Original Research Article

Heterosis studies in sweet sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] for ethanol and its related traits.

Abstract: An investigation was conducted to study the possibility of exploiting heterosis-in breeding for improved ethzanolimproved ethanol yield in sweet sorghum. A total of sixteen F₁ hybrids crossed in L x T fashion, 8 parents (4lines x 4 testers) and check CSH-22S were evaluated for days to 50 % flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of nodes per plant, stem girth, fresh stalk weight, panicle weight, 1000 grain weight, juice yield, brix %, total soluble sugars, ethanol yield. The range of heterosis over mid parent, better parent and commercial check indicated that it was high with respect to ethanol productivity related traits particularly juice yield and brix per cent. However, it was deviating for days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, number of nodes per plant, plant height, and 1000 grain weight which has shown negative heterosis. In hybrids, there is an improvement in the juice, brix per cent and ethanol yield, but heterosis is limited for 1000 grain weight and ultimately grain yield. The following six hybrids have performed well ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006; ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006; ICSA 14035 x ICSV-15006; ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28; ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28 and ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006 in respect of juice yield, brix and ethanol yield.

Keywords: Sweet Sorghum, Heterosis, Mid parent, Better_-parent, Standard parent, Ethanol yield

Introduction: Human dependency on fossil fuel is at its peak leading to the depletion of fossil fuel resources (petroleum) at an alarming rate. Therefore, in order to cut the gap of energy (fossil fuel) demand created by current day lifestyle, the non-conventional energy source in the form of biofuel is one of the best options. Ethanol alone accounts for about 90 per cent of the total biofuel production in the world (Reddy *et al.*, 2005).

The bioethanol produced from agriculture sources provide eco-friendly energy (Reference). The present ethanol production is through sugarcane given that water availability is poised to become a major constraint to agricultural production in coming years, high input requiring cultivation of sugarcane becomes difficult and sweet sorghum offers a

Comment [WU1]:

Comment [WU2]: Add some kinds of introduction

Comment [WU3]:

Comment [WU4]:

Comment [WU5]: For how many locations and replication the experiment tested and name of locations at which the experiment conducted

Comment [WU6]: There should be general conclusion statements at the end of the abstaract

sustainable choice as it requires minimal water and purchased inputs. (Elangovan *et al.*, 2014; Santos *et al.*, 2015). Sweet sorghum is similar to grain sorghum but with rich juicy sugar stalks, it becomes a potential raw material resource for bioethanol production. Unlike sugarcane, it can be grown on poor and marginal soils with minimum inputs and could yield three crops a year. Hence, in the present study heterosis was studied for 13 quantitative characters (reference). The summary of the results obtained are presented experiment-wise.

The previous reports on sweet sorghum have shown the existence of heterosis for traits directly or indirectly related to the bioethanol production, including total soluble sugars, green cane yield, and juice yield (Bunphan *et al.*, 2015; Reddy *et al.*, 2007). Thus, the establishment of heterosis-based breeding of sweet sorghum has been shown to be a viable alternative.

Since the expression of heterosis is under the influence of genetic diversity of parents all the 16 hybrids generated in L x T mating design needs to be evaluated for identification of desirable heterotic combinations as the heterosis phenomenon is confined only to F₁ generation and mostly governed either by nuclear genes alone or in combination and interaction with cytoplasm demands precise estimation in different mean ways available such that the same can be exploited for bringing improvement.

Materials And Methods: The present study involving $16 \, F_1$ hybrids, 8 parents and one hybrid check CSH-22SS were evaluated in Agricultural College, Bapatla in Rabi, 2018 for studying the heterosis pattern. The experiment was carried out in randomised block design with 4 rows of each entry with 3 m row length under spacing of $45 \, x \, 15$ cm. The recommended package of practices was followed during the crop season. The data was recorded on ten randomly tagged competitive plants in each replication in parents and F_1 's avoiding border rows. Data was recorded on days to $50 \, \%$ flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of nodes per plant, stem girth, fresh stalk weight, panicle weight, $1000 \, \text{grain}$ weight, juice yield, brix %, total soluble sugars, ethanol yield. For predicting the total soluble sugars by using juice Brix%, the following regression equation given by Corleto and Cazzato as reported by Reddy $et \, al. \, (2005)$ was used.

Total Soluble Sugars (TSS) = $0.1516 + (Brix \% \times 0.8746)$

Computed ethanol yield (CEY) is measured using the following formula $Total\ sugar\ yield\ (t/ha) = [(TSS\ \%)\ /100]\ X\ Juice\ yield\ (L/ha)/1000$ $CEY = Total\ sugar\ yield\ (t/ha)/5.68)\ x\ 3.78\ x\ 1000\ x\ 0.8$

Comment [WU7]: Would you summarize past status of ethanol production from sweet corn across the globe?

