Effect of nitrogen-fixing plant growth-promoting bacteria on germination, seedling vigour and growth ~~enhancement~~ of two ~~rice~~ rice (Oryza sativa L.) cultivars

Short running title:

Effect of nitrogen-fixing bacteria on germination indices and growth promotion of rice

Abstract

Aim: To evaluate the effect of isolated nitrogen fixing plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) on seed germination and growth promotion of rice cultivars ~~(~~cv. BPT 5204 and Improved Samba Mahsuri~~)~~.

Methodology: Eight promising N-fixing PGPBs along with two standard cultures (*viz. B. japonicum* and *G. diazotrophicus*) were inoculated as seed treatment to rice genotypes and the effect on seed germination, seed vigour index and plant growth promotion of rice cultivars was assessed under *in vitro* (agar method) and *in vivo* (pot experiment) net house conditions.

Results: PGPB (*viz., Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1, Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2, Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3, Burkholderia cepacia IIRRNF4, Burkholderia sp. IIRRNF5, Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6, Rhizobium sp. IIRRNF7, Xanthomonas sacchari IIRRNF8)* ~~were,~~ enhanced seed germination, seed vigour index, seedling growth and dry matter accumulation (root and shoot dry matter) of rice cultivars under *in vitro* as well as *in vivo* conditions. Among all PGPB, *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1* exhibited the highest ability to stimulate plant growth promotion under both ~~the~~ conditions.

Interpretation: The eight PGPB isolates exhibited positive influence on seed germination ~~indices~~ as well as the growth ~~promotion~~ traits of rice cultivars at seedling stage and can be further evaluated at different growth stages under pot and field experiment.

Graphical Abtract

Comment [JW1]: ????

Formatted: Centered

Comment [JW2]: ...on germination and rice plant growth

Comment [JW3]: ?????

Comment [JW4]: ??????

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Comment [JW5]: ... biomass ...



Keywords: Growth promotion, Nitrogen fixation, Plant Growth Promoting Bacteria (PGPB), Rice, Seed germination.

Introduction

Comment [JW6]: The introduction must have more data from other crops.

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important staple foods for more than half of the world's population (Hegde and Hegde, 2013). India holds first position in area under rice cultivation (44.2 M ha) and second position in rice production after China (140.8 million tonnes) in the world. In India, rice production has increased by five-fold from 20.51 million tonnes during 1950 -1951 to more than 108.86 million tonnes in 2016-17. Nitrogen (N) is one of the main limiting nutrients for crop productivity, including rice (Ladha and Reddy, 2003) and only one-third of the N applied as chemical fertilizer is used by rice plants (Araujo *et al.*, 2013).

Nitrogen fixing plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) provide a wide range of benefits to the plants and also act as a potential source of nitrogen for sustainable crop production as well as maintaining soil fertility (Rogers and Oldroyd, 2014; Singh *et al.*, 2017). Nitrogen-fixing PGPB transform inert atmospheric nitrogen (N_2) to ammonia (Bakulin *et al.*, 2007) and they are grouped into free-living bacteria (*Azotobacter* and *Azospirillium*) and symbionts such as *Rhizobium*, *Frankia* and *Azolla* (Gupta, 2004). Along with nitrogen-fixation, many soil micro-organisms have been reported to promote plant growth, suppress ~~pathogens~~ pathogen effects, and improve the tolerance to abiotic stress ~~tolerance~~ (Paungfoo-Lonhienne *et al.*, 2014).

Diazotrophic free-living bacteria ~~are known to~~ contribute up to 20 kilograms per hectare per year in cereal crop yield~~s~~, and ~~in~~ cereal~~s~~ rotational cropping systems with about 30-50% of the total nitrogen needs (Vadakattu and Paterson, 2006). Several groups of soil and root-associated nitrogen-fixing microorganisms such as *Azotobacter vinelandii* (Sahoo *et al.*, 2014), *Azospirillum brasilense*, *Azospirillum zeae* and *Pseudomonas stutzeri* (Venieraki

Comment [JW7]: ??

et al., 2011), *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* have been known to fix the nitrogen in different crops and stimulated plant growth (Boddey *et al.*, 1995).

~~Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important staple foods for more than half of the world's population (Hegde and Hegde, 2012). India holds first position in area under rice cultivation (44.2 M ha) and second position in rice production after China (140.8 million tonnes) in the world. In India, rice production has increased by five-fold from 20.51 million tonnes during 1950 -1951 to more than 108.86 million tonnes in 2016-17. Nitrogen (N) is one of the main limiting nutrients for crop productivity, including rice (Ladha and Reddy, 2003) and only one-third of the N applied as chemical fertilizer is used by rice plants (Araujo *et al.*, 2013).~~

The aim of present study was to evaluate the effect of nitrogen fixing PGPBs on seed germination, germination indexes, seedling vigour indexes and plant growth ~~promoting activity~~ of rice cultivars under *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions.

Materials and methods

Bacterial isolates and Plant material

Eight promising PGPB *viz.*, *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1*, *Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2*, *Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3*, *Burkholderia cepacia IIRRNF4*, *Burkholderia sp. IIRRNF5*, *Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6*, *Rhizobium sp. IIRRNF7*, *Xanthomonas sacchari IIRRNF8* isolates (Bandeppa *et al.*, 2019) along with two standard cultures (*viz. B. japonicum* and *G. diazotrophicus*) were used as seed treatments to examine the effect of their inoculation on seed germination, seedling vigour index and plant growth ~~promotion~~ of ~~n~~ two rice cultivars (BPT 5204 and Improved Samba Mahsuri i.e. ISM).

