

Original Research Article

Association analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L) Moench]

Relationship between sorghum genotypic characteristics [*Sorghum bicolor* (L) Moench] and yield in Coimbatore, India

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [A1]: I recommend that the authors change the title of the manuscript

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Spanish (International Sort)

ABSTRACT

Knowledge about the association between grain yield and yield contributing traits is important for sorghum development programs. Thus, the aim of this study was to determine correlations and path-coefficients between grain yield per plant and yield contributing traits. The experiment was conducted during Kharif 2019 in the Department of millets, TNAU, Coimbatore, India by using nine parents and twenty hybrids to study the genotypic correlations on the basis of seventeen traits. Analysis of variance evinced significant variation for all the traits under study. In correlation studies, the grain yield was positively associated with plant height (0.603), leaf length (0.613), leaf area index (0.501), flag leaf length (0.529), panicle length (0.608), panicle weight (0.930) and hundred seed weight (0.643). In path analysis, the traits leaf length, flag leaf length, panicle length, panicle weight and hundred seed weight exposed highly direct and indirect effects. Selection for a trait is effective when both the correlation and direct effect are higher and positive as this indicates its true association. Hence this investigation revealed flag leaf length, panicle length, panicle weight and hundred seed weight exhibited positive association and direct effect on grain yield, which indicates that the selection towards these characters will improve the yield.

Key words: Association, correlation, path and yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Among the farming community sorghum is one of the good choices as a food and fodder crop [81]. Because it was renowned as the more tolerant to many stresses viz., drought, heat, flooding and salinity when compared to other major cereal crops [72] and prominently occupied in the arid and semi-arid zone of the world. It was labeled as a 'Nutritional Grain' because of its high nutritional profile [23]. As the world's population and livestock increase, poverty will become more prevalent in the future. The research claims that strengthening productivity is the key to alleviating poverty. This necessitates the development of an appropriate method for achieving high yield potential. Exploring the links between economically beneficial traits is a feasible method to boost productivity in a short span of time.

The mutual interactions between each character over the other character possess an important role because traits that exhibit a defining pattern of association play an important role in the selection of varieties. Even though the association among the two traits can be phenotypic, genotypic, or environmental, but only genotype correlations are inheritable in nature [4, 42]. By using simple correlation coefficients, the simple linear relationships among

Comment [A2]: The citation must be in order of appearance in the text, that is, that citation would be number 1.

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [A3]: [2]

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [A4]: [3]

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

the yield and yield contributing traits are measured. The total correlation may sometimes mislead selection because of pleiotropy [5, 46]. Correlation is simply a measure of association; does it offer conclusions about the effect and cause and it does not provide inferences about the type of relationship that regulates the character pair Y/X [6, 7, 8, 4]. As a result, indirect selection based on correlated response may not always be successful. This necessitates a further split of the correlation coefficients into non-linear, referred to as path-coefficients [8, 45]. In this sense, path analysis allows the partition of correlation coefficient in direct and indirect effects [9, 47]. This is due to the fact that as the number of variables influencing a dependent variable grows, so does the interdependence among those variables. As a result, using this statistical technique, it can assess the environmental effect on character interrelationships. The goal of this study was to find genetic relationships between characteristics and perform path analysis between yield and yield contributing traits.

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Plant materials, traits studied and experimental site: The present investigation was to study the correlation, path analysis for yield and yield contributing characters during Kharif 2019 in the Department of millets, TNAU, Coimbatore, India located at a latitude 11.0231N, longitude 76.9286E. The genetic material of the present study comprises of twenty hybrids which were produced through L x T fashion by five diverse lines (CO(S) 28, CO 30, CSV 27, K 12 and SPV 2424) ~~were~~ were crossed on to each of the four testers (IS 88, IS 18551, IS 9807 and SPV 759). In each replication at physiological maturity, the biometrical observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants and the average per plant was taken for various yield and its attributing traits viz., grain yield per plant (g), plant height (cm), stem diameter (mm), days to fifty % flowering, number of nodes/plant, number of leaves/plant, leaf length (cm), leaf breadth (cm), leaf area index, flag leaf length, flag leaf breath, flag leaf area, panicle length (cm), panicle exertion (cm), panicle weight (cm), days to maturity and hundred seed weight (g).

