

Impact of inorganic iron and siderophore producing microbes on growth and content of nutrient in Indian sorrel leaves (*Rumex vasicarias*)

Abstract

A pot culture experiment entitled "Biofortification of Iron in Indian Sorrel Leaves using Graded Levels of Iron and Siderophore Producing Microbial Cultures" was planned and conducted during summer season of 2021 at Department of soil science and Agricultural Chemistry, Vasant Rao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani. The experimental results revealed that significant higher values of biometric observation in 45, 55 DAS and nutrient content and uptake in sorrel leaves at after harvest of crop were recorded. The maximum value regarding growth attributes as well as quality parameter was recorded under the treatment of 100% RDF + 60 mg FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil + *Azospirillum lipoferum*. In which maximum plant height recorded with 23.14, and 29.44cm, leaves number (10.50 and 21.92) at 45 and 55 DAS respectively, chlorophyll 3.42 mg g⁻¹, fresh leaf yield and dry matter yield (214.33, 30.87 g pot⁻¹) respectively which were superior among the rest of treatments. Moreover, regarding to quality attributes of sorrel leaves via. macro and micronutrient uptake significantly higher under the treatment of 100% RDF + 60 mg FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil + *Azospirillum lipoferum* as compared to control (only RDF).

Keywords- *Azospirillum lipoferum*, Biofortification, iron, sorrel leaves (*Rumex vasicarias*), siderophore.

Introduction

Leafy vegetables represents pillar of good nutritional value since, they provide important phytochemicals such as dietary fibre, vitamins, antioxidant like minerals and also, leafy vegetables are called as health capsule. The potential function of leafy vegetables is having additional physiological benefits beyond that of meeting basic nutritional and health properties. Leafy vegetable are the key factor in finding solution and initiating sustainable strategies to overcome present and upcoming health and nutrition challenges. After China India is the second largest producer of vegetables in the world.

Indian sorrel leaves (*Rumex vasicarias*) is a native of India. Whose botanical name is *Rumex vasicarias*. The sorrel leaves are considered to be poor people's nutritive vegetable. *Rumex vasicarias* linn (polyanaceas) is commonly called as Khatta Pala in Hindi, Chukka & Kura in Telgu and Indian sorrel leaves (Spinach) in English. The plant is herbaceous type, which can grow throughout seasons in India. Indian sorrel leaves containing Ca, carotene flavonoids oxalic acid, tannins, mucilage, salt minerals and vitamin-C, astringent and slightly purgative sorrel leaves are sour in taste and are considered to have medical value and are used in various treatments such as digestive flatulence constipation, asthma, bronchitis etc. It is also useful in analgesic and sometimes used to treatment of fevers, scurvy, itchy skin and ringworm. The fresh leaf or in the dried form, helps in cleaning the system by serving as a diuretic or laxative. Indian sorrel leaves are generally considered as field weed but it used as leafy vegetable in many parts of India. It may be boiled and used in dishes or raw in salads (Rao *et al*, 2012).

Iron is the second most abundant metal in nature and fourth most abundant element in the earth's crust; about 11 percent of Indian soil is in deficient supply of iron (Singh and Kapoor, 1999). Iron is also known to rapidly convert into unavailable forms upon application to calcareous soils and poses poor mobility in phloem, soil or foliar iron (Gioia *et al*, 2019). Specially, in alkaline and calcareous soils, once applied by fertilization, iron rapidly becomes inaccessible to roots absorption, because of precipitation, absorption and oxidation circumstances (Shuman, 1998). Iron acts as co-factor for several enzymes performing basic function in human body. Insufficient supply of iron contributes to disability anaemia & stunted metal growth. Its malnutrition may be reduced by enhancing the bio-available iron content through iron supplementations and food fortification (Rana *et al*, 2012). Major health concerns regarding iron deficiency are impairments of immune system cognitive function. In human health, the

Comment [N1]: Rewrite removing the "..."

Comment [N2]: delete

Comment [N3]: write full form first thereafter abbreviation

Comment [N4]: RDF means?

Comment [N5]: Incomplete sentence

Comment [N6]: Incomplete sentence

Comment [N7]: New sentence

Comment [N8]: Incomplete sentence

Comment [N9]: ?

Comment [N10]: medicinal

Comment [N11]: for

Comment [N12]: Which system?

Comment [N13]: Separate sentence

Comment [N14]: ?

Comment [N15]: ?

Comment [N16]: Metal or mental?

main function of iron is related to the synthesis of haemoglobin and myoglobin besides being essential to various metabolic processes such as oxygen transport, deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) synthesis, and electron transport which is also required for energy production.

