Original Research Article

IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF SOIL PARAMETERS AFFECTED BY GAS FLARING IN TEA GARDEN IN DIBRUGARH DISTRICT OF ASSAM, INDIA

Comment [MF1]: Is this word really needed here?

ABSTRACT

The experiment studied the physical and chemical properties of soil of tea garden located in south of Kothaloni OCS in Dibrugarh district of Assam during September 2019- March 2020 Why??. The design selected was Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). The soil samples were collected from (40-50) metres, (50-60) metres, (60-70) metres, (70-80) metres and control site (150-160) metres of the flare site in two different seasons namely autumn and rainy. Rephrase please???. Soil physical and chemical parameters such as soil temperature, soil moisture ,bulk density, porosity, hydraulic conductivity, pH, organic carbon content, electrical conductivity, available nutrients (NPK) were studied.I suggest you to present separatly the physical and the chemical characteristics studied. Soil temperature recorded highest (29.83°C) at distance (40-50) metres away from the gas flaring site and decreased with its increased distances from the flaring site while soil moisture recorded lowest (11.48%) at distance (40-50) metres which increased with far away distances from the flaring site. Rest of the studied soil parameters *viz*., bulk density, porosity, hydraulic conductivity, pH, organic carbon content, electrical conductivity and available nutrients (NPK) recorded non significant variations along distances and seasons.rephrase please Split this into several short sentences.

Keywords: *Gas flare, tea, physical and chemical soil parameters*

1.INTRODUCTION

Tea is grown in about 45 countries having geographical variations ranging from 42^{o} N to 38^{o} S latitudes. Assam is famous for tea plantation and its climate and rainfall makes it an abundant tea producing state. 172 years old tea industry in Assam plays an important role in the socio-economic development of Assam.

Comment [MF2]: What do you really want to talk about here?

Comment [MF3]: Split this into two different sentences

Comment [MF4]: ???

Assam is also famous for oil industries and many tea estates are located near the oil industries. Low pressure natural gas is burnt in the air during the crude oil extraction process [1]. Gas flaring affected the soil physical and chemical properties in its vicinity [2]. Odjugo and Osemwenkhae [3] reported that the air and soil temperature, bulk density and sand content of the soil increased near the flaring site. Okeke and Okpala [4] reported that soil quality parameters such as bulk density and temperature decreased while moisture content and organic matter increased with distances away from the flare point. Soil nutrients were found to be lower near the gas flaring site in comparison to the control sites Izombe and Eket area of the Niger Delta [4]. Variations in the soil characteristics mainly the characteristics that were related to nutrients affected the productivity of crop indirectly. In the vicinity of gas flaring site, soil temperature was reported to be high and it affected the top soil. Soil moisture was found to be decreased near the gas flare due to the heat from the flare and increased in the distances away from the gas flare [5]. Exchangeable cation or base was low in soils in vicinity of gas flaring site [6,7]. Hydraulic conductivity of soil was found to be increased [8,9] and soil organic carbon to be decreased [3,10] near the gas flaring site. Atuma and Ojeh [10] reported that the soils near gas flaring site of Ebedei in Niger Delta had low electrical conductivity, Phosphorous, Nitrogen, Potassium and Sodium. Soil pH in Nigeria were more acidic and available nitrogen, total organic carbon and available phosphorus of the soil decreased near

the flaring site [11,12].

Less research work is limited in this area, a study was thus conducted to know the effect of gas flaring in soil of tea garden south of Kothaloni OCS in Dibrugarh district of Assam, India with the objective of impact assessment of soil physical and chemical properties of soil.

Comment [MF5]: The structuring of sentences need to be completely reajusted

2.MATERIAL AND METHODS

.

Location selected was a tea garden south of Kothaloni OCS, Dibrugarh district and the study was done during September 2019-March 2020. Randomized Complete Block Design was selected as experimental design taking five distances viz., (40-50) metres, (50-60) metres, (60-70) metres, (70-80) metres and control site (150-160) metres away from the gas flaring site and two seasons namely rainy and autumn seasons. The garden was selected at 40 metres away from the flare site because of the presence of a pond in between the tea garden and the flare site. D_C denoted control site.

Comment [MF6]: Rephrase and make easier the understanding of this paragraph please

2.1 Soil physical parameters

2.1.1 Soil temperature

Soil temperature was measured by using soil thermometer and expressed as degree Celsius (°C).