Seed treatment

The surface of the cultivars of r~~R~~ice seeds (*cv.* BPT 5204 and cv. ISM) ~~-~~were ~~surfaced~~ sterilized with 70% ethanol for 1 min followed by 0.2% $HgCl_2$ solution for 2 min, and rinsed three times with sterile distilled water. ~~The a~~Actively growing bacterial cultures on N-free Rennie's broth were pelleted, washed and suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) buffer to obtain a final cell concentration of 1×10^8 cells / ml. The seeds were soaked overnight in the PBS buffer containing the bacterial inoculum. ~~The s~~Seeds soaked in the PBS buffer without any culture was the ~~served as uninoculated~~ control.

~~*In vitro* S~~seed germination traits *in vitro conditions*

Seeds soaked in bacterial ~~inoculum~~inoculums were placed in petri plates containing water agar (0.8 %, w/v) and incubated at 28 ± 2°C. Every petri dishes~~Plates~~ were assessed for seed germination (3rd day), germination index i.e., speed of germination (from 0 ~~days~~ to 3rd day), seedling vigour index and seedling growth traits~~parameters~~ (15 dai, days after inoculation).

The ~~number of~~ germinated seeds was daily counted ~~every day~~ for ~~up to~~ 3 days, and the sum of daily counts was ~~referred to as~~ the final germination percentage (Pieper, 1952). The rate~~speed~~ of germination was calculated by counting the number of germinated seeds every day of the experiment according to Gupta (1993): Rate~~Speed~~ of seed germination = Number of seeds germinated each day~~/~~ / Total number of days. Seedling vigour index was calculated using the formula (Abdul-Baki and Anderson, 1973): Percent germination × Seedling height (i.e.

Comment [JW8]: How much?

Comment [JW9]: I suggest to write the number of seeds and seedlings from which data were recorded. The photo below are showing only 3.

Comment [JW10]: Poor written.

Comment [JW11]: ... and ,,,

Comment [JW12]: Where these seeds were produced. Latitude, longitude and altitude, and where the experiment was carried out (if different)

Comment [JW13]: ... investigate ...

Comment [JW14]: ... influence ...

Comment [JW15]: How many seeds and replication wereevaluated in each treatment?

Comment [JW16]: ?????

Comment [JW17]: How many?

shoot length + root length). Three replications per treatment were maintained and the experiment was repeated twice.

The seedling growth traits~~parameters~~ *viz.*, root length (cm), shoot length (cm), seedling height (cm), root fresh weight (gm), shoot fresh weight (gm), seedling fresh weight (gm), root dry weight (gm), shoot dry weight (gm) and seedling dry weight (gm) were recorded at 15 dai using~~in~~ three replications, and the experiment repeated twice.

Comment [JW18]: ?????

Comment [JW19]: ... biomass ...

Comment [JW20]: ?

***In vivo* condition under p~~P~~ot experiment in the net house ~~(*In vivo* condition)~~**

The inoculated seeds with bacterial cultures were sown in small plastic pots (15 seeds/pot) for germination. Seedlings were ~~thinned~~ thinned to ~~(~~5 seedlings / pot~~)~~ and maintained under flooded condition. The plants grown in the pots were harvested and washed thoroughly in running water without disturbing roots; ~~and~~the growth parameters was recorded at 25th dai in three replications and the experiment was repeated twice. Local of the experiment (Latitude, Longitude, and altitude)

Comment [JW21]: ... with the volume of ... cm^3 ...; the composition of the growing media. Pay attention: the seedling growth was investigated!

Comment [JW22]: Add the information.

Statistical analysis

All ~~recorded~~ data were analysed by using a statistical package (Statistix 8.1 v2.0.1) by performing Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and differences between the treatment means were compared by the least significant differences (LSD) test at 5-% probability level ($p \leq 0.05$).

Comment [JW23]: My suggestion is to describe the statistical model and write the number of replications in the experiment; the name of the test. The comparison between cultivar can be done by the F test in the Anova.

Results and Discussion

***In vitro* seed germination in response to PGPBs**

Significant~~ly~~ higher germination percentage was recorded because of the ~~due to~~ seed treatment with bacteria. The germination ~~percent~~ ranged from 100% to 92% for BPT 5204 ~~and~~ and from 100% to 92% for ISM when compared to the ~~untreated~~ control (80% and 72%, respectively). Among the bacterial cultures, *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1* the inoculation resulted in the highest germination percentage ~~over~~ than the control from~~in~~ both ~~the~~ cultivars (Table 1). Germination index was significantly higher in treated seeds of BPT 5204 (20 to 10.7) and ISM (16.3 to 12.2) than~~over~~ the control (9.8 and 9.5, respectively) (Table 1). Seed treatment with *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1*, *Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2* and *G. diazotrophicus* lead to a higher germination index in BPT 5204 cultivar. Whereas, *Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6* and *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1* were showed the highest germination index in ISM cultivar. Seed vigour index was also significantly enhanced in treated seeds of BPT 5204 (1671 to 1071.5) and ISM (1590 to 1090) over control (BPT 5204, 305.50 and ISM, 331.5). Seeds (cv. BPT5204) inoculated with *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1* was exhibited higher seed vigour index between the treatments (Figure 1). In contrast~~Whereas~~, ISM seeds treated with *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1* and *Rhizobium sp. IIRRNF7* exhibited higher seed vigour index. Overall, all PGPBs treated seeds ~~were~~ enhanced the seed germination rate, vigour index and germination index compared to control in both the cultivars.

Comment [JW24]: Which?

Comment [JW25]: I suggest as the first Table to be the Anova Table.

Comment [JW26]: Number????

Comment [JW27]: ????

Comment [JW28]: ????

