

ABSTRACT

Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) is a multipurpose crop that can grow in arid and semi-arid environments because of its tolerance to drought stress, salinity, lower and higher temperatures. Despite safflower's drought tolerance characteristic, drought stress can negatively impact its growth and development. Drought stress reduces plant height and biomass, leaf chlorophyll content and area, photosynthesis rate, yield components, oil content and yield, and fatty acid composition of safflower. Increased root to shoot ratio and growth of the root are some of the drought adaption mechanisms of safflower. Recent studies have reported biochemical and molecular drought tolerance mechanisms of safflower, but they are still in infancy stages. Understanding these mechanisms can help in the management and breeding of cultivars with enhanced drought tolerance. This review compiles literature on the mechanisms of drought stress tolerance in safflower and approaches are proposed that can enhance better safflower management under water stress.

Keywords: Antioxidants; Carthamus tinctorius L.; drought stress; tolerance mechanisms; crop management.

1. INTRODUCTION

Safflower is a multipurpose oilseed crop that is of high value due to its high-quality cooking oil composed of polyunsaturated (linoleic) and monounsaturated (oleic) fatty acids. Safflower is used for food, medicinal, industrial, animal feed, and floriculture purposes. It is a temperate zone crop that can be grown in the arid and semi-arid climates because it is cold, drought, and saline tolerant [1], [2]. Compared to other oilseed crops, safflower has remained a minor crop, it is grown in over 20 countries in an area greater than 1,000,000 ha worldwide [3]. It is the most drought tolerant oil seed crop which can produce reasonable seed yield in semi-arid climates [4]. Drought stress is one of the most significant constraints limiting crop production in the semi-arid and arid regions of the world. The recurrence, duration, and severity of drought in the future are predicted to increase because of decreased regional precipitation but increased evapotranspiration brought by global warming [5]. The dangers caused by drought stress instigated crop scientists to develop methods of alleviating drought tolerance in plants. Comprehending how plants respond to drought stress is important in identifying a crop's special growth traits that could be used in breeding for tolerance and refinement of agronomic practices. Drought tolerance in crops is controlled by multiple genes having additive effects and they interrelate

Comment [DK1]: ITALICS

28 with genes controlling yield potential, hence limiting improvement in drought tolerance in crops [6]. Plants have developed
29 intricate techniques of surviving drought stress by changing their metabolic processes resulting in morphological,
30 physiological, and biochemical changes [7]. Some of the techniques include closure of ~~stomates~~stomata, cellular
31 adaptations, membrane integrity, carbon fixation rate, reactive species scavengers, induction of stress related genes, and
32 enhanced accumulation of osmoprotectants, plant hormones, enzymatic antioxidants, protective proteins, and functional
33 proteins [8], [9]. Drought stress tolerant plants naturally biosynthesize and accumulate unique metabolites which help to
34 alleviate the effects of water stress; however, some plants lack the ability to biosynthesize these unique metabolites [8].
35 Thus, this review aims at compiling existing reports on the effects and response of safflower to drought and the
36 mechanisms that safflower uses to adapt to drought stress. It also provides some management strategies for use
37 under limiting conditions.

38 **2. RAMIFICATIONS OF DROUGHT STRESS ON VEGETATIVE AND REPRODUCTIVE GROWTH, OIL CONTENT** 39 **AND FATTY ACID COMPOSITION OF SAFFLOWER**

40 **2.1 Plant Height**

41 Plant height is one of the growth variables that indicate the vegetative growth of plants. Depending on the breeding
42 objectives, safflower genotypes with a higher plant height may be desirable because of increased probability of generation
43 of more primary branches which may indirectly increase the seed yield due to the high number of capitula per plant.
44 Drought stress has been reported to significantly reduce safflower plant height [10], [11], [12], [13], [14].

45 **2.2 Plant Biomass**

46 Many plant responses to water stress are mediated via alterations in plant water relations. Mild water stress can limit the
47 growth of new roots and shoots, and gas exchange, even before the plant water deficit symptoms are noticeable [15].
48 Plants first detect water limitation in the root system [16]. Therefore, root development is critical in a plant's ability to
49 tolerate drought. Safflower plants develop a strong and deep tap root making them capable to endure long periods of
50 drought in arid and semi-arid climates [6], [17]. Safflower plants grown under drought stress has been reported to have a
51 high root to shoot ratio [18], [19]. The high root to shoot ratio under drought stress has been proposed to be the
52 mechanism by which safflower plants absorb water from deeper soil layers, which is unavailable for most field crops with
53 less developed root system [15]. Drought stress reduces shoot biomass, and root fresh weight and length of safflower
54 [11], [20], [21]. Root traits have an important role in plant drought stress tolerance. In safflower, root length tends to
55 increase more under drought stress. Increased root length in safflower plants occurs under drought ~~stress~~stress.

