

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_81814
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies of genetic parameters for yield, yield contributing and morphological characters in soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are some important points that must be clarified in the work presented: 1. First add two lines about the importance and use of the soyabean in the abstract. 2. Add the figures and graphs of the research in result section 3. Describe the objectives in a comprehensive paragraph with end of future prospect. 4. Split the hard sentences to read 5. Keep the results and discussion to the point and concise along with latest citations. 6. All references should be similar and according to the journal author guidelines. Revised all	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are some important points that must be clarified in the work presented: 1. Correct "(Glycine max (L.) Merr.)" with "[<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr.]" in the whole article 2. Correct "per cent" with "%" in the whole article. 3. Space issue between words and paragraphs 4. Add highlighted words in the article 5. Remove the highlighted lines 6. Adjust the table in portrait orientation with the same page size of the text in the result section	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There are some important points that must be clarified in the work presented: 1. Replace the thirty five with thirty-five	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Shan
Department, University & Country	MNS University of Agriculture Multan, Pakistan