Comment [WU8]:

Comment [WU9]: Please add the objective this study.

Comment [WU10]: In general, Ith introduction part requires some additional information to make it strong. Because the introduction is too shallow at this stage.

—(Smith, G.A and Buxton. 1993)

TSS = Total Soluble Sugars

Result and Discussion

1. Days to 50 per cent flowering (days)

The Mid parent heterosis ranged from –19.78 (ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28) to 21.24 per cent (ICSA 14029 x IS 29308). With respect to standard heterosis cross (ICSA 14029 x IS 29308) has shown high positive heterosis (1.67) while cross (ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28) has shown high negative heterosis (-33.40). In case of better parent heterosis same crosses have reported similar results. The F₁ hybrid of (ICSA 14029 x IS 29308), is desirable because of positive standard heterosis which can result in late flowering type which is suitable for sweet sorghum. (ICSA 14029 x ICSV 15006) for medium maturing type. Prabhakar (2001), Umakanth *et al.* (2006) had reported similar results for this trait.

2. Days to maturity (days)

The cross (ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28) has reported high negative heterosis (-12.91) (-13.78) and (-19.82) in case of mid parent, better parent and standard parent heterosis respectively, which indicates for early maturing types useful for fodder purpose. While high positive heterosis was reported in cross (ICSA 14035 x SEVS -08) for mid parent (12.15) and in cross (ICSA 14030 x SEVS-08) for better parent (11.04) and cross ICSA 14030 x IS 29308(-0.66) for standard parent. Prabhakar (2001), Umakanth *et al.* (2006) had reported similar results for this trait.

Hybrids of crosses ICSA 14030 x IS 29308 (-0.66) were found to be late maturing when compared to standard check variety and are desirable for sweet sorghum for accumulation of sugars.

3. Plant height (cm)

Hybrid ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006 has recorded positive heterosis for mid parent (44.49), (33.17) and better parent respectively. When compared to standard check, none of the hybrids have quoted high positive heterosis, while the results are deviating from the results of Ingle *et al.* (2018) where positive heterosis was observed for the studied F_1 hybrids.

Comment [WU11]: The methods used to calculate the mid parent, better parent and standard heterosis should be mentioned in the method and material session.

Comment [WU12]: Where is the

Comment [WU13]: Better to use recent reference

Comment [WU14]: Did you check these crosses for their sugar content?

Comment [WU15]: Try to use recent reference

Comment [WU16]: Did you conduct association study for other traits with sugar content? Otherwise, this kinds conclusion may not be acceptable

High negative heterosis was outlined in the cross ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28 (-31.54), (-49.49), (-48.57) for mid parent, better parent and standard heterosis respectively which means this hybrid is dwarf among the hybrids studied, which is undesirable for high ethanol yield.

4. Number of nodes per plant

Positive significant mid parent heterosis was resulted in the hybrid of cross ICSA 14035 x IS-29308 (17.83) and in case of better parent heterosis cross ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006 has resulted in positive significant heterosis (8.96) and in case of standard heterosis none of the crosses have resulted in positive heterosis. Pandey and Shrotria (2012) had reported positive result in case of standard heterosis.

For mid parent heterosis hybrid of cross ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28 (-19.44) was found negative significant and for better parent and standard heterosis ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28(-34.12) (-36.36) was found to be negative significant, respectively.

5. Stem girth (cm)

Mid parent negative heterosis value of (-41.41) has been reported in cross ICSA 14030 x SEVS -08, better parent value of (-40.54) was reported in cross ICSA 14033 x SEVS-08 and in cross ICSA 14030 x IS 29308 has reported negative significant heterosis value (-27.13).

Stem girth combined with plant height contribute for fresh stalk yield so high stem girth is desirable. In this study positive significant better parent heterosis was observed for ICSA 14030 x IS 29308 (48.35) and for standard parent heterosis cross ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006 has shown highest value (37.98). Most of the hybrids have shown positive significant values over the better parent like ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006 (37.21), ICSA 14035 x ICSV-15006 (24.03), ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28 (21.71), ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006 and ICSA 14035 x SEVS-08 (20.16). Kumar *et al.* (2016) quoted similar positive heterobeltiosis results.

6. Panicle weight (g)

Cross ICSA 14030 x SEVS -08 has reported (39.43) highest positive significant heterosis, while cross ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28 (4.85) for better parent and ICSA 14030 x SEVS-08 (17.08) for standard parent. Most of the crosses have reported negative heterosis except ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28 (3.77), ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006(0.57), ICSA 14030 x

Comment [WU17]: Support with reference

ICSV 15006 (2.67), ICSA 14030 x IS 29308 (5.13) which reported positive standard heterosis.