2.1.2 Soil moisture

Subtracting the dry weight from the initial weight gives the amount of water which is further divided by dry weight to give the moisture content. It was expressed as percentage (%).

2.1.3 Bulk density

Bulk density was determined by using gravimetric method with core sampler method [13] and expressed as g cm^{-3}.

2.1.4 Porosity

Porosity was determined using the formula {1-(Bulk density/Particle density)}×100 and was expressed as percentage (%).

2.1.5 Hydraulic conductivity

Hydraulic conductivity (K_S) measurement was carried out by the constant head parameter using undisturbed soil cores as mentioned by Baruah and Borthakur [14]. Its unit is cm min^{-1} and expressed as

$$K=QL/At\ H$$

Where,

Q= Volume of water collected (cm^3), A= Cross sectional area of the soil column (cm^2) equivalent to area of core, L= Length of soil column (cm), t= Time (time in minute)

ΔH= Hydraulic head difference (cm)

Comment [MF7]: You present the area, insisting on the pedological, farming, vegetal cover, human activity, climate, etc. Secondly, you announce the two groups of work performed?? Then, you talk about the aspects of the work made on the field, and further, about the aspect of the work made in the laboratory???

2.2 Soil chemical parameters

2.2.1 Available nitrogen

The estimation of available nitrogen was done by Modified Kjeldahl method as described by Jackson [15] and can be expressed as kg/ha.

Comment [MF8]: Split this into two short sentences and rephrase

2.2.2 Available phosphorus

The estimation of available phosphorus was done by Bray's No.1method as described by Jackson [15] and can be expressed as kg/ha.

Comment [MF9]: Split this into two short sentences and rephrase

2.2.3 Available potassium

The estimation of available potassium was done by Flame Photometric method as described by Jackson [15] and can be expressed as kg/ha.

Comment [MF10]: Split this into two short sentences and rephrase

2.2.4 Electrical Conductivity

Soil: water ratio of1:2.5 with the help of EC meter [15] determined the electrical conductivity of the soil and it can be expressed as dS/m.

Comment [MF11]: Split this into two short sentences and rephrase

2.2.5 Soil pH

Soil pH was determined electrochemically with the help of glass electrode pH meter as suggested by Jackson [15].

Comment [MF12]: Split this into two short sentences and rephrase

2.2.6 Organic carbon content

Soil organic carbon was determined by Walkley and Black's titration method as described by Jackson[15] and can be expressed as percentage (%).

Comment [MF13]:

Comment [MF14]: Split this into two short sentences and rephrase

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1. Physical parametrs of soil

3.1.1 Soil temperature

Soil temperature had shown significant variation among distances. Significant variations were observed between all the distances except D_3 and D_4, D_4 and DC. Highest mean temperature value was found to be highest at distance D_1 (29.83°C) which decreased with increased distances from the flaring site and the lowest value was found in DC (26.49°C) as recorded in Table 1. This was supported by the findings of Odjugo and Osemwenkhae [3], Okeke and Okpala [4] and Orji *et al.* [5] . There was no significant variation observed in seasons.

Comment [MF15]: Rephrase please

3.1.2 Soil moisture

Soil moisture had shown significant variation among distances. Significant variations were observed between all the distances except D_3 and D_4, D_3 and DC, D_4 and DC. A minimum mean value was recorded at D_1 (11.48%) which gradually increased with increase in distances from the flare site and the highest value was found in DC (15.35%) as mentioned in Table 2. The increased soil temperature decreased the water viscosity by allowing more water to percolate through the soil profile and thus reduced the soil moisture [16,17]. These findings are in confirmation with the study of Odjugo and Osemwenkhae [3], Okeke and Okpala [4] and Orji *et al.* [5]. Soil moisture had no significant variations in seasons.

Comment [MF16]: Rephrase please

3.1.3. Bulk density

Bulk density of soil had shown no significant variations along distances, seasons and interaction of distance and season (Table 3).

3.1.4 Porosity

There was no significant differences seen in distance, season and interaction of distance and season in porosity of soil (Table 4).

3.1.5 Hydraulic conductivity

Hydraulic conductivity of soil had shown no significant variations along distances, seasons and interaction of distance and season (Table 5).

