

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_85179
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of neonicotinoid insecticides on the foraging preference of Indian honey bee, Apis cerana indica (Fab.) (Hymenoptera, Apidae) visiting sunflower Helianthus annus L. crop
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The author's choice of topic was appropriate; in view of the importance of honey bees as provide pollination services to agricultural and horticultural crops as well as wild plants which let bee contribute in foodstuffs production as one of serious problem worldwide. It is a serious national and international problem that warrants extensive research work to promote current measures for solving this problem (neonicotinoids harmful to the pollinators). Base on this the choice of the topic is quite relevant.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript does an excellent job demonstrating significant results, after careful consideration, I feel that the manuscript will likely be suitable for publication if it is revised by the author and accept my comment if it's possible to add the seed harvest for treatments compare to control check; Absolute control (no spray) and Water control (Water spray) with recommended doses with minor revisions.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The author accumulated relevant literature review. The methods are appropriate for investigating the problem at hand. Adopted standard methodologies using available facilities. The article is organized (but need to follow the Journal format if present). The author collected adequate information tabulated simply and clearly presented in tables, histogram (as figures) and plate. The statistical analysis of the data presented was adequate enable the author interprets and draw logical conclusions from his data. I think the author need to follow the journal formats.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yousif Osman Hussein Mohammed Assad
Department, University & Country	University of Gezira, Sudan