7. 1000 grain weight (g)

Positive heterosis was observed in all the hybrids with respect to mid parent and better patent heterosis except in ICSA 14033 x GGUB -28 (-3.70). Positive heterosis is desirable for this trait, yet no positive standard heterosis was observed in any one of the hybrids. Vyas *et al.* (2014a) observed similar results for mid and better parent heterosis.

All the hybrids have shown negative standard heterosis for 1000 grain weight. The cross ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006 has recorded the lowest positive significant heterosis (1.19). The cross ICSA 14033 x GGUB -28 has shown negative significant better parent heterosis (-3.70).

8. Fresh stalk yield (T ha⁻¹)

Mid parent heterosis among the hybrids for Fresh stalk yield ranged from significantly negative -40.99 (ICSA 14030 x IS 29308) to 25.58 (ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006). Whereas, the better parent heterosis too varied significantly and ranged from – 47.97 per cent (ICSA 14030 x IS 29308) to 34.59 per cent (ICSA 14033 x SEVS-08). The standard heterosis was found to be significantly positive. In the hybrid *viz.*, (ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006 with 41.50 per-cent followed by ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006 with 40.10 per cent. Kumar *et al.* (2016) and Chikuta *et al.* (2017) has observed similar results.

9. Juice yield (I ha⁻¹)

The hybrids ICSA 14033 x GGUB-28 followed by ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006 and ICSA 14033 x ICSV 15006 have recorded significantly superior mid parent heterosis in positive direction, whereas the hybrid ICSA 14029 x IS 29308 recorded negatively significant heterosis of 42.17 %. The hybrid ICSA 14033 x GGUB-28 has recorded significantly positive better parent heterosis of 69.44 % followed by ICSA 14033 x ICSV 15006 with 34.92 %. The hybrid ICSA 14029 x IS 29308 followed by ICSA 14035 x IS-29308 and ICSA 14035 x GGUB-28 have recorded significantly negative better parent heterosis of -58.66, -40.93 and -38.05 respectively. 11 out of 16 hybrids have recorded significantly positive standard heterosis. Of the remaining five hybrids ICSA 14029 x IS 29308 and ICSA 14033 x IS -29308 have recorded significantly negative standard heterosis.

Comment [WU18]: It should be supported with previous works

10. Brix %

The cross ICSA 14033 x GGUB-28 has revealed high mid parent heterosis in negative direction (-25.77 per-cent) while the heterosis in positive direction was 23.93 per cent as recorded by the cross combination of ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28. The magnitude of better parent heterosis ranged from –30.61 (ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28) to -2.08 (ICSA 14030 x IS 29308). Over standard check, the hybrid ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28 displayed highest negative heterosis of –20.51per-cent, while the hybrid ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006 with 23.08 per cent standard heterosis in positive direction was on the other extreme.

Other hybrids which excelled than standard parent are ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006 (20.51); ICSA 14030 x IS 29308 (20.51); ICSA 14035 x IS-29308 (19.23); ICSA 14029 x SEVS-08; ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006 (12.82). The results presented here are in accordance with Sandeep *et al.* (2009) and Pothisoong and Jaisil (2011).

11. Total soluble sugars (%)

The magnitude of mid parent heterosis ranged from -26.13 (ICSA 14035 x GGUB-28) to 17.99 per cent in (ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006). The better parent heterosis also varied from -27.87 (ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28) - to -0.86 per cent (ICSA 14030 x IS 29308). Heterosis of -12.66 per-cent over the standard check was observed in the cross ICSA 14035 x SEVS-08 while the heterosis was positive and highest (22.75 per cent) in ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006.

12. Ethanol yield (l ha⁻¹)

Mid parent heterosis among the hybrids for ethanol yield ranged from -42.07 (ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28 to 84.69 (ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006) and the better parent heterosis varied from – 41.81 per cent (ICSA 14029 x IS 29308) to 54.74 per cent (ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006). The standard heterosis was found highest in the hybrid (ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006) with 125.54 per cent in positive direction while hybrid (ICSA 14033 x IS-29308) was towards other extreme but in negative direction *i.e.*, -17.44 per cent. Vinaykumar *et al.* (2011) and Kumar *et al.* (2016) has observed similar results.

13. Grain yield (T ha⁻¹)

Heterosis over the mid parent, better parent and standard check were found to be respectively significant with -34.01, -47.28, -32.08 as recorded by the hybrid ICSA 14035 x IS-29308. While significantly highest heterosis in the positive direction was 39.03, 12.62, 6.1 per cent (ICSA 14030 x SEVS -08) for mid parent, better parent and standard heterosis, respectively.