Comment [A5]: The characteristics of the climate, soil and agroecological conditions of the study area are not mentioned.

Comment [A6]: mention them

2.2 Statistical analysis: The association study showed the direction of selection for better performing genotypes. The correlation for yield and yield component characters in sorghum are presented in (Table 1). Correlation analysis was performed using the Spss software. Pearson's correlation coefficients (R) were computed at a significance level of $P < .05$ and .01. For all the traits under study the path analyses were estimated as per [10, 6]. ~~The. The~~ direct and indirect effect for yield and yield component traits in sorghum are presented in (Table 2). The analysis was carried out by TNAU-STAT software.

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

[This manuscript does not discuss the results obtained, it does not explain the reasons for the results and the relationships, therefore, I suggest the authors add a couple of discussion paragraphs with other papers.](#)

[The manuscript is interested. However, there are some comments that should be considered before publication, in this way the scientific quality of the manuscript would be improved.](#)

[Authors should consider the corrections suggested by the reviewers. In this way the postulated manuscript would be presented reasonably, fluently reading.](#)

Formatted: List Paragraph

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, English (U.K.), Not All caps

3.1 Correlation analysis: For all of the traits, analysis of variance revealed significant ($P < .01$) differences among the parents and hybrids, indicating a wide range of variability present understudy. The correlation coefficient estimates the extent and direction of association between the studied traits. The study's findings revealed that, while genotypic correlations are a strong intrinsic link between the traits, their manifestation is limited due to environmental influences. If the analyzed characters have insignificant genotypic values indicates the character's independence in nature. Genotypic correlation coefficients between the various traits, computed are presented in Table 1. The grain yield per plant showed a highly direct positive association ($P < 0.01$) with plant height (0.603), leaf length (0.613), leaf area index (0.501), flag leaf length (0.529), panicle length (0.608), panicle weight (0.930) and hundred seed weight (0.643). Regarding inter-correlation only for important traits with highly significant association ($r = > 0.6$) are discussed below here. The Plant height had a positive significant correlation with panicle length (0.643) and panicle weight (0.725). The direct association exhibited between days to fifty % flowering with days to maturity (0.668), which shows that duration of days to fifty % flowering is less, the early maturity will occur and give short duration variety vice versa. Leaf/flag leaf length and breadth expressed a highly positive significant association with leaf area index/flag leaf area. This means that when there are a lot of leaves, there's a lot of surface area for photosynthesis; more photosynthesis means more photosynthates, and more yield [11, 4]. The high positive significant genotypic correlation coefficient was observed between panicle length with panicle weight (0.697). The Panicle weight had a strong association with a hundred seed weight (0.628). Thus, the correlation coefficient study indicated that the plant height, leaf length, leaf area index flag leaf length panicle length panicle weight and hundred seed weight are important characters in deciding the grain yield per plant. Hence these traits could be used as selection indices in a sorghum breeding program. This finding is in agreement with [8, 12, 13, 14, 10, 11, 14 & 15].