56 however, root dry weight was significantly reduced [18]. Knowledge of root traits and how they are related to whole plant
57 mechanisms to enhance crop productivity under water stress is needed. Root traits associated with maintaining plant
58 performance under water stress include small fine root diameters, long roots, and high root density [22], [23], [24].

59 **2.3 Leaf Area**

60 Leaf area (LA) is one of the primary factors for photosynthetic activity and photosynthates accumulation. Plants with a
61 large LA and high chlorophyll content accumulate more photosynthates and produce high biological yield [25]. Leaf area
62 index (LAI) is a good indicator for crop growth and soil conditions for enhancing crop productivity [19]. Reduced plant size,
63 LA, and LAI are major attributes for moderating water use and reducing injury under water deficit [26]. Water stress
64 reduces leaf number, size, color, and vigor in many crops [9]. Thinner stems with fewer, dry, and smaller leaves in
65 safflower drought stressed plants than unstressed plants have been observed [11]. While severe drought stress slowed
66 leaf elongation and seized leaf growth and development in safflower [17]. Water stress is consistently reported to reduce
67 LA of safflower [21], [26-27].

68 **2.4 Chlorophyll content**

69 The leaf chlorophyll content of plants is of significance in determining the photosynthetic rate and dry matter production
70 [26]. Drought stress decreased leaf chlorophyll content of safflower [21], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30]. Though reduction in leaf
71 chlorophyll content is a common occurrence in plants grown under limited water, it cannot be solely used to select drought
72 tolerant genotypes, but it should be used in combination with other drought tolerance indices.

73 **2.5 Photosynthesis**

74 Photosynthesis is the process by which plants capture light energy and transform it into chemical energy in the form of
75 complex organic compounds that they require as a source of energy. Abiotic stresses (drought, salinity, and unfavorable
76 temperatures), significantly and negatively impacts on the photosynthetic rate of plants by changing the ultrastructure of
77 the organelles, and concentration of pigments and metabolites, which prevents carbon assimilation and damages
78 photosynthetic apparatus [31]. Stomatal closure is one of the drought avoidance mechanisms. It is one of the first steps in
79 plant's adaptation to water deficit, allowing the water status to be maintained [32]. Hence, by adjusting stomatal opening,
80 plants can control water loss by reducing the transpiration flux and limit the diffusion of carbon dioxide (CO₂) [16]. The
81 decline in intercellular CO₂ following stomatal closure and the lower light use efficiency under drought stress reduces the
82 functioning of in the photosynthetic machinery to match the available carbon substrate [33]. Research findings have
83 demonstrated reduction in photosynthetic ability of safflower plants under water stress [12], [26]. Drought stress at

84 vegetative and reproductive developmental stages of safflower plants reduced photosynthetic rate and the reduction
85 depended on genotype [12], [26].

86 **2.6 Relative Water Content**

87 The measure of plant water content is referred to relative water content (RWC). A decrease in plant RWC under drought
88 stress in safflower has been reported [18], [29], [34]. The RWC of two safflower varieties were significantly reduced due to
89 drought and the rate of decrease depended on the severity of the drought [18]. Drought stress is further reported to
90 significantly decrease safflower plant RWC irrespective of genotype [35]. The genotypic variation in safflower plant RWC
91 was attributed to differences in the ability of the genotypes to absorb water [35]. Their results further demonstrated that
92 safflower genotypes that had higher plant RWC had the lowest yield loss, longer stomata, and larger LAI than plants with
93 lower RWC. This suggests that plant RWC could be used to screen drought tolerance among safflower genotypes.

94 **2.7 Seed Yield**

95 Drought stress is reported to significantly reduce safflower seed yield [6], [13], [36], [37]. The diminution of crop yield
96 caused by drought stress is a major concern for agronomists and plant breeders because of scarce water resources to
97 sustain crop productivity in arid and semi-arid regions of the world in the context of climate change [6], [33-34], [38].
98 Drought stress during the seed filling stage of safflower plants significantly decreases capitulum size, seed number per
99 capitulum, 1000-seed weight, and seed yield [34]. Seed yield of safflower was significantly reduced by 17.2% under
100 drought conditions compared to normal conditions [14]. However, the genotype 'Parnian' consistently had high seed yield
101 in both normal and drought stress conditions [14]. This indicated the importance of using or breeding superior genotypes
102 with acceptable performance under drought for the sustainability of production in view of climate change.