Comment [WU20]: Associated with other works

Comment [WU21]: Similar comments

In all the 16 hybrids studied most of the crosses had negative standard heterosis as well as better parent heterosis. While positive mid parent heterosis was reported for crosses ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28 (3.16), ICSA 14029 x ICSV 15006 (14.39), ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28 (3.47), ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006 (9.77), ICSA 14030 x IS 29308(2.18), ICSA 14033 x SEVS-08 (8.67), ICSA 14035 x ICSV-15006 (1.77). The results obtained here are deviating from the results presented by Vinaykumar *et al.* (2011), Vyas *et al.* (2014a), Kumar *et al.* (2016), Ingle *et al.* (2018) for standard heterosis and Chikuta *et al.* (2017), Meena *et al.* (2020), Liming *et al.* (2020) reported similar result for mid parent heterosis.

Conclusion: The range of heterosis over mid parent, better parent and commercial check indicated that it was high with respect to ethanol productivity related traits particularly juice yield and brix per-cent. However, it was deviating for days to 50 per-cent flowering, days to maturity, number of nodes per plant, plant height, and 1000 grain weight which has shown negative heterosis. In hybrids, there is an improvement in the juice, brix per cent and ethanol yield, but heterosis is limited for 1000 grain weight and ultimately grain yield.

Considering standard heterosis as reference point and based upon the magnitude of standard heterosis in respect of juice yield, brix and ethanol yield, following six hybrids have performed well ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006; ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006; ICSA 14035 x ICSV-15006; ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28; ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28 and ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006. These six hybrid combinations may thus be considered as the combinations which can be used as dual types for both ethanol and grain. Thus they can be exploited for both the economic end products either through hybrids.

Comment [WU22]:

Table: 1. Range of heterosis % in 13 characters of 16 sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] **hybrids**

S. No.	Character	Mid parent	Better parent	Standard parent
1	DAF 50%	-19.58 to 21.24	-24.42 to 15.37	-33.40 to 1.67
2	DM	-12.91 to 12.15	-13.78 to 11.04	-19.82 to -0.66
3	PH	-31.54 to 44.49	-49.49 to 33.17	-48.57 to -13.37
4	N.N.S	-19.44 to 17.83	-34.12 to 8.96	-36.36 to -13.64
5	SG	-41.41 to -3.73	-40.54 to 48.35	-27.13 to 37.98
6	PW	-34.37 to 39.43	-42.29 to 4.85	-31.47 to 17.08
7	1000 GW	1.19 to 54.29	-3.70 to 30.27	-26.71 to -0.86
8	FSTK	-40.99 to 25.58	-47.97 to 34.59	-36.71 to 41.50
9	JY	-42.17 to 78.52	-58.66 to 69.44	-19.62 to 88.65
10	BRIX %	-25.77 to 23.93	-30.61 to -2.08	-20.51 to 23.08
11	TSS	-26.13 to 17.99	-27.87 to -0.86	-12.66 to 22.75
12	EY	-42.07 to 84.69	-41.81 to 54.74	-17.44 to 125.24
13	GY	-34.01 to 39.03	-47.28 to 12.62	-32.08 to 6.1

DAF 50%= Days to 50% flowering (Days), **D.M**= Days to maturity (Days), **PH**= Plant height (cm), **N.N.S**= Number of nodes per plant, **SG**= Stem girth (cm), **PW**= Panicle weight (g), **1000 GW**= 1000 grain weight (g), **FSTK**= Fresh stalk yield (T ha⁻¹), **JY**= Juice yield (l ha⁻¹), **Brix %**, **TSS** = Total soluble sugars (%), **EY**= Ethanol yield (l ha⁻¹), **GY** = Grain yield (T ha⁻¹).

Table: 2. Heterosis (%) over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and standard heterosis (STD) for days to 50% flowering, days to maturity in 16 Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] hybrids

		1. Days to 50% flowering			2	. Days to maturi	ty
S.No	HYBRIDS	MP	BP	STD	MP	BP	STD
		Heterosis	Heterosis	Heterosis	Heterosis	Heterosis	Heterosis
H-1	ICSA 14029 x SEVS-08	7.23**	1.47*	-10.58**	8.54**	3.88**	-0.92
H-2	ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28	-12.57**	-17.26**	-27.09**	-8.13**	-9.28**	-13.47**
Н-3	ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006	3.96**	-0.42	-12.24**	4.53**	2.22**	-2.51**
H-4	ICSA 14029 x IS 29308	21.24**	15.37**	1.67**	6.11**	3.46**	-1.32
H-5	ICSA 14030 x SEVS -08	15.48**	4.42**	-7.98**	11.13**	11.04**	-3.04**
H-6	ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28	-12.46**	-20.84**	-30.24**	-8.42**	-11.22**	-17.44**
H-7	ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006	10.34**	1.05	-10.95**	9.55**	7.25**	-2.25**
H-8	ICSA 14030 x IS 29308	14.58**	4.21**	-8.16**	11.66**	9.62**	-0.66
H-9	ICSA 14033 x SEVS-08	7.44**	3.37**	-8.91**	3.46**	-1.37	-5.15**
H-10	ICSA 14033 x GGUB -28	-12.47**	-15.79**	-25.9**	-3.63**	-5.22**	-8.85**
H-11	ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006	2.70**	0.00	-11.87**	3.10**	0.41	-3.43**
H-12	ICSA 14033 x IS-29308	9.03**	5.47**	-7.05**	0.57	-2.34**	-6.08**
H-13	ICSA 14035 x SEVS-08	11.51**	5.05**	-7.42**	12.15 **	9.71**	0.00
H-14	ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28	-19.78**	-24.42**	-33.40**	-12.91**	-13.78**	-19.82**
H-15	ICSA 14035 x ICSV-15006	5.52**	0.63	-11.32**	7.83**	7.83**	-1.2*
H-16	ICSA 14035 x IS-29308	4.22**	-1.26	-12.99**	2.76**	2.46**	-6.61**