Siderophore are low molecular weight organic compound having high affinity for iron. Siderophore form very stable iron complexes and enhance the dissolution of iron bearing minerals of coordinating with iron atom at mineral surface. In addition act as a chelating agents produced by microorganism and higher plants to encourage take up of iron. PGPR synthesizes siderophore, which release those into surrounding environment, dissolve the iron by making iron siderophore complexes are taken up by the plant through transporter proteins that are located on plasma membrane of roots (Boukhalfa and Crumbliss, 2002). It is reported that heavy metals in the background siderophore are biosynthesised by microorganism (Khalid and Joo, 2017).

Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) affects plant growth directly or indirectly by producing growth substances such as indole-acetic, gibberelic acid and cytokinins, fixing nitrogen from the atmosphere and providing the plant with this element and the being antagonistic toward phytopathogenic microorganisms (Delshadi *et al.*, 2017). PGPR have also been observed to fertility the iron contents in food crops apart from enhance the soil fertility and crop yield through siderophore production (Grover *et al.*, 2009). In addition, these rhizobacteria improve plant growth by referring various beneficial effects such as increased nitrogen fixation, phosphorous solubilization, exhibiting antifungal activity production of volatile organic compound, induction of systemic resistance, promoting beneficial plant-microbe symbiosis, siderophore production, and increasing iron availability used for plant growth promotion, (Haymer, 2017). These rhizobacteria are impacts on plant development about 2-5% of rhizobacteria (Vejan *et al.*, 2016).

Material Method

Experiment site

The experiment pots are placed in the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Vasantao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani MH. India (76°46' East longitude and 19° 16' North latitude) during 2021. This region has a semi-arid tropical climate, where the annual average temperature varies from 33.50 °C in winter to 43.70 °C in summer and means minimum temperature varies from 19.50 °C to 27.70 °C. The soils of the region are medium to deep black (Inceptisol/Vertisol). And the major portion of precipitation (75 per cent) is being received through South-West monsoon from July and August.

Experiment design and management

The experiment was performed from the beginning of March to May 2021, and induced three replication and 16 treatment, which treatment combinations includes with four graded levels of iron (0, 20, 40, and 60 mg FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil) and four siderophore producing microorganisms (Uninoculated control, *Azotobacter chroococcum*, *Azospirillum lipoferum* and *Bacillus licheniformis*) in Factorial complete randomized design.

Detection of siderophore

Siderophore production by plant growth promoting microorganisms was tested qualitatively by Chrome Azural S (CAS) liquid as well as plate assay. The stains were spread over CAS agar plate and incubated for 48 hrs at 28°C. After incubation a thin layer of CAS reagent in 0.7% agar was spread on the bacterial growth and plates were again incubated for 24 hrs at 28°C, formation of yellow orange colour zone around the colonies in plates assay and colour change from blue to orange in liquid assay, indicated the siderophore production (Schwyn and Neiland, 1987).

Quantitative CAS assay

Comment [N17]: ?

Comment [N18]: .

Comment [N19]: In addition, it acts

Comment [N20]: Indole acetic acid

Comment [N21]: Check grammer

Comment [N22]: ?

Comment [N23]: Check grammer

Comment [N24]: Is climate and soil type of the region is important in pot culture experiment?

Comment [N25]: ?

Comment [N26]: It is not microorganism, so write out side the bracket

The data presented in Table-1 revealed the assesement of siderophore production capacity of different promising microbial isolates by assay technique. All of three promising microbial isolates tested found to produce siderophore. These promising isolates produced the siderophore among that *Azospirillum lipoferum* showed maximum % siderophore (63) followed by *Bacillus lichneformis* (45%), While, the lowest value was recorded with *Azotobacter chroococcum* (32%). Among eight microbial isolates were evaluated for different PGPR traits including siderophore production, the following with good PGPR traits were used in the experiment.

Table 1: Siderophore production by microbial isolates on CAS agar plate

Sr. No	Microbial inoculation	CAS Agar test	% Siderophore
1	<i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i>	+	63
2	<i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i>	+	45
3	<i>Bacillus lichneformis</i>	+	32

Comment [N27]: tested were

Results and discussion

Growth Parameters

Plant height reading of sorrel leaves was taken at 45 and 55 days after sowing. The data showed in table 2 that showed significant influence by graded levels of $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ fertilizer and bio-inoculant application. The plant height ranges from 19.84-22.02cm and 26.80-28.96cm at the stage of 45, 55 DAS respectively. In graded levels of iron (factor-I) the highest plant height was recorded was 22.11cm and 28.96cm at 45, 55 DAS respectively, under the treatment of 100% RDF + 60mg $\text{FeSO}_4 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ soil, in graded levels of iron, while in bio-inoculant (factor-II) the maximum height was recorded under the treatment of 100% RDF + *Azospirillum lipoferum* treated pot with 22.02 and 28.58cm at 45 and 55DAS respectively and it was followed by 100% RDF+ *Azotobacter chroococcum* with 28cm height (at 55DAS).

