

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_82436
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of compost along with bio agents on root knot nematode (Meloidogyne spp.) in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L., Walp)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The following are the suggestions of the document in general:</p> <p>Throughout the document, the values for the means, must be accompanied by the typical or standard error.</p> <p>In Materials and Methods, the software used for the statistical analysis should be indicated.</p> <p>In the results tables it must be shown the letters of significance and under the table describe the test for the comparison of means (Example: Tukey, Duncan, among others).</p> <p>The graphs must be shown without horizontal background lines. Leave the bars in grayscale and put the Standard Error bars on them.</p> <p>Show a figure of the pathogenic nematode identified and their respective structures that allowed it to be done.</p> <p>Make a more scientific interpretation of the results (it is very basic); and therefore, a deeper discussion of each of the variables with their respective bibliographic references (updated).</p> <p>80% of the literature cited must be from the last 5 years.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jorge Enrique Villamil Carvajal
Department, University & Country	Colombian Agricultural Research Corporation – AGROSAVIA, Colombia