Table 1. Effect of PGPBs on the percentages of seed germination ~~rate~~ and germination index of rice cultivars (cv. BPT 5204 ~~and~~ and cv. ISM)

Treatments	BPT 5204		ISM	
	Germination (%)	Germination index (seeds/day)	Germination (%)	Germination index (seeds/day)
Uninoculated (Control)	80^{b}	9.8^{e}	72^{c}	9.5^{e}
Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1	100^{a}	17.0^{b}	100^{a}	16.2^{a}
Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2	98^{a}	16.7^{b}	100^{a}	15.1^{ab}
Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3	100^{a}	16.0^{bc}	96^{ab}	14.8^{ab}
Burkholderia cepacia IIRRNF4	96^{a}	14.7^{cd}	92^{b}	11.6^{d}
Burkholderia sp. IIRRNF5	98^{a}	14.6^{cd}	98^{ab}	12.2^{d}
Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6	94^{a}	15.5^{bcd}	100^{a}	16.3^{a}
Rhizobium sp. IIRRNF7	94^{a}	13.7^{d}	100^{a}	12.2^{d}
Xanthomonas sacchari IIRRNF8	92^{a}	10.7^{e}	96^{ab}	12.5^{cd}
B. japonicum	98^{a}	16.5^{bc}	100^{a}	14.5^{abc}
G. diazotrophicus	100^{a}	20.0^{a}	98^{ab}	13.2^{bcd}
LSD ($P \leq 0.05$)	9.4	1.9	6.2	2.1
CV (%)	4.5	5.6	3.0	7.0

The mean values followed by different letters indicate significant differences (LSD, $P \leq 0.05$)



Figure 1~~Figure 1~~ is not necessary. Effect of PGPBs on the seedling vigour index of rice cultivars (BPT 5204 and ISM). The error bar ~~on the top~~ indicates the standard deviation~~ of biological replicates~~.

Comment [JW29]: The Anova Table has to be the first one.

Formatted: Centered

Formatted Table

Comment [JW30]: Poor design.

Comment [JW31]: Insert on the Table. The word effect require a condition in the Anova Table. The columns are not conclusive.

The ~~results of~~ germination percentages, germination ~~index~~ and vigour indexes obtained in ~~the~~ this ~~present~~ investigation agree with an earlier report about~~on~~ rice, maize and soybean treated with PGPB. Bal *et al.* (2013) successfully demonstrated that *Paenibacillus sp.* culture enhanced the seed germination of rice (cv. Naveen) over control. We ~~It has been~~ reported that germination percentage and seedling vigour index of rice seeds (*cv.* IR42) was significantly better~~enhanced~~ in response to *Paenibacillus sp. ANR-ACC3* than the~~over~~ control (Bal and Adhya, 2021). Whereas in other crops, *Paenibacillus sp. s37* isolate increased the seed germination of Christmas tree species *Abies nordmanniana* (Garcia-Lemos *et al.*, 2020). Our findings with~~of~~ *Ochrobactrum sp.* are in agreement with Singh *et al.* (2018), who demonstrated that *Ochrobactrum intermedium AcRz3* treated seeds of black rice had higher~~enhanced~~ seed germination than the~~over~~ control. Vidhyasri *et al.* (2019) reported ~~that~~ improvement in the seed germination percentage as well as vigour index of rice seedlings in response to *Ochrobactrum sp.* (*MH685438*).

Similar to this study, Gholamalizadeh *et al.* (2014) also reported that *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* inoculated rice (*cv.* Hashemi) exhibited improved the seed germination and higher vigour index than~~compared to~~ the control (Non~~un~~-inoculated~~) control~~. Similarly, Nevita *et al.* (2018) demonstrated that rice seeds (*cv.* Boro) had significantly enhanced germination percentage and vigour indices in response to *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* RSD6. Maize, a non-legume crop had ~~was displayed~~ better germination and seedling vigour in response to *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* treatment. (Cassan *et al.*, 2009).

***In vitro* (agar method~~)~~) seedlings growth from~~promotion of~~ rice cultivars in response to PGPB**

In the current~~present~~ study, inoculation with *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1 and B. japonicum* resulted in higher seedling height, seedling fresh weight and seedling dry weight in the cultivar BPT 5204 ~~cultivar~~ evaluated at 15 dai (Table 2). In contrast, the~~Whereas in~~ cultivar ISM ~~cultivar,~~had higher seedling height, seedling fresh weight and seedling dry weight at 15 dai ~~better were~~ observed in treatments with *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1*, *Rhizobium sp.* and *G. diazotrophicus* (Table 3).

Table 2. Effect of plant growth-promoting bacteria on the rice cultivar, BPT 5204 (Samba Mahsuri)