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

3.2 Path analysis: The simple correlation coefficient is merely a measure of the degree of relationship between two traits; it does not reveal the cause of that link. But path analysis is the ultimate source for determining the causes, measurements, and relative contributions of causal factors when studying the causal basis of association. The direct and indirect effects of characteristics on yield are measured using path analysis. In path analysis, only fifteen traits are used except leaf area index and flag leaf area. When yield and yield components were partitioned into direct and indirect impacts (Table 2), it was revealed that direct effect exhibited in panicle length (0.497), leaf length (0.423) and hundred seed weight (0.310) panicle weight (0.299) expressed highly positive direct effect. Among the indirect effects of various traits on grain yield per plant the panicle weight exposed a high indirect effect on grain yield per plant via panicle length (0.421). If panicle length increases the number of grains per panicle and the number of primary branches also increase, which indirectly increase panicle weight. Leaf breadth had a positive high indirect effect with leaf length (0.305). Increases in leaf length and breadth directly increase leaf surface, if LAI is increase increasing the photosynthesis efficiency meanwhile it increases the grain yield per plant [15] (Alhassan et al., 2008). Flag leaf length exposed indirect effect on grain yield via panicle length (0.301). During grain filling stage in cereals the flag leaf contributes 41 to 43% to grain weight [16, 9]. Removal of flag leaf results in a significant reduction of grain yield [17, 13]. The residual value is about 0.2183. The low residual value indicates that there is are sufficient numbers of yield contributing traits are recorded. The above result exhibited that the traits viz., leaf length, flag leaf length, panicle length, panicle weight and hundred seed weight will directly or indirectly affect the grain yield per plant, this are all the important traits while selection and breeding programmes. The results from present study are in agreement with the reports by [8, 12, 13, 10, 11 & 14]. The differences in the study material, techniques, and environmental conditions could explain some of the minor inconsistencies between these past studies and the current one.

Comment [A7]: include in the list of references

Comment [A8]: conform to citation standards

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight

It is necessary to discuss these results, with emphasis on the causes of the matter. Therefore I recommend citing the scientific manuscripts.

Formatted: Highlight

Sorghum is a crop that requires less water than corn, for this reason it has potential as a forage plant that can be grown in this region, since in addition its nutritional value is equal to or slightly higher compared to corn, and with a productive response superior [18, 19].(Olivares et al. 2018; Bertorelli and Olivares, 2020).

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Despite the above, and in particular under semi-arid conditions in the study area, the species by itself cannot guarantee high yields, so it is necessary to accompany its sowing with adequate in situ water catchment practices, of rain, which have implicit techniques that, in addition to making better use of rain (because it increases the amount of water available to plants) [20, 21, 22](Olivares 2018; Olivares et al. 2017; Olivares et al. 2017, followed by practices that help conserve the soil, with the consequent benefits [23, 24, 25].(Olivares, 2016; Olivares et al. 2018; Olivares et al. 2018)

Formatted: Space After: 12 pt

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

±

Certain environmental characteristics of the region under study determine that during the day there are high temperatures and during the night cooler (lower), which, for a C-4 photosynthesis type species such as sorghum, is extremely important for the production of matter, dry, since there are temperatures within the optimal during the day for photosynthesis, and on the other hand, low temperatures at night reduce respiratory rates and consequently the production of dry matter is more efficient [26, 27, 28](Olivares et al. 2021; Olivares and Hernández, 2020; Casana, and Olivares, B. 2020), this added to the edaphic factors not studied in this research may have a direct effect on the yield of sorghum, as reported by studies oriented to the influence of soil conditions in productivity in environments like the one in our study [29, 30](Olivares et al. 2020; Olivares et al. 2022).

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

4. CONCLUSION

This investigation suggested that most of the traits evaluated were positively associated. Among them flag leaf length, panicle length, panicle weight and hundred seed weight ~~has~~have significant positive correlation and direct effect on grain yield. Therefore, while planning a breeding programme for increased yield per plant, due consideration should be given to these traits.

REFERENCES

Comment [A9]: Most of the references are very old, I suggest updating them with the following references

1. Elangovan M & Babu P. K. Genetic variability and diversity of sorghum landraces collected from uttar pradesh, India. Indian Journal of plant genetic resources. 2015;28(2), 213-221. Al Hassan M, Fuertes M. M, Sánchez F. J. R, Vicente O & Boscaiu M. Effects of salt and water stress on plant growth and on accumulation of osmolytes and antioxidant compounds in cherry tomato. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca, 2015;43(1):1-11.

2. Aruna C. R, Ratnavathi C. V, Suguna M, Ranga B, Praveen Kumar P, Annapurna A & Toapi V. A. Genetic variability and GxE interactions for total polyphenol content and antioxidant activity in white and red sorghums (Sorghum bicolor). Plant Breeding, 2020;139(4):119-130.

