

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_74468
Title of the Manuscript:	Tanzanian bat guano as alternative source of phosphorus for organic rice production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Abstract should be more concise instead of unnecessary detail.</li><li>2. What is P? try to avoid short terms in abstract. Use full form either or define ist.</li><li>3. Upon which criteria or methods selected period is chosen and why it specific only to these time periods. Support with justification and valid reference.</li><li>4. Despite enhance yield and comparable P content, Bat guano reported as toxic and there be a study alongside which can fix the safe limit of this alternative.</li><li>5. Conclusion should not be rephrased form of abstract, instead it should point out major outcome of study.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Manuscript should be thoroughly rechecked for grammatical mistakes and writing errors.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript can be accepted. Paper is well written and nicely sequenced. Therefore, I recommend paper for publication once the provided comments being responded.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muhammad Sheraz Ahmad
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia