

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_83847
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on syrup preparation from mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.), citrus (<i>Citrus aurantifolia</i> Swingle.), Aloe vera (<i>Aloe barbadensis</i> Miller.) and ginger (<i>Zingiber officinale</i> Rosc.) blends.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Comments to the Author</p> <p>The article can be considered for publication after minor revision and few questions are answered.</p> <p>1. A plagiarism report should be added to prove originality of the article.</p> <p>2. There is a few discussion and conclusion for the article. My suggestion is that the sentence "The syrup can be stored up to 5 months at ambient storage temperature (20.1-29.4°C) into both glass and polypet bottles with acceptable quality." I'd like to see some further discussion and conclusion that would prove acceptable quality for this sentence. So, author should add the discussion and conclusion of acceptable quality (Additional safety results of different thesis related to "Studies on syrup preparation from mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i> L.), citrus (<i>Citrus aurantifolia</i> Swingle.), Aloe vera (<i>Aloe barbadensis</i> Miller.) and ginger (<i>Zingiber officinale</i> Rosc.) blends") as the discussion and conclusion.</p> <p>3. The article can be accepted for publication at the discretion of the editor after this minor revision.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yong Yeon Kim
Department, University & Country	Dongguk University, Korea