Treatment	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Seedling height (cm)	Root fresh weight (g)	Shoot fresh weight (g)	Seedling fresh weight (g)	Root dry weight (g)	Shoot dry weight (g)	Seedling dry weight (g)
Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1	10.4^{ab}	5.7^{ab}	16.10^{ab}	0.017^{a}	0.017^{bcd}	0.035^{a}	0.0020^{ab}	0.0032^{a}	0.0052^{a}
Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2	9.1^{cd}	5.8^{a}	14.88^{bc}	0.017^{a}	0.019^{abc}	0.036^{a}	0.0016^{a}	0.0027^{ab}	0.0042^{abc}
Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3	10.3^{bc}	5.3^{bcd}	15.53^{bc}	0.016^{ab}	0.018^{abc}	0.034^{ab}	0.0015^{ab}	0.0023^{bc}	0.0038^{bc}
Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6	10.3^{bc}	5.3^{abcd}	15.53^{bc}	0.016^{ab}	0.017^{bcd}	0.033^{abc}	0.0013^{b}	0.0025^{abc}	0.0038^{bc}
Burkholderia cepacia IIRRNF4	7.6^{ef}	5.0^{cd}	12.59^{d}	0.012^{c}	0.015^{bcd}	0.026^{c}	0.0012^{b}	0.0026^{abc}	0.0038^{bc}
Burkholderia sp. IIRRNF5	6.8^{f}	4.9^{d}	11.65^{d}	0.012^{bc}	0.014^{cd}	0.026^{c}	0.0016^{ab}	0.0019^{c}	0.0034^{c}
Rhizobium sp. IIRRNF7	7.1^{f}	5.4^{abcd}	12.40^{d}	0.011^{c}	0.018^{abc}	0.030^{abc}	0.0012^{b}	0.0027^{ab}	0.0038^{bc}
Xanthomonas sacchari IIRRNF8	6.4^{f}	5.3^{abcd}	11.65^{d}	0.009^{cd}	0.017^{abcd}	0.027^{bc}	0.0015^{b}	0.0031^{a}	0.0046^{ab}
B. japonicum	11.6^{a}	5.5^{abc}	17.05^{a}	0.012^{c}	0.019^{ab}	0.031^{abc}	0.0012^{b}	0.0027^{ab}	0.0039^{bc}
G. diazotrophicus	8.8^{de}	5.6^{ab}	14.36^{c}	0.009^{cd}	0.023^{a}	0.032^{abc}	0.0015^{b}	0.0028^{ab}	0.0043^{abc}

Comment [JW32]: ?
Comment [JW33]: ???
Comment [JW34]: ?????
Comment [JW35]:
Comment [JW36]: ...dry biomass...
Comment [JW37]:
Comment [JW38]:
Comment [JW39]: ????
Formatted: Centered
Formatted Table

~~*Uninoculated* (Control)~~	0.2^{g}	3.6^{e}	3.82^{e}	0.006^{d}	0.012^{d}	0.018^{d}	0.0004^{c}	0.0018^{c}	0.0022^{d}
LSD (P ≤ 0.05)	1.3	0.5	1.39	0.004	0.006	0.007	0.0005	0.0008	0.0011
CV (%)	10.9	7.2	7.3	22.2	22.7	17.5	26.6	21.1	19.2

In the columns, t~~T~~he mean values followed by only different letters indicate significant differences (LSD, P ≤ 0.05)

Comment [JW40]: ????

Table 3. Effect of plant growth-promoting bacteria on the rice cultivar, Improved Samba Mahsuri

Treatment	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Seedling height (cm)	Root fresh weight (g)	Shoot fresh weight (g)	Seedling fresh weight (g)	Root dry weight (g)	Shoot dry weight (g)	Seedling dry weight (g)
Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1	10.0^{ab}	5.7^{bc}	15.7^{a}	0.010^{bc}	0.014^{bc}	0.027^{cde}	0.0014^{abcd}	0.0021^{abc}	0.0036^{bc}
Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2	8.9^{abc}	5.2^{bcde}	14.2^{ab}	0.015^{abc}	0.013^{cd}	0.024^{de}	0.0015^{abcd}	0.0024^{ab}	0.0039^{ab}
Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3	8.8^{abc}	5.7^{bc}	14.5^{ab}	0.020^{a}	0.017^{a}	0.037^{a}	0.0013^{bcd}	0.0020^{abc}	0.0034^{bcd}
Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6	5.8^{d}	5.1^{cde}	10.9^{c}	0.013^{abc}	0.012^{d}	0.025^{de}	0.0016^{abc}	0.0019^{bc}	0.0035^{bc}
Burkholderia cepacia IIRRNF4	8.0^{c}	8.0^{a}	16.1^{a}	0.018^{ab}	0.017^{a}	0.034^{ab}	0.0011^{d}	0.0020^{bc}	0.0031^{cd}
Burkholderia sp. IIRRNF5	7.9^{c}	4.8^{de}	12.7^{bc}	0.010^{bc}	0.013^{cd}	0.023^{de}	0.0014^{abcd}	0.0016^{c}	0.0031^{cd}
Rhizobium sp. IIRRNF7	10.1^{a}	5.7^{bc}	15.9^{a}	0.020^{a}	0.016^{a}	0.034^{ab}	0.0018^{a}	0.0026^{a}	0.0044^{a}
Xanthomonas sacchari IIRRNF8	8.4^{bc}	6.0^{b}	14.5^{ab}	0.015^{abc}	0.018^{a}	0.032^{abc}	0.0017^{ab}	0.0021^{abc}	0.0038^{abc}
B. japonicum	8.9^{abc}	5.5^{bcd}	14.5^{ab}	0.013^{abc}	0.016^{ab}	0.029^{bcd}	0.0012^{cd}	0.0021^{abc}	0.0033^{bcd}
G. diazotrophicus	9.7^{ab}	5.8^{bc}	15.5^{a}	0.015^{abc}	0.017^{a}	0.032^{abc}	0.0015^{abc}	0.0021^{abc}	0.0036^{bc}
Uninoculated (Control)	0.2^{e}	4.4^{e}	4.6^{d}	0.008^{c}	0.014^{cd}	0.022^{e}	0.0004^{e}	0.0022^{ab}	0.0026^{d}
LSD (P ≤ 0.05)	1.6	0.8	2.2	0.008	0.002	0.007	0.0004	0.0006	0.0008
CV (%)	14.4	10.4	11.5	38.6	9.0	16.8	20.1	19.5	15.4

The mean values followed by different letters indicate significant differences (LSD, P ≤ 0.05)

Formatted: Centered

Formatted Table

Comment [JW41]: See the Table before.

