

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_83118
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between soil properties, content and dynamics of cadmium in Creole cocoa genotype grown organically in Bocas del Toro – Panama
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<div>1. The author should check manuscript according to Grammarly, there are more than 200 corrections are needed to check in Grammarly.</div> <div>2. The references are not according to journal format.</div> <div>3. Figure 1 should be reconstructed, the figure confused and no clear indicate sampling areas.</div> <div>4. Figure 2 must be merged both figures are separate.</div> <div>5. Figure 3 should be clear; the reviewer is confused actually what it indicates.</div> <div>6. It is suggested to author if he has pictures of lab work or sampling pictures. The manuscript will be more authentic and researcher will read it the actual work.</div> <div>7. However, the manuscript highlights vast knowledge soil properties, and dynamics of cadmium. Concluding, the reviewer suggested to the editor accept this manuscript after minor revision.</div>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Figures and References must be revised	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript should be checked according to Grammarly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shoukat Ali Shah
Department, University & Country	Mehran University of Engineering and Technology Jamshoro, Pakistan