

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_84652
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF OIL FIELD EFFLUENT ON SOIL HEALTH AND PHYSIOLOGICAL GROWTH IN SMALL TEA FARMS OF SHALMARI OCS-1, DIBRUGARH, ASSAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<div>1. Modify the title- few physiological and N, P and K was studied but mentioned soil health and physiological growth</div> <div>2. From the study I came to know that it is hardly a one-year data, yet can you carry correlation between yield and studied parameters that makes the worth of this paper</div> <div>3. Tables and graphs were not presented properly. Either give graph or table, both can not be given which gives same information</div> <div>4. Present the interaction of all physiological parameters in one table and soil parameters in another table that speaks good</div> <div>5. Can you give the rainfall data during the study period</div> <div>6. I don’t know why u mentioned about phytoremediation. Is there any heavy metals. If so, mention the heavy metals u noticed during your study.</div> <div>7. Discussion is not properly done</div> <div>8. Please modify the abstract presentation</div> <div>9. Have studied N, P and K uptake in tea which is very important for this study?</div>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<div>1. Check for english grammar</div>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. Girijaveni
Department, University & Country	Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, India