Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Plant & Soil Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJPSS_84032**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment of genetic divergence for hard seededness with field emergence and storage duration in Mungbean genotypes [Vigna radiata(L.)Wilczek]**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract:** 1. This article is relevant to agricultural microbiology. Its good work. This type of work is required for the development of new variety of seeds. 2. To check the spelling of the words. 4. This is only minor revision. **Introduction:** 1. It's very clear and informative. . **Materials and Methods:** 1. Protocol will be set in a proper way. It's like a standard format. 2. You should be arranged in subheadings in materials and methods part. **Results and Discussion** 1. In this part, result and discussion prediction is good. 2. Please mention the suitable research articles in the result and discussion part. 3. Its required for the quality of writing **Conclusion:** 1. Its clear and good representation, no need to further revision.	
Minor REVISION comments	**References:** 1. Please check the references in the text section and reference part. 2. References are very important in the article. Please focus properly.	
Optional/General comments	1. It is an interesting article 2. Need to improve the language and the quality of writing 3. Hope it will be published after the correction 4. Finally best of luck	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Naveen Kumar K. J**
Department, University & Country	**Davangere University, India**