Overall, under *in vitro* conditions, seedling growth parameters *viz.* root length, shoot length, seedling height, root fresh weight, shoot fresh weight, seedling fresh weight, root dry weight, shoot dry weight and seedling dry weight were improved in response to PGPB over control from~~in~~ both cultivars.

Comment [JW42]: ?

Comment [JW43]:

Comment [JW44]:

Comment [JW45]:

In *vivo* growth promotion of the rice cultivars traits in response to PGPBs

The bacterial inoculants *viz. Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1, Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2, Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3, Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6, Rhizobium sp. IIRRNF7, Xanthomonas sacchari IIRRNF8*, *B. japonicum* and *G. diazotrophicus* significantly and effectively enhanced the root length, shoot length, seedling height, root fresh weight, shoot fresh weight and seedling fresh weight in BPT 5204 cultivar over the control at 25 dai in pot experiment (Table 4; Figure 2).

Table 4. Effect of plant growth-promoting bacteria on rice cultivar, BPT 5204 under net house condition

Treatment	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Seedling height (cm)	Root fresh weight (g)	Shoot fresh weight (g)	Seedling fresh weight (g)	Root dry weight (g)	Shoot dry weight (g)	Seedling dry weight (g)
~~*Uninoculated*~~ *(Control)*	5.7^{d}	23.1^{cd}	28.77^{c}	0.034^{d}	0.076^{d}	0.110^{c}	0.008^{bcd}	0.022^{d}	0.030^{d}
Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1	9.2^{bcd}	20.4^{de}	29.67^{bc}	0.064^{abcd}	0.109^{d}	0.173^{bc}	0.008^{bcd}	0.031^{cd}	0.040^{cd}
Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2	9.2^{bcd}	27.6^{ab}	36.75^{a}	0.089^{ab}	0.183^{bcd}	0.272^{ab}	0.009^{bcd}	0.052^{ab}	0.062^{ab}
Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3	13.7^{a}	28.7^{a}	42.33^{a}	0.085^{abc}	0.207^{a}	0.292^{a}	0.010^{bc}	0.063^{a}	0.073^{a}
Burkholderia cepacia IIRRNF4	7.6^{cd}	18.0^{ef}	25.60^{c}	0.048^{cd}	0.087^{d}	0.135^{c}	0.006^{d}	0.030^{cd}	0.036^{cd}
Burkholderia sp. IIRRNF5	10.6^{abc}	29.3^{a}	39.83^{a}	0.089^{ab}	0.206^{a}	0.294^{a}	0.007^{bcd}	0.060^{a}	0.067^{ab}
Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6.	12.1^{ab}	24.4^{bcd}	36.50^{ab}	0.051^{bcd}	0.159^{abc}	0.211^{abc}	0.008^{bcd}	0.044^{bc}	0.052^{bc}
Rhizobium sp. IIRRNF7	12.8^{ab}	15.2^{f}	28.03^{c}	0.040^{d}	0.083^{d}	0.123^{c}	0.006^{d}	0.026^{d}	0.031^{d}
Xanthomonas sacchari IIRRNF8	9.2^{bcd}	18.8^{ef}	28.03^{c}	0.038^{d}	0.092^{cd}	0.130^{c}	0.006^{cd}	0.030^{cd}	0.036^{cd}
B. japonicum	13.6^{a}	27.6^{ab}	41.13^{a}	0.085^{abc}	0.209^{a}	0.294^{a}	0.011^{b}	0.055^{ab}	0.066^{ab}
G. diazotrophicus	12.2^{ab}	25.4^{abc}	37.60^{a}	0.094^{a}	0.177^{ab}	0.271^{ab}	0.016^{a}	0.049^{ab}	0.065^{ab}
LSD ($P \leq 0.05$)	3.9	4.2	7.035	0.038	0.071	0.103	0.004	0.015	0.016
CV (%)	21.96	10.45	12.21	34.41	29.19	29.00	28.16	20.76	18.92

The mean values followed by different small letters indicate significant differences (LSD, $P \leq 0.05$)

Comment [JW46]: I understand that the words promotion, enhancement and so on are not necessary.

Comment [JW47]: Please see a way to adjust the other Tables.

Formatted: Centered

Formatted Table

Comment [JW48]: dry

Comment [JW49]: ... dry biomass

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Figure 2. Growth promotion of rice cultivars in response to *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1* and *Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2.*

Comment [JW50]: Why 3 seedlings here.

Root and shoot dry biomass~~matter~~ was~~ere~~ also recorded to understand the effect of nitrogen-fixing PGPBs application on dry biomass~~matter~~ accumulation by the plants. Among the N-fixing PGPBs, significant ~~enhancement in~~ shoot ~~dry weight~~, root ~~dry weight~~ and seedling dry biomass~~weight~~ were observed in response to *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1, Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2, Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3, Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6., B. japonicum* and *G. diazotrophicus* in comparison with control in the cv, BPT 5204 (Table 4, Figure 3).

Formatted: Pattern: Clear



Figure 3. Growth promotion of rice cultivars in response to *Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3* and *Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6*

Comment [JW51]: Seedlings growth difference from cultivars in response .....

In ISM cultivar, enhanced the root length, shoot length, seedling height, root fresh weight, shoot fresh weight and seedling fresh weight were observed in response to bacterial cultures *viz. Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1*, *Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2*, *Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6*, *Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3*, *B. japonicum* and *G. diazotrophicus* over control at 25 dai (Table 5; Figure 2 and Figure 3). Furthermore, ~~Also,~~ increases in plant biomass (shoot dry weight, root dry weight and seedling dry weight) over control were observed in response to *Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2*, *Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6.*, *Ochrobactrum sp.*, *B. japonicum* and *G. diazotrophicus* (Table 5).