103 **2.8 Oil Content and Composition**

104 Safflower seed contains high quality oil use for cooking and in the food industry. The oil is rich in polyunsaturated (linoleic,
105 γ -linolenic, and α -linolenic acids) and monounsaturated (oleic, palmitoleic, and eicosenoic acids) fatty acids [39]. Drought
106 stress decreases safflower oil content, yield, and fatty acids composition [12], [14], [34], [40], [41]. Occurrence of drought
107 stress at the vegetative, flowering, and/or seed filling stages significantly decreases safflower oil content [14], [34].
108 Drought stress affects the fatty acid composition of safflower oil by significantly decreasing linoleic acid, but increasing
109 palmitic, stearic, and oleic acid contents, respectively, in all genotypes evaluated [14], [42]. The growing season also
110 affects the fatty acid composition of safflower oil [39]. In Botswana, safflower grown in winter has significantly higher
111 linoleic fatty acid content than summer [39]. On the contrary oleic acid (monounsaturated), and total saturated fatty acid

112 content (stearic, palmitic, and arachidic) were lower in winter grown safflower than summer [39]. The differences in fatty
113 acid composition due to the growing season was attributed to changes in seasonal temperature after flowering [39]. The
114 variation in the fatty acid composition of oil crops including safflower is influenced by environmental factors temperature
115 and humidity [43], [44], precipitation [45], and genes [46], [47]. Among these factors, temperature plays a greater role in
116 safflower fatty acid composition [9], [39], [43], [48].

117 Other studies have reported increase [30] or no influence [13] of drought stress on safflower oil content. Drought stress
118 increased safflower oil content [30]. The increase in safflower oil content due to drought stress was attributed to alteration
119 of plant dynamics, which prioritized the partitioning and translocation of photoassimilates to the seeds in comparison to
120 other plant parts [30]. However, no significant influence of drought stress on safflower oil content has also been reported
121 [13].

122 3. DROUGHT TOLERANCE MECHANISMS

123 | Drought stress is one of the main **abiotic biotic** factors that adversely limits growth, development, and yield of crops in arid
124 and semi-arid climates [6], [13], [24], [36-37]. Plants have evolved defense mechanisms to adapt, cope, escape or tolerate
125 drought stress by changing their metabolic activities, morphological, physiological, molecular, and biochemical traits [24],
126 [37]. Climate change has made drought a significant threat to sustainability of crop and animal productivity, hence food
127 security in the world.

Comment [DK2]:

128 3.1 Accumulation of Osmoprotectants

129 Plants growing under water limiting conditions maintain the water potential below that of the soil by producing compatible
130 organic solutes to avoid dessication [9], [49]. These organic solutes accumulate in the cytoplasm to cause the osmotic
131 potential to decrease below that of the soil to facilitate water uptake, maintain cell membrane integrity and water potential
132 equilibrium with the cells of drought stressed plants [50]. The major osmoprotectants are sugars, betaines, and amino
133 acids [51]. Proline is one of the widely studied osmolyte in relation to abiotic stresses in plants. High levels of proline have
134 been associated with heat shock proteins which assist in protection against stresses by controlling the proper folding and
135 conformation of the cell membrane and enzymatic proteins. Proline and soluble proteins have been hypothesized to
136 protect plants from drought stress by osmoregulation, reduced production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and stabilize
137 membrane integrity, structural properties of proteins and enzymes [52]. Reports in literature have demonstrated that
138 drought stress increases proline content in safflower plants [13], [20], [28-29], [37], [53]. An increase in proline levels in
139 drought stressed safflower cultivars was observed and the proline levels were influenced by cultivar or genotype [28]. The

proline content in the roots and leaves of drought stressed safflower plants were five times higher than in non-stressed plants [53]. The accumulation of proline in plant tissues under water deficit conditions is attributed to the expression of a specific genes responsible for proline and pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase biosynthesis [37], [54], [55] and inhibition of proline dehydrogenase. Carbohydrate sugars as osmoprotectants control the osmotic adjustment, maintain cell membrane integrity, and scavenge toxic ROS in drought stressed safflower plants [13], [29], [40]. Evidence shows a higher accumulation of reducing sugars as osmoprotectants in the leaves and roots of drought stressed safflower plants [29], [40], [53]. A large genetic variation in the accrual of osmoprotectants in drought stressed safflower plants has been reported in literature [13], [20], [28-29], [53]. This implies that genotypes which exhibit low levels of osmoprotectants could be improved by genetic engineering for increased accumulation of osmoprotectants.