Formatted: English (U.S.)

3. Barili L. D, Do Vale N. M, Morais P. P. P, Da Cruz Baldissera J. N, De Almeida C. B, Da Rocha F & Guidolin A. F Correlação fenotípica entre componentes do rendimento de grãos de feijão comum (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*, 2011;32(4):1263-1273.
4. Coimbra J. L. M, Benin G, Vioira E.A, Oliveira A.C, Carvalho F.I.F, Guidolin A.F, Soares A.P. Consequências da multicolinearidade sobre a análise de trilha canola. *Ciência Rural*. 2005;35:347-352.
5. De Oliveira T. C, Tardin F, Galbiati C, Poletino J, Dos Santos P. R. J, Gonçalves D. D. L & Da Silva V. P. Correlations between characters and path analysis in sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) genotypes for juice production. *Embrapa Milho e Sorgo- Artigo em periódico indexado (ALICE)*. 2021.
6. Dewey D. R., & Lu K. A Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis of Components of Crested Wheatgrass Seed Production 1. *Agronomy journal*, 1959;51(9): 515-518.
7. Ejeta G & Knoll J. E. Marker-assisted selection in sorghum. In *Genomics-assisted crop improvement*. Springer, Dordrecht. 2007; pp. 187-205.
8. Elangovan M & Babu P. K. Genetic variability and diversity of sorghum landraces collected from uttar pradesh, India. *Indian Journal of plant genetic resources*, 2015;28(2), 213-221.
9. Ibrahim H. A, H A, I, & R A A. E. The relative contribution of different wheat leaves and awns to the grain yield and its protein content. 1977.
10. Kavya P, Rao V. S, Vijayalakshmi B, Srekanth B, Radhakrishna Y, & Umar S. N. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] for ethanol yield. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 2020;9(2): 2407-2410.
11. Nirosh P. V, Yuvaraja A, Thangaraj K & Menaka C. Genetic variability and association studies in segregating generation of red sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) population. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 2021;12(2): 521-524.
12. Nogueira A. P. O, Sedyama T, De Sousa L. B, Hamawaki O. T, Cruz C. D & Pereira D. G. Análise de trilha e correlações entre caracteres em soja cultivada em duas épocas de semeadura. *Bioscience Journal*, 2021;28(6).
13. Patterson F. L & Ohm, H. W. Compensating Ability of Awns in Soft Red Winter Wheat 1. *Crop Science*. 1975;15(3): 403-407.
14. Ravali K, Jahagirdar J. E & Dhutmal R. R. Correlation and path analysis in relation to drought tolerance in rabi sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). 2021.
15. Thant S, Kumari P, Pahuja S, Tokas J & Yashveer S. Identification of dual type sorghum genotypes based on correlation and path coefficient studies. 2021.
16. Vendruscolo T. P. S, Barilli M. A. A, Castrillon M. A, Da Silva R. S, De Oliveira F. T, Corrêa C. L & Tardin F. Correlation and path analysis of biomass sorghum production. *Embrapa Milho e Sorgo- Artigo em periódico indexado (ALICE)*. 2016.
17. Wright S. Correlation and Causation. *Journal of Agricultural Research*. 1921.

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Space After: 12 pt

2. Ejeta G & Knoll J. E. Marker-assisted selection in sorghum. In *Genomics-assisted crop improvement*. Springer, Dordrecht. 2007; pp. 187-205.

Formatted: Justified

3. Aruna C. R, Ratnavathi C. V, Suguna M, Ranga B, Praveen Kumar P, Annapurna A & Toapi V. A. Genetic variability and GxE interactions for total polyphenol content and antioxidant activity in white and red sorghums (*Sorghum bicolor*). *Plant Breeding*, 2020;139(1):119-130.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

4. Nogueira A. P. O, Sediyaama T, De Sousa L. B, Hamawaki O. T, Cruz C. D & Pereira D. G. Análise de trilha e correlações entre caracteres em soja cultivada em duas épocas de semeadura. *Bioscience Journal*, 2021;28(6).