Comment [JW52]: I suggest that promotion and enhance should be used at the end of the manuscript because the means and the image explain how favourable are the treatments. In the conclusion!

Comment [JW53]:

Comment [JW54]:

Comment [JW55]:

Table 5. Effect of plant growth-promoting bacteria on the rice cultivar, cv Improved Samba Mahsuri under net house condition

Treatment	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Seedling height (cm)	Root fresh weight (g)	Shoot fresh weight (g)	Seedling fresh weight (g)	Root dry weight (g)	Shoot dry weight (g)	Seedling dry weight (g)
~~*Uninoculated*~~ *(Control)*	7.1^{bc}	15.7^{bc}	22.83^{d}	0.033^{bcd}	0.102^{cde}	0.135^{de}	0.009^{bcd}	0.039^{bcd}	0.048^{cde}
Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1	7.4^{bc}	24.9^{a}	32.23^{bc}	0.047^{abcd}	0.141^{abcd}	0.188^{abcd}	0.008^{bcd}	0.041^{abcd}	0.049^{bcde}
Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2	9.5^{abc}	25.7^{a}	35.17^{ab}	0.060^{ab}	0.159^{abc}	0.219^{abc}	0.011^{ab}	0.049^{abc}	0.060^{abcd}
Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3	8.2^{abc}	27.0^{a}	35.20^{ab}	0.056^{abc}	0.167^{ab}	0.223^{abc}	0.013^{a}	0.054^{ab}	0.067^{ab}
Burkholderia cepacia IIRRNF4	9.1^{abc}	16.2^{bc}	25.33^{cd}	0.019^{d}	0.061^{e}	0.080^{e}	0.005^{e}	0.019^{e}	0.024^{f}
Burkholderia sp. IIRRNF5	5.9^{c}	18.8^{bc}	24.70^{d}	0.068^{a}	0.161^{abc}	0.229^{ab}	0.006^{de}	0.038^{bcd}	0.044^{cde}
Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6	9.2^{abc}	23.5^{a}	32.67^{ab}	0.043^{abcd}	0.112^{bcde}	0.155^{bcde}	0.007^{cde}	0.036^{cde}	0.043^{def}
Rhizobium sp. IIRRNF7	10.2^{ab}	14.1^{c}	24.30^{d}	0.049^{abc}	0.094^{de}	0.143^{cde}	0.007^{cde}	0.027^{de}	0.034^{ef}
Xanthomonas sacchari IIRRNF8	12.2^{a}	27.0^{a}	39.23^{a}	0.030^{cd}	0.086^{de}	0.116^{de}	0.009^{bcd}	0.029^{de}	0.038^{ef}
B. japonicum	10.1^{ab}	27.3^{a}	37.40^{ab}	0.070^{a}	0.189^{a}	0.259^{a}	0.012^{a}	0.058^{a}	0.070^{a}
G. diazotrophicus	8.1^{bc}	27.4^{a}	35.47^{ab}	0.059^{ab}	0.193^{a}	0.252^{a}	0.010^{abc}	0.053^{abc}	0.062^{abc}
LSD ($P \leq 0.05$)	4.1	4.4	6.93	0.028	0.059	0.082	0.003	0.017	0.019
CV (%)	27.4	11.7	13.07	34.08	26.35	26.65	21.88	25.32	23.16

The mean values followed by different small letters indicate significant differences (LSD, $P \leq 0.05$)

Thus among all PGPBs, four *viz. Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1, Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2, Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6* and *Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3* exhibited the ability for vegetative growth promotion and also increased the total dry matter accumulation (root and shoot dry matter) under net house conditions. Overall, *Paenibacillus sonchi IIRRBNF1, Paenibacillus sp. IIRRNF2, Ochrobactrum sp. IIRRNF3* and *Stenotrophomonas sp. IIRRNF6* has the highest ability to stimulate seedling height and dry matter accumulation *in vitro* as well as *in vivo* conditions.

It has been reported that, *Paenibacillus sp. ANR-ACC3* significantly enhanced the growth parameters like root and shoot length over control of rice (Bal and Adhya, 2021). Similarly, *Paenibacillus sp.* also enhanced the seedling growth of rice due to their ability to produce IAA and ammonia (Bal *et al.*, 2013). Our findings on *Paenibacillus sp.* is in accordance with earlier reports on other crops. Zhao *et al.* (2015) reported that *Paenibacillus sp.* which possessed a positive influence on phosphorous solubilization, siderophore, IAA production and ACC deaminase activity and lead to enhancement in growth and chlorophyll content of wheat

Formatted: Centered
Formatted Table
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered
Formatted: Centered

Comment [JW56]: Weak writing. Avoid this. Reports in the literature has been indicated that ...
Comment [JW57]:
Comment [JW58]: ?????
Comment [JW59]:
Comment [JW60]:
Comment [JW61]: from
Comment [JW62]: ... has...
Comment [JW63]: increase
Comment [JW64]:

plants under pot conditions. Similarly, *Paenibacillus sp. s37* increased the plant root growth, because of ~~especially by inducing~~ secondary root formation of christmas tree species *Abies nordmanniana* under ~~in~~ greenhouse conditions (Garcia-Lemos *et al.*, 2020). Singh *et al.* (2018) successfully demonstrated that *Ochrobactrum intermedium AcRz3* significantly increased the seedling growth and development (root and shoot length and number of leaves) of black rice over control under net house conditions. However, *Ochrobactrum sp.* (*MH685438*) improved plant growth and mitigate the drought stress of rice (Vidhyasri *et al.*, 2019). Gholamalizadeh *et al.* (2014) showed the enhancement of root length, stem length and weight of rice seedlings in response to *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* in a pot experiment. Similarly, rice ~~(~~*cv.* Boro~~)~~ plants exhibited a significant increase in shoot length, root length and biomass in response to *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia RSD6* over control (Nevita *et al.*, 2018). It has been demonstrated that *Rhizobium sp.* treatment significantly enhanced the root elongation, root dry weight, shoot elongation and shoot dry weight in wheat (Zahir *et al.* 2004).