NOTE: * and ** Significant at 5 and 1 per cent level respectively MP = Mid parent; BP = Better parent; STD = Standard heterosis

_____Contd...<u>??</u>

Table: 3 Mean performance and heterosis (%) over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and standard heterosis (STD) for plant height, number of nodes per plant in 16 Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] hybrids

		3. Plant height (cm)			4.1	Number of no	des per plant
S.No	HYBRIDS	MP Heterosis	BP Heterosis	STD Heterosis	MP Heterosis	BP Heterosis	STD Heterosis
H-1	ICSA 14029 x SEVS-08	-17.61**	-27.99**	-28.90**	-15.28**	-28.24**	-30.68**
H-2	ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28	-27.27**	-45.80**	-46.48**	-19.44 **	-31.76**	-34.09**
H-3	ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006	15.38**	12.26**	-13.37**	0.00	-14.12**	-17.05**
H-4	ICSA 14029 x IS 29308	3.49**	-20.71**	-21.71**	-10.49**	-24.71**	-27.27**
H-5	ICSA 14030 x SEVS -08	17.48**	7.24**	-20.81**	7.94*	1.49	-22.73**
H-6	ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28	-5.74**	-15.44**	-48.44**	-14.29**	-19.40**	-38.64**
H-7	ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006	44.49**	33.17**	-18.80**	14.06**	8.96*	-17.05**
H-8	ICSA 14030 x IS 29308	36.49**	27.07**	-22.53**	-12.00**	-17.91**	-37.50**
H-9	ICSA 14033 x SEVS-08	-9.74**	-20.03**	-23.51**	5.56	-10.59**	-13.64**
H-10	ICSA 14033 x GGUB -28	-25.79**	-44.11**	-46.54**	1.39	-14.12**	-17.05**
H-11	ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006	10.69**	-14.90**	-18.60**	-10.96**	-23.53**	-26.4**
H-12	ICSA 14033 x IS-29308	0.47	-22.16**	-25.55**	4.90	-11.76**	-14.77**
H-13	ICSA 14035 x SEVS-08	-6.26**	-19.14**	-17.67**	6.15	-18.82**	-21.59**
H-14	ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28	-31.54**	-49.49**	-48.57**	-13.85**	-34.12**	-36.36**
H-15	ICSA 14035 x ICSV-15006	-3.18*	-27.14**	-25.81**	1.52	-21.18**	-23.86**
H-16	ICSA 14035 x IS-29308	7.33**	-18.64**	-17.15**	17.83**	-10.59**	-13.64**

MP = Mid parent; BP = Better parent; STD = Standard heterosis

Table: 4. Mean performance and heterosis (%) over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and standard (STD) for stem girth (g), panicle weight (g) in 16 Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] hybrids.

		5. Stem girth (cm)			6.	Panicle weigh	ht (g)
S.No	HYBRIDS	MP Heterosis	BP Heterosis	STD Heterosis	MP Heterosis	BP Heterosis	STD Heterosis
H-1	ICSA 14029 x SEVS-08	-22.26**	-32.97**	-3.88	-1.36	-14.26**	-17.67**
H-2	ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28	-13.84**	-25.54**	6.20*	22.18**	4.85	3.77
H-3	ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006	-7.46**	-22.89**	20.16**	14.43**	-4.10	0.57
H-4	ICSA 14029 x IS 29308	-11.39**	-23.08**	8.53**	-4.16	-23.47**	-9.13**
H-5	ICSA 14030 x SEVS -08	-41.41**	43.78**	-19.38**	39.43**	21.92**	17.08**
H-6	ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28	-11.30**	-14.67**	21.71**	10.95**	-4.21	-5.20
H-7	ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006	-4.58**	-11.94**	37.21**	16.15**	-2.11	2.67
H-8	ICSA 14030 x IS 29308	-46.59**	48.35**	-27.13**	10.29**	-11.46**	5.13
H-9	ICSA 14033 x SEVS-08	-37.85**	-40.54**	-14.73**	0.89	-0.75	-4.69
H-10	ICSA 14033 x GGUB -28	-13.31**	-16.85**	18.60**	-4.56	-7.48*	-8.44**
H-11	ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006	-3.78**	-11.44**	37.98**	-3.67	-9.17*	-4.74
H-12	ICSA 14033 x IS-29308	-25.93**	-28.57**	0.78	-7.01**	-17.12**	-1.59
H-13	ICSA 14035 x SEVS-08	-3.73*	-16.22**	20.16**	-2.68	-5.69	-9.44**
H-14	ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28	-15.89**	26.63**	4.65	0.57	-3.95	-4.94
H-15	ICSA 14035 x ICSV-15006	-5.33**	-20.40**	24.03**	-6.37*	-12.97**	-8.73**
H-16	ICSA 14035 x IS-29308	-19.12**	-29.12**	0.00	-34.37**	-42.29**	-31.47**