3.2 Soil chemical parameters

3.2.1 Available nitrogen

There was no significant differences seen in distance, season and interaction of distance and season in available nitrogen of soil (Table 6).

3.2.2 Available phosphorus

Available phosphorus of soil had shown no significant variations along distances, seasons and interaction of distance and season (Table 7) .

3.2.3 Available potassium

There was no significant differences seen in distance, season and interaction of distance and season in available potassium of soil (Table 8).

3.2.4 Electrical Conductivity

Electrical conductivity of soil had shown no significant variations along distances, seasons and interaction of distance and season (Table 9).

3.2.5 Soil pH

There was no significant differences seen in distance, season and interaction of distance and season in soil pH (Table 10).

3.2.6 Organic carbon content

Soil organic carbon had shown no significant variations along distances, seasons and interaction of distance and season (Table 11).

Comment [MF17]: Please rephrase all these subsections. Moreover, don't simply copy and paste sentences written above. Try to be a little bit original please.
A scientist is an Artist.

Table 1. Soil temperature of tea as affected by gas flaring

Seasons / Distances (m)	Soil temperature (°C)		Mean
	Rainy season (S1)	Autumn season (S2)	
D_1(40-50)	29.33	30.33	29.83
D_2(50-60)	28.66	29.00	28.83
D_3(60-70)	27.33	27.66	27.49
D_4(70-80)	26.66	27.00	26.83
D_C(150-160)	26.33	26.66	26.49
Mean	27.66	28.13	

Factors	**C.D.**	**SE(d)**	**SE(m)**	**Significance**
Distance (D)	0.77	0.36	0.26	S
Season (S)	N/A	0.23	0.16	NS
Distance X Season (DXS)	N/A	0.51	0.36	NS

**S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant; N/A= Not Applicable*

Table 2. Soil moisture of tea as affected by gas flaring

Seasons / Distances (m)	Soil moisture (%)		Mean
	Rainy season (S1)	Autumn season (S2)	
D1(40-50)	11.61	11.36	11.48
D2(50-60)	12.33	12.31	12.32
D3(60-70)	15.27	14.83	15.05
D4(70-80)	15.12	15.03	15.07
DC(150-160)	15.38	15.32	15.35
Mean	13.94	13.77	

Factors	**C.D.**	**SE(d)**	**SE(m)**	**Significance**
Distance(D)	0.50	0.24	0.17	S
Season(S)	N/A	0.15	0.11	NS
Distance X Season (DXS)	N/A	0.34	0.29	NS

**S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant; N/A= Not Applicable*

Table 3 Bulk density of soil as affected by gas flaring

Seasons / Distances (m)	Bulk density (g/cm^3)		Mean
	Rainy season(S1)	Autumn season (S2)	
D1(40-50)	1.15	1.18	1.16
D2(50-60)	1.19	1.19	1.19
D3(60-70)	1.20	1.18	1.19
D4(70-80)	1.14	1.19	1.16
DC(150-160)	1.18	1.15	1.16
Mean	1.17	1.17	

Factors	**C.D.**	**SE(d)**	**SE(m)**	**Significance**
Distance(D)	N/A	0.013	0.009	NS
Season(S)	N/A	0.008	0.006	NS

Distance X Season (DXS)	N/A	0.018	0.013	NS

*S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant; N/A= Not Applicable

Table 4. Porosity of soil as affected by gas flaring

Seasons / Distances (m)	Porosity (%)		Mean
	Rainy season (S1)	Autumn season (S2)	
D_1(40-50)	46.36	45.23	45.79
D_2(50-60)	45.90	45.90	45.90
D_3(60-70)	45.45	46.36	45.90
D_4(70-80)	45.90	45.71	45.80
D_C(150-160)	45.23	46.36	45.79
Mean	45.76	45.91	

Factors	C.D.	SE(d)	SE(m)	Significance
Distance(D)	N/A	0.51	0.36	NS
Season(S)	N/A	0.32	0.23	NS
Distance X Season (DXS)	N/A	0.72	0.51	NS

*S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant; N/A= Not Applicable

Table 5 Hydraulic conductivity of soil as affected by gas flaring

Seasons / Distances(m)	Hydraulic conductivity (cm/min)		Mean
	Rainy season (S1)	Autumn season (S2)	
D_1(40-50)	0.32	0.33	0.32
D_2(50-60)	0.32	0.32	0.32
D_3(60-70)	0.32	0.31	0.32
D_4(70-80)	0.32	0.31	0.31