3.2 Production of Enzymatic Antioxidants to Scavenge Reactive Oxygen Species

The ROS are produced in reasonable amounts in different cell compartments during normal plant growth, but the production increases due to the occurrence of stress. Drought stress increases the synthesis of ROS which can oxidize cellular components such as lipids, carbohydrates, DNA, and proteins [56], [57]. Uncontrolled oxidation of these cellular components will lead to death of cells [56-57]. Plants have complex antioxidant defensive techniques consisting of non-enzymatic and enzymatic components to scavenge ROS [56], [58]. The non-enzymatic antioxidants consist of carotenoids, tocopherols, glutathione, and ascorbate which serve as defense agents for protecting plant cells from oxidative damages [59], [60]. The main ROS scavenging techniques consist of glutathione reductase (GR), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidases (POX), peroxiredoxin (PrxR), catalase (CAT), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR), and dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR) [37], [56], [59], [61]. These ROS scavenging defensive apparatus are in various cell organelles with exception of CAT that is exclusively located in peroxisomes. These antioxidant enzymes reduce the uncontrolled oxidation caused by ROS [59], [62]. Research in safflower grown under limited water conditions demonstrate increased activities of SOD, CAT, APX, APX, and GR [13], [18], [20], [26], [37], [63]. Safflower genotypes with high activity of SOD, CAT, APX, POX, and GR are reported to be more drought tolerant than those low in the activity of the same enzymes [18], [26], [37], [63]. Under severe water stress, ROS are not scavenged, therefore they accumulate in plant cells becoming phytotoxic and disrupting cellular metabolism leading to damage of cells and expression of new genes [56-57], [63].

3.3 Proteins Induced by Drought Stress

Drought stress induces or represses various genes with different functions or regulatory at cellular level. The functional proteins include heat shock chaperones and late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins [51]. The LEA are a complex

group of proteins that are usually expressed during embryogenesis, or in vegetative tissues, in response to abscisic acid induced by biotic and abiotic stresses [51]. Studies on the response of these proteins under drought stress are still lacking in safflower. However, drought ESTs encoding LEA proteins in safflower have been identified [28] and these results may serve as a platform in further studies related to drought stress tolerance in safflower. Heat shock proteins (HSPs) are functional proteins, and they are known to show a high level of expression in stressed cells. Their accumulation is reported to confer protection against several stresses [65]. They are usually undetectable in vegetative tissues under normal growth conditions but can be induced by environmental stress and developmental stimuli [66]. Recently seven HSPs have been identified in safflower drought tolerant genotype EST [67]. All the identified HSPs were expressed in response to heat, cold, and cadmium stresses [67]. Although the HSPs are known to be upregulated under drought stress in other crops, the significance of chaperon in drought tolerance of safflower is not well understood [67]. Therefore, more studies with safflower should be undertaken to elucidate the role of HSPs and LEA proteins in combating the deleterious ramifications of abiotic stresses in arid and semi-arid regions of the world which experience extreme of temperatures, salinity, and frequent droughts, since genes encoding LEA and HSPs have been demonstrated to improve drought tolerance in genetically engineered plants [68].

The regulatory proteins play a role in the modulation of signal transduction and gene expression [69]. Transcription factors (TFs) are regulatory proteins that are critical regulators in the changes of gene expression induced by abiotic stresses [70]. There are many types of TFs that regulate plant response to abiotic stresses. Some of the TFs that have been recognized in safflower induced by drought stress are WRKY (WRKY domain binding transcription factors) [71], bZIP (basic leucine zipper), ERF (ethylene-responsive factors) [28] and bHLH [72]. Other TFs such as dehydration responsive element binding (DREB) which is a member of the ERF (ethylene-responsive factor) family of transcription factor is not yet fully studied in safflower. Generally, molecular mechanisms of drought tolerance in safflower are still largely unknown.

3.4 Management of Drought

Drought stress management begins with the selection of drought tolerant genotypes and changes in agronomic practices such as planting time, plant population per unit area, and better soil management. Safflower genotypes vary in their response to drought stress and thus genotypes that exhibit excellent drought tolerance characteristics are better suited to be used by farmers because they will save the costs of implementing other drought management strategies. Elucidating genotypes with drought tolerance characteristics aids in the identification of genotypes with desirable traits that could be used for breeding purposes. Plant breeding has contributed to a large extent in tackling the challenges of food security at a global level [73]. In safflower, breeding for drought tolerance has been achieved through conventional breeding which is

198 time consuming. Other breeding methods such as marker assisted breeding and transgenic approach could offer much
199 more benefits in the improvement of drought tolerant safflower genotypes [73]. Other drought stress management
200 approaches are the exogenous application of micro-nutrients (zinc, boron, and iron) which results in enhanced growth,
201 yield, enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant activity [74], [75], osmoprotectants such as ascorbic acid [20] and
202 putrescine [76] and signaling molecules (sodium nitroprusside and salicylic acid) [53]. Supplemental irrigation is an
203 important management practice for increased productivity of safflower especially, under arid and semi-arid conditions [17].