Comment [N28]: Material & method or result?
Should be incorporated in result part

Comment [N29]: It is part of materials and method

Comment [N30]: Repeation of showed ?

Comment [N31]: delete

Moreover significant lower value was recorded in control. The improvement of plant growth might be due to application of iron fertilizer along with nitrogen. Both nutrients has play role in related to basic biological process such as photosynthesis, respiration, protein synthesis and nitrogen fixation (Kim and Seem, 1992) and also responsible phosphorous application for height improvement because P play key role in division and development of new tissue. The growth promotion in Indian sorrel leaves might be due to greater availability of nutrient through inorganic and biological source. The improvement in plant growth might be due to application of siderophore producing microbes which resulting enhancing nutrient solubilization and developed various plant growth promoters via. auxins, gibberellins, cytokinins, and siderophore production (Klopper *et al.* 1989). These results are in agreement with the finding of Khalid *et al.* (2015) who reported that selected bacterial isolates shows significantly higher in plant plants height as compared to uninoculated (Control) plant in presence of iron.

Comment [N32]: value of what?

Comment [N33]: Played???

Comment [N34]: Check grammer

Comment [N35]: ?

Comment [N36]: Check grammer

Comment [N37]: ?

Number of Leaves

Number of leaf reading of sorrel leaf was taken at 45 and 55 days after sowing. The data showed in table-2 showed significantly influenced by graded levels of $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ application and bio-inoculant application. The number of leaves varies from 7.75-9.63 and 18.76-21.41 at the stage of 45, 55 DAS respectively. The significant highest number of leaves in graded levels of iron (Factor-I) were recorded with 9.28 and 21.41 at 45, 55 DAS respectively, under the treatment of 100% RDF + 60 mg $\text{FeSO}_4 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ soil, and it was found to be at par with 9.10 and 21.01(100% RDF + 40 mg $\text{FeSO}_4 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ soil). While in bio-inoculant (Factor-II) the maximum number of leaves plant⁻¹ were recorded under the treatment of 100% RDF + *Azospirillum lipoferum* treated pots with 9.63 and 21 at 45 and 55 DAS respectively and while significant lower value was recorded in control (Only RDF)

Comment [N38]: Correct the sentence

Comment [N39]: varied

Comment [N40]: significantly

Table 2: Effect of levels of iron and siderophore producing microorganisms on height of Indian sorrel leaf

Comment [N41]: and No of leaves?? It should be growth

Treatment	Plant height (cm)		Number of leaves plant ⁻¹	
	45 DAS	55 DAS	45 DAS	55 DAS
Levels of iron (FeSO₄·5H₂O) (Fe)				
Fe0: 0 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil (only RDF)	20.27	27.16	7.75	18.76
Fe1: 20 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	21.14	27.21	8.55	20.50
Fe2: 40 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	21.20	28.37	9.10	21.01
Fe3: 60 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	22.11	28.96	9.28	21.41
S.E±	0.137	0.196	0.102	0.163
C.D. at 5%	0.396	0.566	0.295	0.474
Siderophore producing microbes (S)				
S0: Uninoculated(Control)	19.84	26.80	7.75	19.22
S1: <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i>	21.48	28.26	8.75	20.65
S2: <i>Azospirillum Lipoferum</i>	22.02	28.58	9.63	21.24
S3: <i>Bacillus lichneformis</i>	21.39	28.05	8.55	20.58
S.E±	0.137	0.196	0.102	0.163
C.D. at 5%	0.396	0.566	0.295	0.474
Interaction(Fe x S)				
S.E±	0.274	0.392	0.20	0.326
C.D. at 5%	0.792	1.133	0.58	0.941

The increasing leaf number might be due to positive effect of RDF of NPK along with iron fertilizer. Because of iron increase in rate of metabolic process such as photosynthesis, respiration which resultant higher food material production translocation of food material and additional nitrogen application improve protein synthesis which ultimately caused higher plant growth as well as increasing in number of leaves. Application of RDF along with micronutrients on vegetables growth and accumulation of metabolic material have been reported by Suryavanshi *et al.* (2020). Moreover, Kaur *et al.* (2017) also reported that the improvement in vegetative plant growth might be due to application of PGPR which resulting enhancing nutrient solubilization and developed various plant growth promoters via auxins, gibberellins, cytokinins, and siderophore. These results are in agreement with the finding of Khalid *et al.* (2015).