D_C(150-160)	0.31	0.31	0.31	
Mean	0.31	0.31		
Factors	**C.D.**	**SE(d)**	**SE(m)**	**Significance**
Distance(D)	N/A	0.00	0.00	NS
Season(S)	N/A	0.00	0.00	NS
Distance X Season (DXS)	N/A	0.01	0.00	NS

*S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant; N/A= Not Applicable

Table 6 Available nitrogen of soil as affected by gas flaring

Seasons / Distances (m)	Available Nitrogen (kg/ha)		Mean
	Rainy season (S1)	Autumn season (S2)	
D_1(40-50)	243.66	242.33	242.99
D_2(50-60)	242.66	245.00	243.83
D_3(60-70)	241.66	245.33	243.49
D_4(70-80)	246.00	241.33	243.66
D_C(150-160)	243.33	244.00	243.66
Mean	243.46	243.59	
Factors	**C.D.**	**SE(d)**	**SE(m)**	**Significance**
Distance(D)	N/A	1.611	1.139	NS
Season(S)	N/A	1.019	0.721	NS
Distance X Season (DXS)	N/A	2.279	1.611	NS

**S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant; N/A= Not Applicable*

Table 7 Available phosphorous of soil as affected by gas flaring

Seasons / Distances (m)	Available Phosphorous content (kg/ha)		Mean
	Rainy season (S1)	Autumn season (S2)	
D_1(40-50)	14.26	14.42	14.34
D_2(50-60)	14.19	14.11	14.15
D_3(60-70)	14.58	14.51	14.54
D_4(70-80)	13.94	14.04	13.99
D_C(150-160)	14.21	14.23	14.22
Mean	14.23	14.26	

Factors	**C.D.**	**SE(d)**	**SE(m)**	**Significance**
Distance(D)	N/A	0.17	0.12	NS
Season(S)	N/A	0.11	0.08	NS
Distance X Season (DXS)	N/A	0.25	0.17	NS

**S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant; N/A= Not Applicable*

Table 8 Available potassium of soil as affected by gas flaring

Seasons / Distances (m)	Available potassium content (kg/ha)		Mean
	Rainy season (S1)	Autumn season (S2)	
D_1(40-50)	259.66	259.00	259.33
D_2(50-60)	251.33	257.33	254.33
D_3(60-70)	253.33	255.66	254.50
D_4(70-80)	257.33	264.00	260.66
D_C(150-160)	256.00	261.33	258.66
Mean	255.53	259.46	

Factors	**C.D.**	**SE(d)**	**SE(m)**	**Significance**

Distance(D)	N/A	4.56	3.22	NS
Season(S)	N/A	2.88	2.04	NS
Distance X Season (DXS)	N/A	6.45	4.56	NS

**S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant; N/A= Not Applicable*

Table 9 Soil electrical conductivity as affected by gas flaring

Seasons / Distances (m)	Soil electrical conductivity (dS/m)		Mean
	Rainy season (S1)	Autumn season (S2)	
D_1(40-50)	0.34	0.33	0.33
D_2(50-60)	0.35	0.34	0.34
D_3(60-70)	0.33	0.31	0.32
D_4(70-80)	0.32	0.32	0.32
D_C(150-160)	0.39	0.30	0.34
Mean	0.34	0.32	

Factors	**C.D.**	**SE(d)**	**SE(m)**	**Significance**
Distance(D)	N/A	0.03	0.02	NS
Season(S)	N/A	0.02	0.01	NS
Distance X Season (DXS)	N/A	0.04	0.03	NS

**S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant; N/A= Not Applicable*

Table 10 Soil pH as affected by gas flaring

Seasons / Distances (m)	Soil pH		Mean
	Rainy season (S1)	Autumn season (S2)	
D_1(40-50)	5.14	5.07	5.10
D_2(50-60)	4.97	4.99	4.98

D_3(60-70)	5.17	5.11		5.14
D_4(70-80)	5.18	5.14		5.16
D_C(150-160)	4.97	5.11		5.04
Mean	5.08	5.08		
Factors	**C.D.**	**SE(d)**	**SE(m)**	**Significance**
Distance(D)	N/A	0.15	0.10	NS
Season(S)	N/A	0.09	0.06	NS
Distance X Season (DXS)	N/A	0.21	0.15	NS