204 **4. CONCLUSIONS AND PERCEPTIVE**

205 Safflower growth and development are greatly reduced under drought stress due to production of smaller organs,
206 inhibited flower and capitula production, and achene filling. Drought stress results in reduced yield and yield components,
207 oil content and yield, and fatty acid composition especially oleic and linolenic acids which determines safflower oil quality.
208 Studies on safflower drought tolerance mechanisms such as biosynthesis of osmoprotectants, enzymatic antioxidants,
209 protective proteins, and transcription factors are still at emerging stages. There are many transcriptional factors and
210 functional proteins that are known to induce drought stress genes in the regulation of plant tolerance to stress, but only a
211 few of them have been studied in safflower. More studies need to be conducted in this area under field conditions where
212 multiple stresses occur at the same time. Such broad knowledge will help to understand the role transcription factors and
213 functional proteins play in the modulation of safflower drought tolerance. Plant drought tolerance techniques are very
214 complex and cannot just be associated with a single metabolic pathway, but a combination of pathways either working
215 independently of each other and/or having a synergistic relationship. The complexity of drought tolerance mechanisms
216 has slowed genetic engineering of drought-tolerant crops. The use of genomics, proteomics, and transcriptomic strategies
217 to better know the molecular control of drought tolerance in safflower plants and efficient water use in water deficit
218 conditions are important. Molecular knowhow of the response and tolerance techniques can pave ways for genetic
219 engineering of safflower plants that can cope and tolerate drought stress leading to sustainable economic yield and fatty
220 acid composition. The use of marker selected breeding and transgenics is suggested to be employed in safflower
221 improvement programs. Exogenous application of plant bioregulators and osmoregulators to plants at different stages of
222 growth and development or seeds may alleviate ramifications of water stress.

223 **REFERENCES**

- 224 1. Öztürk E, Özer H, Polat T. Growth and yield of safflower genotypes grown under irrigated and non-irrigated
225 conditions in a highland environment. Plant Science. 2008;54(10):453-460.

- 226 2. Janmohammadi M. Evaluation of the impact of chemical and biological fertiliser application on agronomical traits of
227 safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). Proceedings of The Latvian Academy of Sciences. 2015;69(6):331-335.
- 228 3. FAO. Crop production data. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Statistics Division, Italy,
229 Rome, 2019. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/>(accessed 14 January 2022).
- 230 4. Weiss EA. Oilseed Crops. Second Edition, Blackwell Science, Oxford. 2000; 34-45.
- 231 5. Lobell DB, Burke MB, Tebaldi C, Mastrandrea MD, Falcon WP, Naylor RL. Prioritizing climate change adaptation
232 needs for food security in 2030. Science. 2018;319(5863): 607-610.
- 233 6. Bahrami F, Arzani A, Karimi V. Evaluation of yield-based drought tolerance indices for screening safflower
234 genotypes. Agronomy Journal. 2014;106(4):1219-1224.
- 235 7. Claeys H, Inzé D. The agony of choice: How plants balance growth and survival under water-limiting conditions.
236 Plant Physiology. 2013;162(4):1768-1779.
- 237 8. Seki M, Umezawa T, Urano K, Shinozaki K. Regulatory metabolic networks in drought stress responses. Current
238 Opinion in Plant Biology. 2007; 10: 296-302.
- 239 9. Hossain MA, Wani SH., Bhattachajees S, Burrit DJ, Tran L. P. Drought Stress in Plants, Volume 2. Molecular and
240 Genetic Perspectives: Springer Science and Business Media; 2016.
- 241 10. Tayebi A, Ashrafi H, Farahvash F, Masood Sinki J, Nezarat S. Effect of drought stress and different planting date
242 on safflower yield and its components in Tabriz region. Iranian Journal of Plant Physiology. 2012;2(3):445-453.
- 243 11. Salem N, Msaada K, Dhifi W, Sriti J, Mejri H, Limam F, Marzouk B. The effect of drought on safflower natural dye
244 and their biological activities. EXCLI Journal. 2014; 13:1-18.
- 245 12. Kazemeini SA, Mohamadi S, Pirasteh-anosheh H. Growth and photosynthesis responses of safflower cultivars to
246 water stress at two developmental stages. Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2015;7(2):923-929.
- 247 13. Aeni M, Abad HHS, Yousefidad M, Heravan EM, Madani H. Effect of seed priming on morphological and
248 biochemical characteristics of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) under drought stress. Crop Research.
249 2018;53(1):45-52.
- 250 14. Joshan Y, Sani B, Jabbari H, Mozafari H, Moaveni P. Effect of drought stress on oil content and fatty acids
251 composition of some safflower genotypes. Plant, Soil and Environment. 2019;65(11):563-567.

