Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Plant & Soil Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJPSS_84661**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of date of sowing and nitrogen levels on spot blotch disease of wheat caused by Bipolaris sorokiniana**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The way the introduction is conducted according to me needs readjustment. Moreover, at its end, I did not see the goal targeted by the present studies.** **For the different Titles, the author must be careful with their size (as showed for instance by "abstract and introduction").** **I suggest to the author to organise the different sections built up as follow:** **Abstract** **I. Introduction** **II. Material and methods** **III. Results and discussion** **III-1. Results** **III-2. Discussion** **IV. Conclusion** **References** **I think that some figures are in the need of titles (as in page 4).** **I suggest also to the author to use instead of the name of the authors cited in the text, but digits to which he will combine the name of the given author in the References section.** **This manuscript faces a recurrent problem of punctuation. This makes difficult its understanding.** **The structuring of sentences is sometimes really problematic.** **In the results and discussion part, one may gainfully start by presenting a given result with reference to figures or/and tables if possible. After this, its analysis must be done in relation to itself first, then in relation to the other results obtained in the same field by other researchers to be cited. At the end, an interpretation is done in order to justify the result obtained. While doing this, links can be created when possible between one's results; *for instance: the concentration of nitrogen in soils is consistent with the plant firmness …….***	
Minor REVISION comments	**Be careful with the spacing between words and misspellings.**	
Optional/General comments	**The idea justifying the present research is pertinent. The results are relevant. But the punctuation and the sentences structuring are unfortunately problematic for the entire manuscript.**	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Fopoussi Tuebue Jean Christophe**
Department, University & Country	**Jesus and Mary Secondary High School, Cameroon**