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

5. Vendruscolo T. P. S, Barelli M. A. A, Castrillon M. A, Da Silva R. S, De Oliveira F. T, Corrêa C. L & Tardin F. Correlation and path analysis of biomass sorghum production. *Embrapa Milho e Sorgo-Artigo em periódico indexado (ALICE)*.2016.

6. Barili L. D, Do Vale N. M, Morais P. P. P, Da Cruz Baldissera J. N, De Almeida C. B, Da Rocha F & Guidolin A. F. Correlação fenotípica entre componentes do rendimento de grãos de feijão comum (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*, 2011;32(4):1263-1273.

7. Coimbra J. L. M, Benin G, Vieira E.A, Oliveira A.C, Carvalho F.I.F, Guidolin A.F, Soares A.P. Consequências da multicolinearidade sobre a análise de trilha canola. *Ciência Rural*. 2005;35:347-352.

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

8. Thant S, Kumari P, Pahuja S, Tokas J & Yashveer S. Identification of dual type sorghum genotypes based on correlation and path coefficient studies.2021.

Comment [A10]: Complet it

9. Wright S. Correlation and Causation. *Journal of Agricultural Research*.1921.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

10. Dewey D. R, & Lu K. A Correlation and Path- Coefficient Analysis of Components of Crested Wheatgrass Seed Production 1. *Agronomy journal*, 1959;51(9): 515-518.

11. Al Hassan M, Fuertes M. M, Sánchez F. J. R, Vicente O & Boscaiu M. Effects of salt and water stress on plant growth and on accumulation of osmolytes and antioxidant compounds in cherry tomato. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca*, 2015;43(1):1-11.

12. Kavya P, Rao V. S, Vijayalakshmi B, Sreekanth B, Radhakrishna Y, & Umar S. N. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Monech] for ethanol yield. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 2020;9(2): 2407-2410.

13. Niros P. V, Yuvaraja A, Thangaraj K & Menaka C. Genetic variability and association studies in segregating generation of red sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) population. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 2021;12(2): 521-524.

Formatted: English (U.S.)

14. Ravali K, Jahagirdar J. E & Dhutmal R. R. Correlation and path analysis in relation to drought tolerance in rabi sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). 2021.

Comment [A11]: include in the list of references

Comment [A12]: conform to citation standards

15. Alhassan et al., 2008).

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: English (U.S.)

16. Ibrahim H. A, H A. I, & R A A. E. The relative contribution of different wheat leaves and awns to the grain yield and its protein content. 1977.

Formatted: Justified

17. Patterson F. L & Ohm, H. W. Compensating Ability of Awns in Soft Red Winter Wheat 1. Crop Science. 1975;15(3): 403-407.

18. Bertorelli, M., B.O., Olivares, B.O., 2020. Population fluctuation of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in sorghum cultivation in Southern Anzoátegui, Venezuela. Journal of Agriculture University of Puerto Rico, 2020; 104(1):1-16. <https://doi.org/10.46429/jaupr.v104i1.18283>

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Spanish (International Sort)

Formatted: Justified, No bullets or numbering

Formatted

Formatted: Justified

Formatted

19. Olivares, B., Hernández, R., Arias, A., Molina, J.C., Pereira, Y. 2018. Zonificación agroclimática del cultivo de maíz para la sostenibilidad de la producción agrícola en Carabobo, Venezuela. Revista Universitaria de Geografía. 2018; 27 (2): 139-159. <https://n9.cl/i0upn>

20. Olivares, B. 2018. Tropical conditions of seasonal rain in the dry-land agriculture of Carabobo, Venezuela. La Granja: Journal of Life Sciences. 2018; 27(1):86-102. <http://doi.org/10.17163/lgr.n27.2018.07>