Comment [N42]: Incomplete sentence

Comment [N43]: ???

Comment [N44]: Check grammer

Comment [N45]: ???

Comment [N46]: These results means?

Chlorophyll Content

Data presented in table-3 indicates significant variation in chlorophyll content in sorrel leave. In case of graded levels iron fertilizer (Factor-I) the maximum leaf chlorophyll content was recorded 3.28 mg g⁻¹ by the treatment of 100% RDF + 60 mg FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil and followed by 3.10 g plant⁻¹ under the treatment of 100% RDF + 40 mg FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil. In bio-inoculant (Factor-II) the highest chlorophyll content was recorded by the treatment of 100% + *Azospirillum lipoferum* with 3.11 mg g⁻¹ which was significantly superior among the bio-inoculant as compare to control one.

Comment [N47]: Levels of

Comment [N48]: in

Comment [N49]: compared

Improvement in chlorophyll content might be due to application of iron and nitrogen fertilizer. The increases in chlorophyll at the stage of 45 DAS might be due to increased uptake of nitrogen and iron in early stage of plant growth which was supplied by RDF and ferrous sulphate. This iron is not an integral part of chlorophyll and the maintenance of chloroplast. Nitrogen- chlorophyll synthesis also depends on mineral nutrition such as nitrogen because it promotes the formation of photosynthetic pigments by enhancing the amount of stromal and thylakoid proteins in leaves of plants

Comment [N50]: delete

Comment [N51]: reference??

Comment [N52]: No clear meaning

(Rout and Sahoo, 2015). Dawwam *et al.* (2013) reported that effect of inoculation with PGPR on photosynthetic pigments showed significant. The results recorded P35 and P18 isolates showed significant increase in chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b about 36.8% and 46.01%, respectively, over control, Furthermore Eleiwa *et al.* (2012) found that inoculation of wheat grains with bio-inoculants, *Bacillus polymyxa*, or *Azospirillum brasilense* that produced auxin significantly increased the chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoids as compared with uninoculated treatment.

Comment [N53]: Incomplete sentence

Yield

Fresh weight of leaves (Fresh leaf yield)

The data presented in table 3 indicates that the fresh leaf yield was ranged from 176.205 g pot⁻¹. The graded levels iron fertilizer (Factor-I) showed highest fresh leaf yield (205 g pot⁻¹) under the treatment of 100% RDF + 60 mg FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil. While in case of bio-inoculant (Factor-II) the highest fresh yield was recorded (188.92 g pot⁻¹) under the treatment of 100% RDF + *Azospirillum lipoferum* followed by (188.42 g pot⁻¹) by the treatment of 100% RDF + *Bacillus licheniformis* and the lowest value was recorded in control (only RDF).

Comment [N54]: delete

Comment [N55]: to

Table 3: Effect of levels of iron and siderophore producing microorganisms on chlorophyll content, fresh leaf yield and dry matter yield of Indian sorrel leaf

Treatments	Chlorophyll content (mg g ⁻¹) 45 DAS	Fresh leaf yield (g pot ⁻¹)	Dry matter yield (g pot ⁻¹)
Levels of iron (FeSO₄·5H₂O) (Fe)			
Fe0: 0 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil (Only RDF)	2.59	176.00	25.34
Fe1: 20 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	2.84	176.67	25.77
Fe2: 40 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	3.10	181.50	26.07
Fe3: 60 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	3.28	205.42	29.51
S.E±	0.08	0.430	0.089
C.D. at 5%	0.23	1.230	0.257
Siderophore producing microbes (S)			
S0: Uninoculated (control)	2.72	177.50	25.56
S1: <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i>	2.92	184.75	26.95
S2: <i>Azospirillum Lipoferum</i>	3.11	188.92	27.45
S3: <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	3.06	188.42	26.90
S.E±	0.08	0.430	0.089
C.D. at 5%	0.23	1.230	0.257
Interaction(Fe x S)			
S.E±	0.16	0.850	0.188
C.D. at 5%	NS	2.460	0.514

Increasing in fresh yield may be due to RDF and micronutrient are reported to enhance the absorption of native as well as added major nutrient such as N and P which might have been attributed to improvement in fresh yield. Similar findings were also observed by Suryavanshi *et al.* (2020)^[22].