*S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant; N/A= Not Applicable

Table 11. Soil organic carbon content as affected by gas flaring

Seasons / Distances(m)	Soil organic carbon content (%)		Mean
	Rainy season (S1)	Autumn season (S2)	
D_1(40-50)	0.85	0.82	0.83
D_2(50-60)	0.80	0.82	0.81
D_3(60-70)	0.82	0.83	0.82
D_4(70-80)	0.80	0.80	0.80
D_C(150-160)	0.82	0.85	0.83
Mean	0.81	0.82	
Factors	**C.D.**	**SE(d)**	**SE(m)**	**Significance**
Distance(D)	N/A	0.02	0.01	NS
Season(S)	N/A	0.01	0.01	NS
Distance X Season (DXS)	N/A	0.03	0.02	NS

**S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant; N/A= Not Applicable*

4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that no significant variations were observed in soil physical and chemical parameters but the soil temperature and soil moisture were decreased and increased respectively with distances away from the gas flaring site. Concrete barricade around the flare stake may be one of the reasons for low impact of gas flaring on the studied area.

Comment [MF18]: Rephrase please. Make sure to split your paragraph into several short sentences.

REFERENCES

[1] Sharma KK, Hazarika S, Kalita B, Sharma B. Effect of Flaring of Natural Gas in Oil fields of Assam on Rice cultivation. Indian Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering.2011; 53(3): 289-298.

[2] Ite A E, Udo, J I. Gas Flaring and Venting Associated with Petroleum Exploration and Production in the Nigeria's Niger Delta. American Journal of Environmental Protection. 2013;1(4): 70-77.

[3] Odjugo PAO, Osemwenkhae E J. Natural gas flaring affects microclimate and reduces maize (Zea mays) yield. International Journal of Agriculture & Biology.2009; 11: 408-412.

[4] Okeke P N and Okpala C Q. Effects of gas flaring on selected arable soil quality indicators in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. Sky Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management. 2014; 3(1): 001-005.

[5] Orji E A, Boniface C E, Egboka A U S, Dio B A. Influence of Gas Flaring on some physical Properties of Soils in Kwale, Delta State. IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology.2015; 9: 52-57.

[6] Alakpodia I.J. Soil Characteristics under Gas Flare in Niger Delta, Southern Nigeria. Geo-Studies Forum. An International Journal of Environmental and Policy Issue.2000; 1(2): 1-10.

[7] Ogidiolu A. Effects of Gas Flaring on Soil and Vegetation Characteristics in Oil Producing Region of Niger Delta Nigeria. International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Dynamics.2003; 1(1): 47-53.

[8] Saha R S, Tripathi R P . Effect of Temperature on Hydraulic Conductivity of Soil. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science.1979; 27(3): 220-224.

[9] Gao H and Shao M. Effects of temperature changes on soil hydraulic properties. Soil & Tillage Research.2015; 153: 145-154.

[10] Atuma M I, Ojeh, V N. Effect of Gas Flaring on Soil and Cassava Productivity in Ebedei, Ukwuani Local Government Area, Delta State, Nigeria. Journal of Environmental Protection.2013; 4: 1054-1066.

[11] Akpojivi R and Akumagba P. Impact of gas flaring on soil fertility. Society of Petroleum Engineers.2005; 5(2): 1-5.

[12] Ejiogu C C, Halliday K, Opara KD, Ude AD, Anyanwu GC. Assessment on the impact of gas flaring on the physicochemical qualities of garden soils in bonny island. International Journal of Advanced Academic Research. 2019; 5(6): 234-242.

[13] Black G R, Hartge K H. Bulk density, Methods of Soil Analysis. American Society of Agronometry.1986; 9: 363-382.

[14] Baruah T C, Borthakur H P. A textbook of Soil Chemical Analysis, Vikash pub. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 1997; 26-85.

[15] Jackson M L. Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., NewDelhi.1973; 498.

[16] Broadbent F E. Soil organic matter. Sustainable Options.2015; 2: 34-38.

[17] Onwuka B, Mang B. Effects of soil temperature on some soil properties and plant growth. Advances in Plants & Agriculture Research. 2018;8(1):34-37