There are a few reports of *G. diazotrophicus* bacteria, which endophytically colonizing and enhancing the growth parameters *viz.* plant height, number of tillers, biomass and nitrogen content of rice (Muthukumarasamy *et al.*, 2005; Govindarajan *et al.*, 2008). Silva *et al.* (2020) observed that improvements in plant growth in response to *G. diazotrophicus* over control in rice. Our investigation with ~~of~~ *B. japonicum* and *G. diazotrophicus* are in accordance with earlier reports on soybean, maize and sugarcane crop. Cassan *et al.* (2009) observed that *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* enhanced the early growth promotion of seedlings in soybean and maize. However, sugarcane exhibited enhancement in stem diameter and dry matter in response to *G. diazotrophicus* (Schultz *et al.*, 2017). Our findings on enhanced growth parameters of rice seedlings may be linked with the production of plant growth hormones or unknown metabolites and their interaction with rice root by PGPB (Dal Cortivo *et al.*, 2017).

In the present investigation, seed germination indices and growth promotion of rice cultivars might be due to various mechanisms by which PGPBs stimulate the plant growth involve the availability uptake of nutrients devising from genetic processes *viz.* phosphate ~~solubilization~~solubilisation and biological nitrogen fixation, stress alleviation, production of phytohormones and siderophores, among various others (De Souza *et al.*, 2015). Thus, our findings showed isolated PGPB inoculants enhanced growth parameters of rice at the seedling stage and there is a need to further evaluate the isolate for their effect on rice at different growth stages and yield under field conditions so that the best among these PGPBs can be deployed for preparing safety and effective bio-fertilizers for sustainable rice production ~~that can act~~ as an alternative to the application of chemical fertilizers ~~for sustainable rice production~~.

References

Abdul-Baki, A.A. and J. D. Anderson: Vigour determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. *Crop Sci.*, **13**, 630-33 (1973).

Araujo, A.E.S., V.L.D. Baldani, P.S. Galisa, J.A. Pereira and J.I. Baldani: Response of traditional upland rice varieties to inoculation with selected diazotrophic bacteria isolated from rice cropped at the Northeast region of Brazil. *App. Soil Ecol.* **64**, 49-55 (2013).

Comment [JW65]: colour of the net.

Comment [JW66]:

Comment [JW67]:

Comment [JW68]: Weak expression

Comment [JW69]:

Comment [JW70]: ???

Comment [JW71]:

Comment [JW72]: Need improvements in the readability!

Comment [JW73]: Less than 3,4.

Comment [JW74]:

Comment [JW75]: ... increases ...

Comment [JW76]: Weak word. I suggest large diameter and higher dry biomass.

Comment [JW77]: ?

Comment [JW78]: Seed ...

Comment [JW79]: ???

Comment [JW80]: ... because of ...

Comment [JW81]:

Comment [JW82]: of

Comment [JW83]: Librarian for correctios, if any.

Bakulin, M.K., A.S. Grudtsyna and A. Pletneva: Biological fixation of nitrogen and growth of bacteria of the genus Azotobacter in liquid media in the presence of Perfluorocarbons. *Appl Biochem Microbiol.*, **4**, 399-402 (2007)

Bal, H.B. and T.K. Adhya: Alleviation of submergence stress in rice seedlings by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria with ACC deaminase activity. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.*, **5**, 606158 (2021).

Bal, H.B., S. Das, T. K. Dangar and T. K. Adhya: ACC deaminase and IAA producing growth promoting bacteria from the rhizosphere soil of tropical rice plants. *J. Basic Microbiol*., **53**, 972-984 (2013).

Bandeppa, S., P.C. Latha, S. Phule Amol, G. Rajani, K.V. Prasad Babu, M. Barbadikar Kalyani, C. Chandrakala, M.B.B. Prasad Babu, P.K. Mandal and R.M Sundaram: Isolation, identification and characterization of efficient free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria from rice ecosystem. *J. Rice Res*., **12**(2), 38-44 (2020).

Boddey, R.M., O.C. de Oliveira, S. Urquiaga, V.M. Reis, F.L. Olivares, V.L.D. Baldani, J. DoEbereiner. Biological nitrogen fixation associated with sugar cane and rice: contributions and prospects for improvement. *Plant Soil*., **174**, 195-209 (1995)

Cassan, F., D. Perrig, V. Sgroy, O. Masciarelli, C. Penna and V. Luna: *Azospirillum brasilense Az39* and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum E109* promote seed germination and early seedling growth, independently or co-inoculated in maize (*Zea mays L.*) and soybean (*Glycine max L.*). *Eur. J. Soil. Biol.,* **45**, 28-35 (2009).

Dal Cortivo, C., G. Barion, G. Visioli, M. Mattarozzi, G. Mosca and T. Vamerali: Increased root growth and nitrogen accumulation in common wheat following PGPR inoculation: assessment of plant-microbe interactions by ESEM. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, **247**, 396-408 (2017).

De Souza, R., A. Ambrosini and L.M.P. Passaglia: Plant growth-promoting bacteria as inoculants in agricultural soils. *Genet. Mol. Biol*., **38**, 401-419 (2015).