Comment [N56]: rewrite the sentence correctly

Dry matter yield

Dry weight of sorrel leaf was ranged from 25.34 to 29.51 g pot⁻¹. In iron levels (Factor-I) the highest dry matter was recorded with ranges from 25.34 to 29.51 g pot⁻¹. In graded levels of iron (Factor-I) the highest dry matter was recorded with 29.51 g pot⁻¹ under the treatment of 100% RDF + 60 mg FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil, Further, in bio-inoculant (Factor-II) application the highest dry matter was recorded with

Comment [N57]: delete

Comment [N58]: check grammer

27.45 g pot⁻¹ under the treatment of 100% RDF + *Azospirillum lipoferum* and the lowest value was recorded in control (only RDF).

This increasing in fresh yield may be due to RDF and micronutrient such as iron are reported to enhance nitrogen deprivation in leaves increases sugar and dietary fibres accumulation, which leads to an increase in leaf dry matter content. Nitrogen application rate not only control LDMC but also regulates dry matter allocation between roots and shoot of plants (Nishchita *et al.* 2021).

Comment [N59]: check grammer

Comment [N60]: ??

Phosphorous content in sorrel leaf

There is data showed in table-4, as data seems significant effect of graded levels of iron and siderophore producing microbes on phosphorous content of sorrel leaf. The effect of iron levels showed significant on phosphorous content by sorrel leaf. The highest P content was recorded (0.96%) by the treatment of 100% + 60 mg FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil. The highest P content in plant found in pot inoculated with 100%RDF + *Azospirillum lipoferum* (0.62%). The lowest P content recorded in control (Uninoculated) pots.

Comment [N61]: Write the sentence correctly

Comment [N62]: in

Table 4: Effect of graded iron level and siderophore producing microorganisms on potassium and iron content and uptake by sorrel leaf

Treatments	Phosphorous(P) content in plant (%)	Potassium(K) content in plant (%)	Iron (Fe) content In plant (mg kg ⁻¹)
Levels of iron (FeSO₄5H₂O) (Fe)			
Fe0: 0 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil (only RDF)	0.54	1.21	313.97
Fe1: 20 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	0.54	1.24	313.46
Fe2: 40 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	0.61	1.25	333.61
Fe3: 60 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	0.69	1.30	335.38
S.E±	0.008	0.009	4.1610
C.D. at 5%	0.022	0.025	12.032
Siderophore producing microbes (S)			
S0: (Uninoculated)	0.56	1.23	277.95
S1: <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i>	0.59	1.24	340.49
S2: <i>Azospirillum Lipoferum</i>	0.62	1.28	340.18
S3: <i>Bacillus lichneformis</i>	0.60	1.25	337.79
S.E±	0.008	0.009	4.1610
C.D. at 5%	0.022	0.025	12.032
Interaction(Fe x S)			
S.E±	0.015	0.018	8.330
C.D. at 5%	0.44	0.049	24.06

Bairwa *et al.*, (2009) revealed that the combined use of organic manures, bio-inoculants and chemical fertilizers showed the remarkable improvement in N, P and K content of plant leaves of okra plant under the treatment of neem cake (6 q ha⁻¹) + vermicompost (10 q ha⁻¹) + *Azotobacter* + PSB + 60% recommended dose of NPK through inorganic fertilizer(T15) with 2.275 %, 1.060% 1.443% of N,P and K nutrient respectively influence of combined application of nutrient through integrated nutrient management as compared to control. Kumawat (2010), reported that application of organic manures with bio-inoculants at different combination levels show significantly increased N, P and K content of seed and straw of N, P and K. The maximum content of N, P and K were recorded under the treatment of FYM 5t ha⁻¹+Vermicompost2.5t ha⁻¹ +*Rhizobium* + PSB treatment with N, P and K content were 1.076 %, 0.180-

Comment [N63]: ??

Comment [N64]: ???

Comment [N65]: ??

Comment [N66]: Write clear sentence

Comment [N67]: Check the sentence

0.259 % and 1.41% in straw of N,P and K respectively which were significantly highest as compare to control

Comment [N68]: Check the sentence

Potassium Content in Sorrel Leaves

The K content in plant has influenced by both FeSO_4 and bio-inoculants. The data related to potassium content in sorrel leaves showed in table-4. In which, Factor-I, application of RDF chemical fertilizer along with iron levels exhibited direct effect on K concentration. The highest being 1.30% and 0.38 g pot^{-1} K content and uptake respectively was recorded with 100% RDF + 60 mg $\text{FeSO}_4 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ soil. While, in bio-inoculant (Factor-II) application the maximum K content and uptake was recorded 1.28%, 0.38 g pot^{-1} under the treatment of 100% RDF + *Azospirillum lipoferum*. The lowest K content and uptake recorded in control (only RDF).

