Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_84031
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of nitrogen and plant growth regulators on nutrient concentration and uptake of ajwain (Trachyspermum ammi L. sprague)
Type of the Article	Agriculture

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Communication DEVICION communication		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	Manuscript is good over all. Clear and concise. The major problems are in the tables. Please watch for typographical errors. For example: Materials and Methods: "rage" should be "range" Results, Conclusion: "upto" is written 3 times. The first time an abbreviation is written be sure to explain the abbreviation. For example, "PGR", "DAS". Not everyone necessarily knows what these are. The Results and Discussion can be shortened because the data are shown in the tables. Refer the reader to these tales in the text! Tables: The legends are incomplete. The name of the plant is not even mentioned. "SEm+" I am guessing this it the standard error for something but for what? What	
	is "CD"? Tables need to be completely redesigned.	
Minor REVISION comments	Effect of Nitrogen: Delete "probably" because "probably might" is redundant.	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jon Titus
Department, University & Country	SUNY-Fredonia, USA

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)