

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_83996
Title of the Manuscript:	Fluoride in Groundwater, Its Variation with Season and Relationship with Other Water Quality Parameters in Rangareddy District of Telangana, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Under title: Water quality parameters were determine in the water for drinking or irrigation purpose?- The groundwater were sampled in wells, boreholes or borewell? There is need for consistency regarding the source of your groundwater.-- Two years (2015 – 2016) were used for sampling of groundwater, with two samplings each year for the two seasons, but your results are presented only in two Tables instead of four Tables. Why?- No geological map to show if the rock type in the study area have any effects on the concentration of fluoride in the groundwater.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Season in the title should be written as seasons.- Name of a place should always start with a capital letter.- Most two words are written as one word, e.g. mainly on as mainlyon, ppm and as ppm and. Also one word is written as two words, e.g. Groundwater as ground water.- Spelling errors.- mg/litre should be written as mg/l.- some citation in the write up are written in numbers (1, 2, 3,) while names are used in some places. There is need for consistency.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gusikit, Rhoda Bernard
Department, University & Country	University of Jos, Nigeria