +P-7)	01.67	05.83	77.73 (61.89)
P ₈ (A.solani +P-8)	03.23	04.27	56.88 (48.95)
P ₉ (A.solani +P-9)	03.26	04.23	56.44 (48.95)
P ₁₀ (A.solani +P-10)	03.00	04.50	60.00 (50.78)
P ₁₁ (A.solani +P-11)	02.90	04.60	61.34 (51.55)
P ₁₂ (A.solani +P-12)	01.80	05.70	76.00 (60.67)
P ₁₃ (A.solani +P-13)	03.23	04.27	56.88 (48.95)
P ₁₄ (A.solani +P-14)	01.90	05.60	74.67 (59.78)
P ₁₅ (A.solani +P-15)	03.10	04.40	65.34 (51.30)
P ₁₆ (A.solani +P-16)	02.30	05.20	69.34 (56.37)
P ₁₇ (A.solani +P-17)	03.46	04.03	53.78 (47.14)
P ₁₈ (A.solani +P-18)	02.06	05.44	72.54 (58.34)
SEm+-	0.02	0.02	0.47 (0.28)
C.V. (%)	4.71	3.09	3.41 (2.47)
CD (p=0.01)	0.31	0.31	4.57 (2.75)
CD (p=0.05)	0.23	0.23	3.41 (2.06)

*Data in the parentheses are angular transformed values

4. CONCLUSION

From the present study, it is observed that all the local isolates of *Trichoderma* and *Pseudomonas* had inhibited the growth of the test pathogen, *A. solani* at different ranges. Among the *Trichoderma* isolates, highest per cent inhibition over control was recorded in T-5(73.34 %) while in *Pseudomonas* isolates, highest per cent inhibition over control was recorded in P-7 (77.73%). Our findings shows that these native isolates can be adopted for biological management of early blight of tomato which ultimately will increase the quality as well as quantity of productivity. However, they can be further evaluated in field to study the impact of environmental factors.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ana MV, Abdurrabi S, Benhard L: A Guide to integrated Pest Management in Tomato Production in Eastern and Southern Africa. ICIPE Science Press, Nairobi, Kenya. 2003;144.
- 2. Wener ZH. 2008. Importance of the tomato. http://agrisupportonlive.com/Article/importance of the tomato html.
- 3. Chandravanshi SS, Singh BP, Thakur MP. Persistence of different fungicides used against *Alternaria alternata* in tomato. Indian Phytopathol. 1994;47:241-244.

Comment [MF4]: The conclusions are rewritten, and the year and percentage of each result is mentioned, and it is inclusive of all the research results

- Datar VV, Mayee CD. Assessment of loss in tomato yield due to early blight. Indian Phytopathology.1981;34:191-195.
- Shahbazi H, Aminian H, Sahebani N, Halterman D. Effect of Alternaria solani exudates on resistant and susceptible potato cultivars from two different pathogen isolates. Plant Pathol J. 2011;27(1):14-19.
- Mallik I, Arabiat S, Pasche JS, Bolton MD, Patel JS, Gudmestad NC. Molecular characterization and detection of mutations associated with resistance to succinate dehydrogenase inhibiting fungicides in *Alternaria solani*. Phytopathology. 2014;104:40-49.
- 7. Wang X, Glawe DA, Kramer E, Weller D, Okubara A. Biological Control of *Botrytis cinerea:* Interactions with Native Vineyard Yeasts from Washington State. Phytopathology. 2018;108:691–701.
- 8. Kim YC, Hur JY, Park SK. Biocontrol of *Botrytis cinerea* by chitin-based cultures of *Paenibacillus elgii* HOA73. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 2019;155:253–263.
- 9. Jiao X, Takishita Y, Zhou G, Smith DL. Plant Associated Rhizobacteria for Biocontrol and Plant Growth Enhancement. Front. Plant Sci. 2021;12:17.
- 10. Harman GE. Seed treatment for biological control of plant diseases, Crop Protection. 1991;10:166.
- 11. Cook RS, Baker KF. The nature and practice of biological control of plant pathogens. American Phytopathological Society, St Paul, Minn,1983;539.
- 12. Schalchli H, Tortella GR, Rubilar O, Parra L, Hormazabal E, Quiroz A. Fungal volatiles: An environmentally friendly tool to control pathogenic microorganisms in plants. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 2016;36:144–152.
- Pertot I, Giovannini O, Benanchi M, Caffi T, Rossi V, Mugnai L. Combining biocontrol agents with different mechanisms of action in a strategy to control *Botrytis cinerea* on grapevine. Crop Prot. 2017;97:85–93.
- Kiely PD, Haynes JM, Higgins CH, Franks A, Mark GL, Morrisse JP, O'Gara F. Exploiting new systems-based strategies to elucidate plant-bacterial interactions in the rhizosphere. Microbial Ecology. 2006;51:257–266.
- 15. Dennis C, Webster J. Antagonistic properties of species groups of *Trichoderma* II.Production of volatile antibiotics. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society*. 1971(b);57: 41–48.
- 16. Vincent JM. 1947. Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibitors. Nature. 1947:159:850.
- 17. Maurya MK, Singh R, Tomer A. *In-vitro* evaluation of antagonistic activity of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against fungal pathogen. Journal of Biopesticides.2014;7(1):43-46.
- 18. Magesh M, Sudha R, Kumar R, Devi PA. Impact of rhizosphere *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against *Alternaria solani* in tomato. Journal of bio innovation.2018;2:242-251.
- 19. Roy CK, Akter N, Sarkar MKI, Uddin PK, Begum M, Zenat N, Jahan MAA. Control of early blight of tomato caused by *Alternaria solani* and screening of tomato varieties against the pathogen. The Open Microbiology Journal. 2019;13:41-50.
- 20. Elad Y, Chet I, Henis Y. Degradation of plant pathogenic fungi by Trichoderma harzianum. Canadian journal of Microbiology.1982;28:719-725.
- 21. Schirmbock M, Lorito M, Wang YL, Hayes CK, Arisan- Atac I, Scala F, Harman GE, Kubicek C. Parallel formation and synergism of hydrolytic enzymes and peptaibol antibiotics, molecular mechanisms involved in the antagonistic action of *Trichoderma harzianum* against phytopathogenic fungi. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 1994;60:4364-4370.
- 22. Pandey A, Trivedi K, Palni LS. Characterization of phosphate solubilizing and antagonistic strain of *Pseudomonas putida* (BO) isolated from a Sub-Alpine location in the Indian central Himalaya. Curr. Microbiol. 2006;53:102-107.
- 23. Reddy BP, Reddy KRN, Subba Rao, BP, Rao KS. Efficacy of antimicrobial metabolites of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against rice fungal pathogens. Current Trends in Biotechnology and Pharmacy.2008;2:178-182.

- 24. O'Dowling DN, O'Gara F. Metabolites of *Pseudomonas* involved in the biocontrol of plant disease. Trends Biotechnol. 1994;12:133-141.
- 25. Sundaramoorthy S, Balabaskar P. Consortial effect of endophytic and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for the management of early blight of tomato incited by *Alternaria solani*. J. Plant Pathol. Microbiol. 2012;3:7.
- 26. Meera T, Balabaskar P. Isolation and characterization of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* from rice fields. Int. J. Food Agric. Vet. 2012;2(1):113- 120