

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_83093
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic analysis of avocado (Persea americana L.) genotypes for fruit and nutritive traits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The paper lacks a clearly stated research aim. Please complete it. Try to relate the results obtained to previous studies published in international journals.</p> <p>The English of the manuscript is relatively poor. There are often sentences with the same construction. Please try to replace similar words with synonyms. Furthermore, I recommend checking and improving the English of the entire paper.</p> <p>Editing comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• In the materials and methods section, you write lower Pulneys in lower case in one sentence and upper case in another. Please standardise this.• In the abstract, you cite the place of the experiment as Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Thadiyankudisai. Please also add the country's name in which this University is located.• I think in the abstract, the word “co efficient of variance” should be written together, i.e., coefficient of variance—the same in the text of the manuscript (e.g. chapter materials and methods).	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joanna Kocięcka
Department, University & Country	Poznań University of Life Sciences, Poland