

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_84834
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of different approaches of nutrient recommendations for aerobic on soil fertility of Alfisols of Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. In the summary, background what is the reason for doing the research, the result, in other words, what is the benefit produced by the research?</p> <p>2. More attention should be paid to abbreviations, for example: What is RCBD, (The randomized complete block design) abbreviation and STCR, others mean? If you are going to use an abbreviation, first give the full meaning of that abbreviation. Then use this abbreviation throughout the entire text.</p> <p>3 Giving statistical information by reference makes the article more powerful. The mentioned Indian rice production data belongs to which year, this information can be easily learned from the Food and Agriculture Organization.</p> <p>4. Attention should also be paid to the layout of the text, for example: The explanations of the tables presented are not where they should be.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hülya Saygı
Department, University & Country	Yumurtalık Vocational School, Çukurova University, Türkiye