MP = Mid parent; BP = Better parent; STD = Standard heterosis

Table: 5. Mean performance and heterosis (%) over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and standard heterosis (STD) for 1000 grain weight, fresh stalk yield (T ha⁻¹) in 16 Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] hybrids.

		7. 1000 grain weight (g)			8. Fres	h stalk yield (T ha ⁻¹)
S.No	HYBRIDS	MP	BP	STD	MP	BP	STD
		Heterosis	Heterosis	Heterosis	Heterosis	Heterosis	Heterosis
H-1	ICSA 14029 x SEVS-08	11.32**	2.44	-22.04**	-14.09**	-21.21**	-1.38
H-2	ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28	21.66**	17.69**	-10.44**	-8.15**	15.46**	5.00**
H-3	ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006	1.19	1.16	-23.01**	20.59**	8.64**	41.50**
H-4	ICSA 14029 x IS 29308	9.91**	8.14*	-17.70**	-9.34**	-15.75**	2.49
H-5	ICSA 14030 x SEVS -08	9.63**	9.62**	-16.58**	-25.55**	-35.16**	-18.84**
H-6	ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28	12.95**	18.26**	-10.00**	-2.53	-14.82**	5.79**
H-7	ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006	3.85	12.09**	-14.70**	25.58**	7.57**	40.10**
H-8	ICSA 14030 x IS 29308	12.25**	19.39**	-9.14**	-40.99**	-47.97**	-36.71**
H-9	ICSA 14033 x SEVS-08	54.29**	30.27**	-0.86	-32.26**	34.59**	-18.12**
H-10	ICSA 14033 x GGUB -28	8.02*	-3.70	-26.71**	-22.11**	-24.51**	-6.23**
H-11	ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006	12.70**	4.12	-20.76**	9.11**	3.38*	34.64**
H-12	ICSA 14033 x IS-29308	16.59**	5.87	-19.43**	-17.05**	-18.78**	-1.20
H-13	ICSA 14035 x SEVS-08	33.66**	9.53**	-16.64**	-8.33**	-15.86**	5.32**
H-14	ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28	44.36**	25.11**	-4.79	-27.92**	-33.61**	-17.54**
H-15	ICSA 14035 x ICSV-15006	13.67**	2.19	-22.23**	-25.97**	-33.25**	-13.07**
H-16	ICSA 14035 x IS-29308	18.08**	4.29	-20.64**	-22.80**	-28.21**	-12.66**

NOTE: * and ** Significant at 5 and 1 per cent level respectively MP = Mid parent; BP = Better parent; STD = Standard heterosis

Table: 6. Mean performance and heterosis (%) over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and standard check (STD) for Juice yield (1 ha⁻¹), brix% in 16 Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] hybrids

		9. Ju	ice yield (l ha	1)		10.Brix %	, 0
S.No.	HYBRIDS	MP Heterosis	BP Heterosis	STD Heterosis	MP Heterosis	BP Heterosis	STD Heterosis
H-1	ICSA 14029 x SEVS-08	0.04	-24.48**	46.84**	-11.11**	-27.66**	12.82**
H-2	ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28	21.04**	-9.15**	76.64**	7.01**	-14.29**	7.69**
H-3	ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006	33.22**	-4.47	85.76**	18.24**	-6.00**	20.51**
H-4	ICSA 14029 x IS 29308	-42.17**	-58.66**	-19.62**	7.10**	-13.54**	6.41*
H-5	ICSA 14030 x SEVS -08	-14.19**	-27.97**	5.15	-11.95**	-25.53**	-10.26**
H-6	ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28	34.61**	12.23**	63.82**	23.93**	-36.73**	-20.51**
H-7	ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006	63.75**	29.23**	88.65**	6.67**	-12.00**	12.82**
H-8	ICSA 14030 x IS 29308	1.39	-20.30**	16.35**	16.77**	-2.08	20.51**
H-9	ICSA 14033 x SEVS-08	32.71**	26.98**	37.75**	-18.95**	-19.79**	-1.28
H-10	ICSA 14033 x GGUB -28	78.52**	69.44**	83.80**	-25.77**	-26.53**	-7.69**
H-11	ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006	51.73**	34.92**	46.36**	-2.04	-4.00	23.08**
H-12	ICSA 14033 x IS-29308	-6.60	-17.34**	-10.33*	-25.00**	-25.00**	-7.69**
H-13	ICSA 14035 x SEVS-08	-2.15	-22.58**	31.73**	-23.60**	-27.66**	-12.82**
H-14	ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28	-21.21**	-38.05**	5.41	-25.27**	-30.61**	-12.82**
H-15	ICSA 14035 x ICSV-15006	31.55**	-1.58	67.75**	-6.52**	-14.00**	10.26**
H-16	ICSA 14035 x IS-29308	-20.76**	-40.93**	0.51	3.33	-3.13	19.23**