Comment [N69]: potassium

Comment [N70]: Check grmmmer

Comment [N71]: ??

Comment [N72]: application,

Comment [N73]: Was recorded

Comment [N74]: ???

Comment [N75]: Availability of what?

Comment [N76]: delete

Increased potassium content was might be due to increased availability from added supply of inorganic nutrient fertilizer. And this added potassium reduction of potassium fixation in soil and make increase in soil for uptake by plants also these potassium also regulates nutrient transports and inoculation of siderophore producing microorganisms, these siderophore help in mineralization, solubilization and greater absorption by root surface area. Moreover, Ribeiro and Cardoso, (2012) found that the seed inoculation with different bacterial isolates which improve significantly in nutrient content as well as uptake.

Comment [N77]: sentence is not clear and check grammar

Comment [N78]: write correct sentence

Iron content in sorrel leaf

The data presented in table-5 showed significant effect of biofortification of iron in sorrel leaf with iron levels and siderophore producing microbes on iron content of sorrel leaf. The effect of iron levels showed significant on iron content by sorrel leaf. The highest iron content (335.38mg kg^{-1}) and uptake (9.92 mg pot^{-1}) was recorded under the treatment of 100% + 60 mg kg^{-1} soil FeSO_4 . Followed by, the 100% RDF+ 40 mg $\text{FeSO}_4 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ soil (333.61mg kg^{-1}) content. The highest Fe content (340.49mg kg^{-1}) in plant found in pot inoculated with 100%RDF + *Azotobacter chroococcum* and RDF+ *Azospirillum lipoferum* for respectively and at par with treatment of 100% RDF+ *Azospirillum lipoferum* with content (340.18 g kg^{-1}). The lowest iron content recorded in control pots.

Comment [N79]: write correct sentence

Comment [N80]: ?

Comment [N81]: 60mg $\text{FeSO}_4 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ soil

Comment [N82]: followed

Comment [N83]: ???

Comment [N84]: ?

Comment [N85]: delete

Iron rich biofortified sorrel leaf crop was successfully obtained through the addition of iron via foliar application of ferrous sulphate, foliar application proved to be efficient for iron absorption as the absorption mainly occurs mainly through the stomata and iron involved in activation of several enzymes and hormones. It is possible to be triggered by phloem signal generating in the shoots that interact with hormones to balance the uptake of mineral nutrients from soil (Mortvedt, 1986). And on the other hand siderophore producing microbes also makes available iron, acidification of the rhizosphere, which ultimately increase iron content, and uptake, this facilitate iron uptake by both enhancement of the reductase activity and solubilization of iron in the rhizosphere. In the experiment increasing in iron content and uptake might be due to additional application of iron fertilizer along with siderophore. These siderophore make more available in soil for plant through solubilization of insoluble soil iron. Experiment result shows that, *Azospirillum lipoferum* and *Azotobacter chroococcum* also produce the high % of siderophore and also increase the nutrient content and uptake of iron.

Comment [N86]: write meaningful correct sentence

Comment [N87]: check grammar and improve the sentences

Zinc content in sorrel leaf

The data showed in table 5 seems significant effect of graded levels of iron and siderophore producing microbes on zinc content and uptake of sorrel leaf. The effect of iron levels showed significant on zinc content by sorrel leaf. The highest zinc content (45.74mg kg^{-1}) and uptake (1.35mg pot^{-1}) was

Comment [N88]: ?

Comment [N89]: Write correctly

recorded (0.96%) under the treatment of 100% + 60 mg FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil and at par with (43.98mg kg⁻¹ zinc content) 100%RDF +40 mg FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil. The highest zinc content (44.84g kg⁻¹) and uptake (1.30g pot⁻¹) in plant found in pot inoculated with 100%RDF + *Azospirillum lipoferum* and followed by *Azotobacter chroococcum*, *Bacillus licheniformis*. The lowest zinc content and uptake recorded in control pots.

Increases zinc content and uptake by sorrel leaf might be due to application of inorganic fertilizer such as urea because nitrogen and zinc are positively correlated to each other. And also iron involved in activation of several enzymes and hormones it's possible to triggered by phloem signal generating in the shoots that interact with hormones to balance the uptake of minerals nutrient from soil (Mortvedt, 1986)^[13]. And also its possible might be due to inoculation of siderophore microbes increased in Zn content and uptake by plant. They help in accumulation of more nutrients in leaves with metabolization and root assimilation of nutrients at rhizosphere.

