

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_85291
Title of the Manuscript:	Biotechnological aspects and genetic diversity assessment in Zingiber sp
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I ask the authors to check the writing of the bibliographic references in the whole text (points, spaces, commas), ex: (Jatoi et al2006). (Kizhakkayiland Sasikumar, 2010). For all information that does not belong to the author must show bibliography (source), ex: Jamaica has the best ginger... (citation needed); Fig (1-11): Cytology of Zingiber Officinalis (are they obtained by the authors or taken from the specialized literature?)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	As a review manuscript, for all information that does not belong to the author/s must include proper bibliographic references (source), in order not to be accused of plagiarism. Please rewrite the text including the corresponding references (for text, images or tables taken from other authors or from their previous works). The information is relevant, pay attention to the presentation of the data. Incomplete references, ex: (first reference).... (2022). Retrieved 11 February 2022, from https://www.ias.ac.in/article/fulltext/secb/017/04/0118-0132 .	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Filimon V. Razvan
Department, University & Country	Romania