# **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_84190
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of seed rate and spacing on nutrient uptake in chickpea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	<ul> <li>Please state need of the research and specific objective first in abstract</li> <li>Better to add country name also in abstract and conclude the abstract with a take home message</li> <li>Introduction part is very poor</li> </ul>	
	Introduction part must contain	
	Problem to be addressed	
	How problem originates	
	What management measures adopted in the past to address the proble	
	What were the limitations	
	How these limitations could be met	
	What management you are going to introduce stating gap from previous work done	
	Hypothesis with specific objective	
	But you have discussed only problem nothing else	
	In methodology	
	Plan of work is not clear	
	Please give treatment plan	
	Methodology for application of treatments and other necessary agronomic measures	
	<ul> <li>Some sentences need correction</li> <li>what statistical approach was adopted to get information about the obtained results</li> <li>Results should be explained by comparing different seed rates and spacing separately and in combination</li> </ul>	
	There is no discussion in the manuscript at all. Discussion part must contain important results, reasoning and citation from previous work done but here in this manuscript there is no reasoning.  Please give conclusion at the end of manuscript with a take home message and possible future directions	
Minor REVISION comments	There are several spelling and grammar mistakes that should be considered	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

Optional/General comments		
	There are several spacing issues in the manuscript.	

# PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Adeel Ahmad
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)