- 252 15. Aroca R. Plant Responses to Drought Stress: From Morphological to Molecular Features. Springer. 2012: 1-466.
- 253 16. Cruz De Carvalho MH. Drought stress and reactive oxygen species: production, scavenging and signaling. Plant
254 Signaling and Behavior. 2008; (3):156-165.
- 255 17. Emongor V. Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorious* L.) the underutilized and neglected crop: A review. Asian Journal of
256 Plant Sciences. 2010;9(6):299-306.
- 257 18. Hojati M, Modarres-Sanavy S, Karimi M, Ghanati F. Responses of growth and antioxidant systems in *Carthamus*
258 *tinctorius* L under water deficit stress. Acta Physiogy Plantarum. 2011;33(1):105-112.
- 259 19. Anjum SA, Ashraf U, Zohaib A, Tanveer M, Naeem M, Ali I, Tabassum T, Nazir U. Growth and developmental
260 responses of crop plants under drought stress: a review. Zemdirbyste-Agriculture. 2017;104(3):267-276.
- 261 20. Farooq A, Bukhari,SA, Akram NA, Ashraf M, Wijaya L, Alyemeni MN, Ahmad P. Exogenously applied ascorbic
262 acid-mediated changes in osmoprotection and oxidative defense system enhanced water stress tolerance in
263 different cultivars of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorious* L.). Plants. 2020; 9(1):1-15.
- 264 21. Chavoushi M, Najafi F, Salimi A, Angaji SA. Effect of salicylic acid and sodium nitroprusside on growth parameters,
265 photosynthetic pigments and secondary metabolites of safflower under drought stress. Scientia Horticulturae. 2020;
266 259:108823.
- 267 22. Guo DL, Xia MX, Wei X, Chang WJ, Liu Y, Wang ZG. Anatomical traits associated with absorption and mycorrhizial
268 colonization are linked to root branch order in twenty-three Chinese temperate tree species. New Phytologist. 2008;
269 180:673-683.
- 270 23. Xia MA, Guo DL, Pregitzer KS. Ephemeral root modules in *Fraxinus mandshurica*. New Phytologist.
271 2010;188:1065-1074.
- 272 24. Comas LH, Becker SR, Cruz MV, Byrne PF, Dierig DA. Root traits contributing to plant productivity under drought.
273 Frontiers in Plant Science. 2013;4:442.
- 274 25. Refay YA, Alderfasi AA, Selim MM, Awad K. Evaluation of variety, cropping pattern and plant density on growth
275 and yield production of grain sorghum-cowpea under limited water supply condition growth, yield, and yield
276 component characters of sorghum. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2013;2(3):24-29.
- 277 26. Amini H, Arzani A, Bahrami F. Seed yield and some physiological traits of safflower as affected by water deficit
278 stress. International Journal of Plant Production. 2013;7(3):597-614.

- 279 27. Canavar Ö, Götz KP, Koca YO, Ellmer F. Relationship between water use efficiency and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ isotope
280 discriminaion of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) under drought stress. Turkish Journal of Field Crops. 2014;
281 19(2):203-211.
- 282 28. Thippeswamy M, Sivakumar M, Sudhakarbabu O, Reddy PC, Veeranagamallaiah G, Pandurangaiah M, Ramya M,
283 Nareshkumar A, Kirankumar T, Sudhakar C. Generation and analysis of drought stressed subtracted expressed
284 sequence tags from safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). Plant Growth Regulation. 2013;69(1):29-41.
- 285 29. Mohammadi M, Ghassemi-Golezani K, Zehtab-Salmasi S, Nasrollahzade S. Assessment of some physiological
286 traits in spring safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) cultivars under water stress. International Journal of Life
287 Sciences. 2016; 10(1): 58-64.
- 288 30. Bortolheiro FPA, Silva MdeA. Physiological response and productivity of safflower lines under water deficit and
289 rehydration. Annals of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences. 2017;89(4):3051-3066.
- 290 31. Ashraf M, Harris PJC. Photosynthesis under stressful environments: An overview. Photosynthetica.
291 2013;51(2):163-190.
- 292 32. Servani M, Mobasser HR, Ganjali HR. Influence of drought stress on photosynthetic, radical oxygen, respiration,
293 assimilate partitioning, activities of enzymes, phytohormones and essential oils in crop plants. International Journal
294 of Biosciences. 2014;5(6):223-236.
- 295 33. Chaves MM, Pereira JS, Maroco J, Rodrigues ML, Ricardo CPP, Osrio ML, Carvalho I, Faria T, Pinheiro C. How
296 plants cope with water stress in the field. Photosynthesis and growth. Annals of Botany. 2002; 89(7):907-916.
- 297 34. Eslam BP. Evaluation of physiological indices for improving water deficit tolerance in spring safflower. Journal of
298 Agricultural Science and Technology. 2011;13(3):327-338.
- 299 35. Roudbari Z, Saba J, Shekari F. Use of physiological parameters as tools to screen drought tolerant safflower
300 genotypes. International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences. 2012;3(12):2374-2380.
- 301 36. Majidi MM, Tavakoli V, Mirlohi Ay, Sabzalian MR. Wild safflower species (*Carthamus oxyacanthus* Bieb.): A
302 possible sources of drought tolerance for arid environments. Australian Journal of Crop Science. 2011;5(8):1055-
303 1063.
- 304 37. Wei B, Hou K, Zhang H, Wang X, Wu W. Integrating transcriptomics and metabolomics to studies key metabolism,
305 pathways and candidate genes associated with drought-tolerance in *Carthamus tinctorius* L., under drought stress.
306 Industrial Crops and Products. 2020. doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112465.