Garcia-Lemos, A.M., D.K. Grobkinsky, S. Saleem Akhtar, M.H. Nicolaisen, T. Roitsch, O. Nybroe and B. Veierskov: Identification of Root-Associated Bacteria That Influence Plant Physiology, Increase Seed Germination, or Promote Growth of the Christmas Tree Species *Abies nordmanniana*. *Front. Microbiol*., **11**, 566613 (2020).

Gholamalizadeh, R., G. Khodakaramian and A.A. Ebadi: Assessment of rice associated bacterial ability to enhance rice seed germination and rice growth promotion. *Braz. Arch. Biol Technol.,* **60**, 1-13 (2017).

Govindarajan, M., J. Balandreau, S.W. Kwon, H.Y. Weon and C. Lakshminarasimhan: Effects of inoculation of *Burkholderia vietnamensis* and related endophytic diazotrophic bacteria on grain yield of rice. *Microbiol. Ecol*., 55: 2-37(2008).

Gupta, A.K: The complete technology book on biofertilizers and organic farming. Natio Insti of Indus Res Pres. India (2004)

Gupta, P.C: Seed vigour testing. In: Agarwal KP, editor. Handbook of seed testing. New Delhi; National Seed Corporation; 245-246 (1993).

Hegde, S. and V. Hegde: Assessment of global rice production and export opportunity for economic development in Ethiopia. *Int. J. Sci. Res*., **2**, 257-260 (2013)

Ladha, J.K. and P.M. Reddy: Nitrogen fixation in rice systems: state of knowledge and future prospects *Plant Soil*., 252, 151-167 (2003)

Muthukumarasamy, R., I. Cleenwerck, G. Revathi, M. Vadivelu, D. Janssens, B. Hoste, K.U. Gum, P. Ki-Do, C.Y. Son, T. Sa and J. Caballero-Mellado: Natural association of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* and diazotrophic *Acetobacter peroxydans* with wetland rice. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol*., **28(3)**, 277-286 (2005).

Nevita, T., G.D. Sharma and P. Pandey: Composting of rice-residues using lignocellulolytic plant-probiotic *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, and its evaluation for growth enhancement of *Oryza sativa* L. *Environmental Sustainability* **1,** 185-196 (2018)

Paungfoo-Lonhienne, C., T.G.A. Lonhienne, Y.K. Yeoh, R.I. Webb, P. Lakshmanan, C.X.Chan, P.E. Lim, M.A. Ragan, S. Schmidt and P. Hugenholtz: A new species of *Burkholderia* isolated from sugarcane roots promotes plant growth. *Microb Biotechnol*., **7**(2),142-54 (2014)

Piper, A: Das Saatgut. V.P. Darely Berlin, Hamburg, Germany (1952)

Rogers, C. and G.E.D. Oldroyd: Synthetic biology approaches to engineering the nitrogen symbiosis in cereals. *J. Exp. Bot*., **65**, 1939-1946 (2014).

Sahoo, R.K., M.W. Ansari, T.K. Dangar, S. Mohanty, N. Tuteja: Phenotypic and molecular characterisation of efficient nitrogen-fixing Azotobacter strains from rice fields for crop improvement. *Protoplasma*., **251**, 511-523 (2014)

Schultz, N., W. Pereira, P. de Albuquerque Silva, J.I. Baldani, R.M. Boddey, B.J.R. Alves, S. Urquiaga and V.M. Reis: Yield of sugarcane varieties and their sugar quality grown in different soil types and inoculated with a *diazotrophic* bacteria consortium. *Plant Production Science* (October): 1-9 (2017).

Silva, R., L. Filgueiras, B. Santos, M. Coelho, M. Silva, G. Estrada-Bonilla, M. Vidal, J.I. Baldani and C. Meneses: *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* changes the molecular mechanisms of root development in *Oryza sativa L*. growing under water stress. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, **21**, 333(2020).

Singh, R.K., P. Singh, H.B. Li, L.T. Yang and Y.R. Li: Soil-Plant-Microbe Interactions" Use of nitrogen-fixing bacteria for plant growth and development in sugarcane. In: Singh DP, et al., editors. "Plant-Microbe Interactions in Agro-Ecological Perspectives". Singapore Pte Ltd. Springer Nature (2017).

Singh, Y.R., R. Khunjamayum, A. Nongthombam, T.P. Chanu, K.M. Devi, R.S. Asem: Plant growth and grain yield production of black rice as influenced by *Ochrobactrum intermedium* AcRz3, an endophyte associated with medicinal plant. *Crop Res.*, **53**, 183-191. (2018).

Vadakattu, G. and J. Paterson: Free-living bacteria lift soil nitrogen supply. Farming Ahead, **116**, 40 (2006).

Venieraki, A., M. Dimou, P. Pergalis, I. Kefalogianni, I. Chatzipavlidis, P. Katinakis: The genetic diversity of culturable nitrogen-fixing bacteria in the rhizosphere of wheat. *Microb Eco*., **61**, 277-285 (2011)

Vidhyasri, M.S., V. Gomathi and U. Siva Kumar: Plant growth promotion of rice as influenced by *Ochrobactrum sp.* (*MH685438*) an rhizospheric bacteria associated with *Oryzae sativa. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, **8**(5), 901-909 (2019).

Zahir, Z.A., A. Arshad and W.T. Frankenberger: Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: application and prospectives in agriculture. *Adv. Agron*., **81**, 97-168 (2004).

Zhao, L., Y. Xu, X. H. Lai, C. Shan, Z. Deng and Y. Ji: Screening and characterization of endophytic *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* strains from medicinal plant *Lonicera japonica* for use as potential plant growth promoters. *Braz. J. Microbiol*., **46**, 977-89. (2015).