Formatted: Font: (Default) Helvetica, Not Bold

Formatted

21. Olivares, B., Parra, R., y Cortez, A. 2017. Characterization of precipitation patterns in Anzoátegui state, Venezuela. Eria, 2017; 3 (3): 353-365. <https://doi.org/10.17811/er.3.2017.353-365> Disponible en <https://www.unioviado.es/reunido/index.php/RCC/article/download/10840/11547>

Formatted: Font: (Default) Helvetica, Not Bold, Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted

Formatted: Font: (Default) Helvetica

22. Olivares, B. 2016. Description of soil management in agricultural production systems of sector Hammock in Anzoátegui, Venezuela. Descripción del manejo de suelos en sistemas de producción agrícola del sector Hamaca de Anzoátegui, Venezuela. La Granja: Revista de Ciencias de la Vida, 2016; 23(1): 14-24. <https://doi.org/10.17163/lgr.n23.2016.02>

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (U.S.)

Formatted

23. Olivares, B., Cortez, A., Parra, R., Lobo, D., Rodríguez, M.F., y Rey, J.C. 2017. Evaluation of agricultural vulnerability to drought weather in different locations of Venezuela. Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ), 2017; 34 (1): 103-129. <https://n9.cl/d827w>

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted

Formatted: English (U.S.)

Formatted: Justified

Formatted

24. Olivares, B., Hernández, R., Coelho, R., Molina, J.C., Pereira, Y. 2018. Análisis espacial del índice hídrico: un avance en la adopción de decisiones sostenibles en los territorios agrícolas de Carabobo, Venezuela. Revista Geográfica de América Central. 2018; 60 (1): 277-299. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15359/rgac.60-1.10>

Formatted: Font: (Default) Helvetica

25. Olivares, B., Hernández, R., Coelho, R., Molina, J.C., Pereira, Y. 2018. Analysis of climate types: Main strategies for sustainable decisions in agricultural areas of Carabobo, Venezuela. Scientia Agropecuaria, 9(3): 359 - 369. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.17268/sci.agropecu.2018.03.07>

Formatted: Font: (Default) Helvetica, Not Bold

Formatted

Formatted: Font: (Default) Helvetica, Spanish (International Sort)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Helvetica

Table 1. Association between seventeen yield and yield contributing traits in sorghum.

	PH	SD	DFF	NN	NL	LL	LB	LAI	FLL	FLB	FLA	PL	PE	PW	DM	HSW	GYP		
PH	1																	Formatted: Highlight	
SD	0.427*	1																	Formatted: Highlight
DFF	0.130	0.245	1																Formatted: Highlight
NN	0.421*	0.207	-0.054	1															Formatted: Highlight
NL	0.415*	0.575**	0.197	0.623**	1														Formatted: Highlight
LL	0.409*	0.511**	0.428*	0.261	0.556**	1													Formatted: Highlight
LB	-0.023	0.340	0.081	0.421*	0.436*	0.679**	1												Formatted: Highlight
LAI	0.226	0.463*	0.306	0.334	0.503**	0.929**	0.892**	1											Formatted: Highlight
FLL	0.397*	0.267	0.257	0.292	0.321	0.445*	0.503**	0.525**	1										Formatted: Highlight
FLB	-0.193	0.314	-0.097	-0.076	0.150	0.156	0.477**	0.316	0.359	1									Formatted: Highlight
FLA	0.069	0.372*	0.069	0.068	0.224	0.297	0.547**	0.449*	0.738**	0.884**	1								Formatted: Highlight
PL	0.643**	0.298	0.298	0.175	0.232	0.294	0.065	0.208	0.570**	0.005	0.283	1							Formatted: Highlight
PE	-0.275	-0.059	-0.079	-0.417*	-0.394*	-0.304	-0.439*	-0.361	-0.543**	-0.398*	-0.488**	-0.368*	1						Formatted: Highlight
PW	0.725**	0.239	0.329	0.326	0.311	0.556**	0.147	0.424*	0.573**	-0.229	0.128	0.696**	-0.221	1					Formatted: Highlight
DM	0.209	0.363	0.668**	-0.050	0.023	0.332	-0.021	0.200	0.024	-0.188	-0.068	0.158	0.211	0.324	1				Formatted: Highlight
HSW	0.224	0.031	0.269	0.147	0.125	0.431*	0.174	0.372*	0.107	-0.369*	-0.208	0.128	0.154	0.628**	0.320	1			Formatted: Highlight
GYP	0.603**	0.221	0.332	0.276	0.301	0.613**	0.229	0.501**	0.529**	-0.192	0.111	0.608**	-0.279	0.930**	0.228	0.643**	1		Formatted: Highlight