- 307 38. Mollasadeghi V, Valizadeh M, Shahryariand R, Imani AA. Evaluation of end drought tolerance of 12 wheat
308 genotypes by stress indices. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research. 2011;7(2):241-247.
- 309 39. Moathshe OG, Emongor VE, Balole TV, Tshwenyane SO. Genotype and plant density effects on oil content and
310 fatty acid composition of safflower. African Crop Science Journal. 2020;28(1):83-101.
- 311 40. Javed S, Ashraf M, Mahmood S, Burkhari S, Meraj M, Perveen A. Comparative evaluation of biochemical changes
312 in different safflower varieties (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) under water deficit. Journal of Food Processing &
313 Technology. 2013; 4(10).
- 314 41. Janmohammadi M, Asadi F, Sabaghnia N, Abbasi A, Nouraein M, Shekari F. The effects of foliar feeding of
315 compatible organic solutes on agronomic traits of safflower. Agriculture. 2017; 63(4):128-141.
- 316 42. Yeilaghi H, Arzani A, Ghaderian M, Fotovat R, Feizi M, Pourdad SS. Effect of salinity on seed oil content and fatty
317 acid composition of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) genotypes. Food Chemistry. 2012;130(3):618–625.
- 318 43. Gecgel U, Demirci M, Esendal E, Tasan M. Fatty acid composition of the oil from developing seeds of different
319 varieties of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorious* L.). Journal of American Oil Chemist Society. 2007;84(1): 47-54.
- 320 44. Hamrouni I, Touati S, Dhifi W, Chahed T, Ayachi S, Salah H, Marzouk B. Glycerolipid evolution during safflower
321 seed formation and ripening. Journal of Food Lipids. 2004;11:297-311.
- 322 45. Pritchard FM, Eagles HA., Norton RM, Salisbury PA, Nicolas M. Environmental effects on seed composition of
323 Victorian canola. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture. 2000;40(5):679-685.
- 324 46. Dajue L. Progress of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) research and production in China. Proceedings of the
325 Third International Safflower Conference. 1993; 3:34-45.
- 326 47. Fernández-Martínez J, Rio D, Haro de A. Survey of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) germplasm for variants in
327 fatty acid composition and other seed characters. Euphytica. 1993;69(1):115-122.
- 328 48. Izquierdo NG, Aguirrezabal LAN, Andrade FH, Geroudet C, Valentinuz O, Pereyra IM. Intercepted solar radiation
329 affects oil fatty acid composition in crop species. Fatty Acid Research. 2009;114(1):66-74.
- 330 49. Serraj R, Sinclair TR. Osmolyte accumulation: can it really help increase crop yield under drought conditions? Plant
331 Cell Environment. 2002;25(2):333-341.

