

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_84474
Title of the Manuscript:	Tapioca (Manihot esculenta Crantz.) and Borkesseru (Ailanthus excelsa Roxb.): The Potential Secondary Host Plants of Eri Silkworm and Their Diversified Uses
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title Should be changed according to the focus of the paper.</p> <p>Abstract :</p> <p>In 224 words in the abstract 140 words are not directly relevant. Because an abstract is frequently presented separately from an article. It must be able to stand on its own. Abstract: At its present form, the abstract is very vague. Please rewrite it.</p> <p>Introduction: Objective of the review and why they choose it is not clear. Please improve it.</p> <p>Text : In many places Vague statements without any literature</p> <p>All the uses the author claims are already known and nothing new in this</p> <p>All the uses mentioned by the author needs marketing facilities</p> <p>Since it is a minireview, literature should be given for each and every statement</p> <p>There are only two references cited that were published in 2021. Please provide some recent references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P.Dhevagi
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India