Table 5: Effect of graded level iron and siderophore producing micro-organisms on Zn and Cu content by sorrel leaf

Treatments	Zinc	Copper
	Content (mg kg ⁻¹)	Content Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)
Levels of iron (FeSO₄·5H₂O) (Fe)		
Fe0: 0 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil (only control)	40.23	19.97
Fe1: 40 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	41.02	21.35
Fe2: 40 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	43.98	21.40
Fe3: 60 mg FeSO ₄ kg ⁻¹ soil	45.74	23.12
S.E±	0.616	0.255
C.D. at 5%	1.780	1.736
Siderophore producing microbes (S)		
S0: Uninoculated(Control)	37.39	20.78
S1: <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i>	44.18	21.68
S2: <i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i>	44.84	21.78
S3: <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	44.55	21.59
S.E±	0.616	0.255
C.D. at 5%	1.780	1.736
Interaction(Fe x S)		
S.E±	1.233	0.51
C.D. at 5%	3.56	NS

Copper content and uptake in sorrel leaf

There was significant effect of graded levels of copper and siderophore producing microbes on copper content and uptake of sorrel leaf. As table 5, the effect of iron levels showed significant on copper content and uptake by sorrel leaf. The highest copper content (23.12 mg kg⁻¹) and uptake (0.68 g pot⁻¹) was recorded under the treatment of 100% + 60 mg kg⁻¹ soil FeSO₄. The highest copper content

Comment [N90]: 100% RDF

Comment [N91]: delete

Comment [N92]: was recorded

Comment [N93]: ?

Comment [N94]: Sentence is not started with "and also"

Comment [N95]: Sentence is not started with "and also"

Comment [N96]: In the

Comment [N97]: Was there in any graded levels of copper in the treatment?

Comment [N98]: ?

Comment [N99]: Write as mentioned earlier

(21.78mg kg⁻¹) and uptake (0.60g pot⁻¹) in plant found in pot inoculated with 100%RDF + *Azospirillum lipoferum* and followed by 100%RDF + *Azotobacter chroococcum*(21.68mg kg⁻¹, 0.59g pot⁻¹), 100% RDF + *Bacillus licheniformis* (21.59mg kg⁻¹). The lowest copper content and uptake recorded in control pots.

Iron involved in activation of several enzymes and hormones it's possible to trigger by phloem signal generating in the shoots that interact with hormones to balance the uptake of minerals nutrient from soil (Mortvedt, 1986)^[13]. And also its possible might be due to inoculation of siderophore microbes. They help in accumulation of more nutrients in leaves with metabolization and root assimilation of nutrients at rhizosphere.

Conclusion

Significant effect of graded levels of iron up to 60 mg FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil was observed and siderophore producing microbe's culture particularly *Azospirillum lipoferum* was noted on all growth attributes such as plant height, leaves number, fresh leaves yield and dry matter yield over the other treatments. The content and uptake of potassium and micronutrients (Fe, Zn, and Cu) were found increasing with gradually increasing levels of FeSO₄ kg⁻¹ soil and siderophore producing microbes particularly *Azospirillum lipoferum*, *Azotobacter chroococcum* treated pots as compared to control (only RDF).

Comment [N100]: Delete "and"

Comment [N101]: Repeating sentence and check grammar

Comment [N102]: ???

Comment [N103]: Write clearly with correct sentence

Bairwa, H.L., Shukla, V.L., Mahawer, N., Kaushik, R.A., Shukla, K.B. & Ameta, K.D. (2009). Response of Integrated Nutrient Management on yield, quality and physico-chemical characteristics of okra. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 66(3): 310-314.

Boukhalfa, H. & Crumbliss, A. (2002). Chemical aspects of siderophore mediated iron transport. Biometals.15: 325-339.

Dawwam, G.E., Elbeltagy Emara, A.H.M., Abbas, I.H., & Hassan, M.M. (2013). Beneficial effect of plant growth promoting bacteria isolated from the roots of potato plant. Annals Agricultural Sciences.58: 195–201.

Delshadi, S., Ebrahimi, M. & Shirmohamma, D.E. (2017). Influence of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on germination, growth and nutrient uptake of *Onobrychi sativa* L. under drought stress. Journal of Plant Interaction.12: 200-2008.

Eleiwa, M.E., Hamed, E.R. & Shehata, H.S. (2012). The role of biofertilizer and or some micronutrients on wheat plant growth in newly reclaimed soil. African Journal of Ecology. 6: 3359-3369.