MP = Mid parent; BP = Better parent; STD = Standard heterosis

Contd...??

Table: 7. Mean performance and heterosis (%) over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and standard check (STD) for Total soluble sugars (%), Ethanol yield (I ha⁻¹) in 16 Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] hybrids

		11. Total soluble sugars (%)			12. Ethanol yield (l ha ⁻¹)			
S. No.	HYBRIDS	MP Heterosis	BP Heterosis	STD Heterosis	MP Heterosis	BP Heterosis	STD Heterosis	
H-1	ICSA 14029 x SEVS-08	-9.62**	-25.56**	-12.66**	-2.01	-12.06**	28.01**	
H-2	ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28	9.20**	-11.16**	7.59**	43.46**	29.96**	89.17**	
H-3	ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006	17.99**	-5.95**	20.24**	77.55**	54.74**	125.24**	
H-4	ICSA 14029 x IS 29308	7.82**	-12.33**	6.34*	-31.13**	-41.81**	-15.30*	
H-5	ICSA 14030 x SEVS -08	-10.52**	-23.40**	-10.13**	-24.03**	-38.46**	-10.42	
H-6	ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28	-22.05**	-34.14**	-20.24**	14.53**	-6.25	36.46**	
H-7	ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006	6.58**	-11.88**	12.66**	84.69**	44.84**	110.82**	
H-8	ICSA 14030 x IS 29308	17.41**	-0.86	20.25**	21.77**	-7.75	34.28**	
H-9	ICSA 14033 x SEVS-08	-17.76**	-15.84**	-1.26	5.39	-10.65*	30.05**	
H-10	ICSA 14033 x GGUB -28	-24.23**	-23.70**	-7.59**	34.84**	15.44**	68.03**	
H-11	ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006	-2.05	-3.98	22.75**	50.90**	24.01**	80.51**	
H-12	ICSA 14033 x IS-29308	-24.29**	-23.82**	-7.59**	-28.67**	-43.28**	-17.44**	
H-13	ICSA 14035 x SEVS-08	-24.95**	-25.56**	-12.66**	-23.77**	-19.98**	16.47*	
H-14	ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28	-26.13**	-27.87**	-12.64**	-42.07**	-38.70**	-10.78	
H-15	ICSA 14035 x ICSV-15006	-9.46**	-13.86**	10.13**	29.18**	32.25**	92.50**	
H-16	ICSA 14035 x IS-29308	0.53	-1.91	18.98**	-14.67**	-14.91**	23.86**	

MP = Mid parent; BP = Better parent; STD = Standard heterosis

Contd...

Comment [WU24]: ??

Table: 8. Mean performance and heterosis (%) over mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and standard check (STD) for grain yield in 16 Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] hybrids

			13.Grain yield (T ha ⁻¹)	
S. No.	HYBRIDS	MP Heterosis	BP Heterosis	STD Heterosis
H-1	ICSA 14029 x SEVS-08	-2.18	-14.90*	-19.59**
H-2	ICSA 14029 x GGUB 28	3.16	-16.48*	-5.71
H-3	ICSA 14029 x ICSV-15006	14.39*	-5.30	0.96
H-4	ICSA 14029 x IS 29308	-8.39	-29.34**	-8.97
H-5	ICSA 14030 x SEVS -08	39.03*	12.62	6.1
H-6	ICSA 14030 x GGUB 28	3.47	-21.42**	-11.29
H-7	ICSA 14030 x ICSV 15006	9.77	-14.95*	-9.33
H-8	ICSA 14030 x IS 29308	2.18	-25.68**	-4.25
H-9	ICSA 14033 x SEVS-08	8.67	0.63	-4.2
H-10	ICSA 14033 x GGUB -28	-0.64	-14.89*	-3.92
H-11	ICSA 14033 x ICSV-15006	1.77	-10.69	-4.78
H-12	ICSA 14033 x IS-29308	-1.63	-20.08**	2.96
H-13	ICSA 14035 x SEVS-08	-9.60	-17.96*	-22.48**
H-14	ICSA 14035 x GGUB 28	-10.98	-25.13**	-15.48*
H-15	ICSA 14035 x ICSV-15006	-12.21*	-24.39**	-19.40**
H-16	ICSA 14035 x IS-29308	-34.01**	-47.28**	-32.08**