- 332 50. Ahmad P, John R, Sarwat, M, Umar S. Responses of proline, lipid peroxidation and antioxidative enzymes in two
333 varieties of *Pisum sativum* L. under salt stress. International Journal of Plant Production. 2008;2(4):353-366.
- 334 51. Kantar M, Lucas SJ, Budak H. Drought stress: Molecular genetics and genomics approaches. Advances in
335 Botanical Research. 2011;57:445-493.
- 336 52. Farooq M, Wahid A, Kobayashi N, Fujita D, Basra SMA. Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and
337 management. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2009;29:185-212.
- 338 53. Chavoushi M, Najafi F, Salimi A, Angaji SA. Improvement in drought stress tolerance of safflower during vegetative
339 growth by exogenous application of salicylic acid and sodium nitroprusside. Industrial Crops and Products. 2019;
340 134 (42):168-176.
- 341 54. Ishitani M, Nakamura T, Han SY, Takabe T. Expression of the betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase gene in barley in
342 response to osmotic stress and abscisic acid. Plant Molecular Biology. 1995; 27(2):307-315.
- 343 55. Ueda A, Shi W, Sanmiya K, Shono M, Takabe T. Functional analysis of salt-inducible proline transporter of barley
344 roots. Plant and Cell Physiology. 2001; 42 (11):1282-1289.
- 345 56. Mittler R, Vanderauwera S, Gollery M, Breusegem, FV. Reactive oxygen gene network of plants. TRENDS in Plant
346 Science. 2004;9(10):490-498.
- 347 57. Gill SS, Tuteja N. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants.
348 Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 2010;48(12):909-930.
- 349 58. Sharma P, Jha AB, Dubey RS, Pessarakli M. Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative
350 defense mechanism in plants under stressful Conditions. Journal of Botany. 2012. doi.org/10.1155/2012/217037.
- 351 59. Hasanuzzaman M, Hossain M, Silva JT, Fujita M. Crop Stress, and Its Management: Perspectives and
352 Management. Springer, Berlin, Germany. 2012; 261-315.
- 353 60. Malambane G, Tsujimoto H, Akashi K. The cDNA structures and expression profile of the ascorbate peroxidase
354 gene family during drought stress in wild watermelon. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2018;10(8):56-71.
- 355 61. Blokhina O, Virolainen E, Fagerstedt KV. Antioxidants, oxidative damage, and oxygen deprivation stress: A review.
356 Annals of Botany. 2003;91(2):179-194.

- 357 62. Noctor G, Foyer CH. Ascorbate and Glutathione: keeping active oxygen under control. Annual Review of Plant
358 Biology. 1998;49(1):249-279.
- 359 63. Sajedi NA, Ferasat M, Mirzakhani M, Boojarm MM. Impact of water deficit stress on biochemical characteristics of
360 safflower cultivars. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants. 2012;18(4):323-329.
- 361 64. Harb A, Awad D, Samarah N. Gene expression and activity of antioxidant enzymes in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.)
362 under controlled severe drought. Journal of Plant Interactions. 2015;10(1):109-116.
- 363 65. Sun W, Van Montagu M, Verbruggen N. Small heat shock proteins and stress tolerance in plants. Biochemical
364 Biophysics Acta. 2002;1577(1):1-9.
- 365 66. Bartels D, Sunkar R. Drought and salt tolerance in plants. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences. 2005;24(1):23-58.
- 366 67. Sudhakar C, Sudhakarbabu O, Pandurangaiah M. Identification, characterization, cloning of heat shock proteins/
367 chaperons from drought responsive ESTs in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). International Journal of
368 Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 2019;5(5):79-86.
- 369 68. Taji T, Ohsumi C, Iuchi S, Seki M, Kasuga M, Kobayashi M, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. Important roles
370 of drought- and cold-inducible genes for galactinol synthase in stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant
371 Journal. 2012;29(4):417-426.
- 372 69. Zhou ML, Ma JT, Pang JF, Zhang ZL, Tang YX, Wu YM. Regulation of plant stress response by dehydration
373 responsive element binding (DREB) transcription factors. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2010; 9(54): 9255-
374 9269.
- 375 70. Hussain SS, Kayani M, Amjad M. Transcription factors as tools to engineer enhanced drought stress tolerance in
376 plants. Biotechnology Progress. 2011;27(2):297-306.
- 377 71. Sivakumar M, Pandurangaiah M, Jayamma N, Kumar JN, Venkatesh B, Sudhakar C. Identification of wrky
378 transcription factors from safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) and their expression in response to abiotic stress.
379 Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology. 2019;20(23):1201-1209.
- 380 72. Hong Y, Ahmad N, Tian Y, Liu J, Wang L, Wang G, Liu X, Dong Y, Wang F, Liu W, Li X, Zhao X, Yao N, Li H.
381 Genome-wide identification, expression analysis, and subcellular localization of *Carthamus tinctorius* BHLH
382 transcription factors. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2019;20(3044):1-17.

- 383 73. Ashraf M. Inducing drought tolerance in plants: Recent advances. *Biotechnology Advances*, 2010; 28(1): 169-183.
384 doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2009.11.005.
- 385 74. Soheili-movahhed S, Khomari S, Sheikhzadeh P, Alizadeh B. Improvement in seed quantity and quality of spring
386 safflower through foliar application of boron and zinc under end-season drought stress. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*,
387 2019; 42(8):942-953.
- 388 75. Amirkhiz KF, Dehaghi MA, Heshmati S. Antioxidant enzymes and physiological responses of safflower (*Carthamus*
389 *tinctorius* L.) to iron application, under water deficit condition. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*. 2015;7(2):203-209.
- 390 76. Khosrowshahi ZT, Slehi-Lisar SY, Ghassemi-Golezani K, Motafakkerazad R. Physiological responses of safflower
391 to exogenous putrescine under water deficit. *Journal of Stress Physiology and Biochemistry*. 2018;14(3):38-48.