

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Pathogen Research</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJPR_88786   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase (ESBL) Resistant genes in Salmonella spp isolated from ready-to-eat foods and food handlers in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Nigeria |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy> )

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>The presentation of the results is confusing.<br/>The author just listed a series of images and then proceeded to explain the results in a separate section. So if you would like to compare results with images, you would have to go back up to confirm.<br/>There are two options: first, explain each result in a suffix paragraph following the respective image. Second, insert the images as soon as they are mentioned in the manuscript.</p> <hr/> <p>The methodology is sound, but the emphasis on the significance of the issue and outcome is not well presented.</p> <hr/> <p>The author claimed that<br/>'The study is in disagreement with a work in Rivers State but in different locations where more SHV genes was detected'<br/>Why the author did not explain why he got these results, and in what terms these disagreements arise.</p> <hr/> <p>Tables and figures are not cross-referenced.</p> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>Please refer to my comments in the manuscript.</p> <hr/> <p>Please use online citation tools such as Zotero or Mendeley, Since some of the bibliographies are incorrect, and most do not have the DOI number.</p>  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | <p>Please consider replacing the references that are more than 5 years old</p>  |   |

PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> |   |

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Nada Basheir Ali Akaree             |
| Department, University & Country | University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia |