Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_84868
Title of the Manuscript:	GxE interactions elucidated by AMMI, BLUP and Non Parametric measures of Wheat genotypes by evaluated in NEPZ
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Deep English editing is required. There are serious problems of transition between paragraphs and sentences; and gramma style. On the abstract, the authors should include at least one closing sentence based on all results presented. The authors should include at the end of introduction the hypothesis of their study and their objectives. In the Material and Methods section, the authors should add the references for each equation. They should also add the name of each parametric and non-parametric measure. In the "Results and Discussion" part, page 5, in Association analysis paragraph, the authors refer to indirect relationships "Average yield had expressed direct and indirect relationships with measures": How direct and indirect effects were assessed? Have the authors performed a path analysis? The discussion is very poor. There are no conclusions. I encourage the authors to discuss their results to show the importance of their study. What are the recommendations of the authors regarding the parameters choice to analyse GxE interaction? What are the recommendations of the authors regarding genotypes selection? Which ones are the most suitable for the Indian region. 	
Minor REVISION comments	 The title should not include a non-common abbreviation like NEPZ Other remarks have been highlighted in the main manuscript attached. 	
Optional/General comments	This study seems interesting in terms of the diversity of parametric and non-parametric measures presented for GxE interaction evaluation under climate change effect. However, it lacks clear objectives and consistent discussion. The authors used new and actual publications, but I encourage them to report more references to enrich the discussion and take it further.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sahar Bennani
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Agricultural Research, Morocco

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)