MP = Mid parent; BP = Better parent; STD = Standard heterosis

References:

- 1. Elangovan, M.; Kiran babu, P.; Seetharama, N.; Patil, J.V. 2014. Genetic diversity and heritability characters associated in sweet sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Sugar Tech. 16, p.200-210.
- Santos, F.S. dos; Plácido, H.F.; Garcia, E.B.; Cantú, C.; Albrecht, L.P.; Frigo, K.D. de A. 2015. Sorgo sacarino na produção de agroenergia. Revista Brasileira de Energias Renováveis, v.4, p.1-12.
- 3. Reddy, B.V.S., Ramesh, S., Reddy, P.S., Ramaiah, B., Salimath, P.M and Kachapur, P.M. 2005. Sweet sorghum- A potential alternative raw material for bioethanol and bio-energy. *International Sorghum and Millets Newsletter*. 46: 79–86.
- 4. Bunphan, D.; Jaisil, P.; Sanitchon, J.; Knoll, J.E.; Anderson, W.F. 2015. Heterosis and combining ability of F1 hybrid sweet sorghum in Thailand. Crop Science, v.55, p.178-187.
- Reddy, B.V.S.; Ramesh, S.; Reddy, P.S.; Ramaiah, B.2007. Combining ability and heterosis as influenced by male-sterility inducing cytoplasms in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Euphytica. v.154, p.153-164.
- 6. Smith, G.A and Buxton. 1993. Temperate zone sweet sorghum ethanol production potential. *Bioresource Technology*. 43: 71–75.
- 7. Prabhakar, B. 2001. Heterosis in *rabi* sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. *Indian Journal of Genetics*. 61: 364-365.
- 8. Umakanth, A.V., Rao, S.S. and Kuriakose, S.V. 2006. Heterosis in landrace hybrids of post-rainy sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 40(2): 147 150.
- 9. Ingle, K.P., Gahukar, S.J., Khelurkar, V.C., Ghorade, R.B., Kalpande, V.V., Jadhav, P.V. and Moharil, M.P. 2018. Heterosis and Combining Ability for Grain Yield Trait in Rabi Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] using Line x Tester Mating Design. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 6: 1925-1934.
- 10. Pandey, S and Shrotria, P.K. 2012. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in forage sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Forage Research. 38 (1): 35-39.
- 11. Kumar. S., Reddy, K. H. P., Rao, P.S., Reddy, P. S., Reddy, B.V.S.2016. Heterosis and Inbreeding Depression in Tropical Sweet Sorghum *(Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench)*. *Crop Research*. 51: 01-04.

- 12. Vyas, M., Chaudhary, L and Ranwah, R.B. 2014a. Heterobeltiosis and inbreeding depression for grain yield and its components in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. International Journal of Plant Sciences. 9(2): 424-427.
- Chikuta, S., Odong, T., Kabi, F & Rubaihayo, P. 2017. Combining Ability and Heterosis of Selected Grain and Forage Dual Purpose Sorghum Genotypes. *Journal of Agricultural Science*. 9 (2):1-9.
- 14. Pothisoong, T and Jaisil, P. 2011. Yield Potential, Heterosis and Ethanol Production in F₁ Hybrids of Sweet Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor L. Moench*). *KMITL Science and Technology Journal.* 11(1): 17-24.
- Sandeep, R.G., Gururaja, M.R.R., Chikkalingaiah and Jagadeesh, B.N. 2009. Heterosis studies in sweet sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Indian Journal of Crop Science. 4:87-91.
- Meena, B. L., Ranwah, B.R., Meena, H.S., Meena, M. D. Meena, K. N. and Rai. P. K. 2020. Stability Analysis in Dual Purpose Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 9(03): 2521-2530.
- Vinaykumar, R., Jagadeesh, B. N., Talekar, S., Sandeep, R.G and Rao, M. R.G. 2011.
 Combining ability of parents and hybrids for juice yield and its attributing traits in sweet sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2: 41-46
- 18. LimMing, W., HongDong, Y., ShaoJie, J., YanXi, J., DeFeng, S and GuangQuan, S. 2020. Heterosis Prediction of Sweet Sorghum Based on Combining Ability and Genetic Distance. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*. 53(14):2786-2794.
- 19. Arunachalam, V.1976. Heterosis for characters governed by two genes. *Journal of Genetics*. 63: 15-24.

Comment [WU25]: It is not found in body of the main document