Gioia, D., Spyridon, A.P., Monica, O.H., Kelly, Morgan & Erin N. Roskopf. (2019). Zinc and iron agronomic biofortification of *Brassicacea emicogreens*. Francesco Agronomy. 9: 677.

Grover, M., Pandey, A.K., Mishra, B.K., Lata & Roy, R.C. (2009). Sugarcane crops: plant growth promoting bacteria in growth, yield and productivity in sugarbeet crops: growth, fertilization and yield. Hertsburg Claus T(Eds). New York, Nova Sciences Publishers Inc. 135-51.

- Haymer, D. (2017). Genetic and insect pest management in agriculture cab reviews: perspectives in agriculture, Veterinary Sciences, Nutrition and Natural Resource. 10, 49. Hawaii, USA. CAB International.
- Kaur, H., Gosal, S.K. & Walia, S.S. (2017). Synergistic effect of organic, inorganic and biofertilizers on soil microbial activities in rhizospheric soil of green pea. Annual Research and Review in Biology. 12 (4): 1-11.
- Khalid, A.H. & Joo, Jinho (2017). Stimulation purification and chemical characters of siderophore produced by the rhizospheric bacteria strain *Pseudomonas putida*. Rhizosphere. 4(2): 16-21.
- Khalid, S., Asghar, H.N., Akhtar, M.J., Aslam, A. & Zahir, Z.A. (2015). Biofortification of iron in chickpea by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 47(3):1191-1194.
- Kim, J. & Seem, D.C. (1992). Structural models for the metal centres in the nitrogenase molybdenum-iron protein. Sciences. 257: 1677-1682.
- Kloepper, J.W., Lifshitz, R. & Zablotowicz, R.M. (1989). Free-living bacterial inoculation for enhancing crop productivity. Trends in Biotechnology. 7(2): 39-44.
- Kumawat, Sita. (2010). Effect of Organic Nutrition Under Different Levels of Irrigation on the Performance of Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum graecum* L.) (Master's Thesis) Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner. Retrieved from <https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&url=http://14.139.51.37/centrallibrary/admin/book/7e75045a91SitaKumawat.pdf&web=ahUKEwjxyKym0rzyAhUlxzgGHUpTBfQQFnoECA0QAQ&usg=AOvVaw3xbKgi4r44e5vqCD-ZSPZb>.
- Mortvedt, J.J. (1986). Iron sources and management practices from correcting iron chlorosis problems. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 9: 961-974.
- Nishchita, H.N., Mercy. O.I., Samuel. K.A., Vasantha, R.H.P. & Lord, Abbey (2021). Plant growth and nutritional quality attributes of *Basella alba* applied with variable rates of nitrogen fertilizer at different planting dates under Canadian maritime climatic condition. Hindawi International Journal of Agronomy. 1: 1-11.
- Rana, A., Joshi, M., Prasanna, R., Shivay, Y.S. & Nain, L. (2012). Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria and Cyanobacteria. European Journal of Soil Biology. 50: 118-126.
- Rao, K.N.V., Sunitha C., Sandhya, S. & Rajeshwar, T. (2012). Anthelmintic activity of different extracts on aerial parts of *Rumex vesicarius* lin. Nalanda College of Pharmacy, Nalgonda, Andhra Pradesh, India. 12(1): 10.
- Ribeiro, C.M. & Cardoso, E.J. (2011). Isolation, selection and characterization of root associated growth promoting bacteria in Brazil Pine. Microbiology Research. 167: 69-78.
- Rout, G.R. & Sahoo, Sunita. (2015). Role of iron in plant growth and metabolism. Reviews in Agricultural Sciences. 3: 1-24.
- Schwyn, B. & Neilands, J.B. (1987). Universal chemical assay for the detection and determination of siderophores. Analytical Biochemistry. 160: 47-56.

- Shuman, L.M. (1998). Micronutrient Fertilizers. *Journal of Crop Production*. 1(2): 165-195.
- Singh, S. & Kapoor, K.K. (1999). Inoculation with phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and a *Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizal* fungus improves dry matter yield and nutrient uptake by wheat grown in a sandy soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*.28: 139-144.
- Suryavanshi, S.S., Waikar, S.L. & Ajabe, M.A. (2020). Response of iron and zinc fortification on growth, yield and quality of spinach. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 9(4): 2040-2043.
- Vejan, P., Abdullah, R., & Khadian T. (2016). Role of PGPR in agriculture sustainability. A Review *Molecules*. 21: 1-17.