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

| [Note: specify the meaning of all abbreviations in the table, as in table 2](#)

Table 2. Direct and indirect effects of path analysis for fifteen yield and yield contributing traits in sorghum.

	PH	SD	DFF	NN	NL	LL	LB	FLL	FLB	PL	PE	PW	DM	HSW	GYP
PH	-0.293	0.075	-0.001	0.119	0.044	0.150	-0.006	0.066	0.057	0.292	0.036	0.141	-0.011	0.072	0.639
SD	-0.202	0.147	-0.022	0.048	0.035	0.209	-0.123	0.044	-0.093	0.225	0.005	0.062	-0.022	0.024	0.337
DFF	-0.006	0.042	-0.075	0.032	0.016	0.234	-0.163	0.027	-0.016	0.180	0.004	0.048	-0.045	0.027	0.307
NN	-0.278	0.042	-0.014	0.168	0.040	0.137	-0.126	0.054	0.061	0.225	0.050	0.111	-0.017	0.071	0.523
NL	-0.249	0.074	-0.017	0.097	0.069	0.233	-0.117	0.056	-0.033	0.224	0.043	0.104	0.004	0.051	0.539
LL	-0.139	0.073	-0.041	0.054	0.038	0.423	-0.282	0.051	-0.003	0.233	0.014	0.099	-0.026	0.118	0.611
LB	-0.006	0.046	-0.031	0.054	0.021	0.305	-0.190	0.060	-0.055	0.141	0.023	0.036	-0.009	0.082	0.275
FLL	-0.187	0.047	-0.015	0.065	0.028	0.155	-0.169	0.138	-0.062	0.301	0.059	0.098	0.006	-0.010	0.455
FLB	0.090	0.055	-0.005	-0.041	0.009	0.005	-0.086	0.034	-0.150	-0.006	0.022	-0.059	0.013	-0.099	-0.320
PL	-0.231	0.066	-0.027	0.076	0.031	0.198	-0.110	0.084	0.003	0.497	0.049	0.151	-0.015	0.095	0.867
PE	0.115	-0.005	0.002	-0.070	-0.024	-0.048	0.073	-0.067	0.045	-0.198	-0.122	-0.055	-0.023	0.030	-0.348
PW	-0.310	0.051	-0.020	0.105	0.040	0.234	-0.078	0.076	0.082	0.421	0.038	0.299	-0.021	0.125	0.920
DM	-0.057	0.041	-0.043	0.038	-0.004	0.142	-0.047	-0.011	0.041	0.094	-0.037	0.049	-0.078	0.062	0.191
HSW	-0.134	0.017	-0.010	0.056	0.017	0.237	-0.151	-0.006	0.118	0.224	-0.017	0.106	-0.023	0.310	0.643

RESIDUE= **0.2183**

PH	:	Plant height	LB	:	Leaf breath	PE	:	Panicle exertion
SD	:	Stem diameter	LAI	:	Leaf area index	PW	:	Panicle weight
DFF	:	Days to fifty % flowering	FLL	:	Flag leaf length	DM	:	Days to maturity
NN	:	Number of nodes	FLB	:	Flag leaf breath	HSW	:	Hundred seed weight
NL	:	Numbers of leaves	FLA	:	Flag leaf area	GYP	:	Grain yield per plant
LL	:	Leaf length	PL	:	Panicle length			

UNDER PEER REVIEW

